

# HP Service Manager

Software Version: 9.41

For the supported Windows® and UNIX® operating systems

## Processes and Best Practices Guide (Classic Mode)

Document Release Date: September 2015  
Software Release Date: September 2015



## Legal Notices

### Warranty

The only warranties for HP products and services are set forth in the express warranty statements accompanying such products and services. Nothing herein should be construed as constituting an additional warranty. HP shall not be liable for technical or editorial errors or omissions contained herein.

The information contained herein is subject to change without notice.

### Restricted Rights Legend

Confidential computer software. Valid license from HP required for possession, use or copying. Consistent with FAR 12.211 and 12.212, Commercial Computer Software, Computer Software Documentation, and Technical Data for Commercial Items are licensed to the U.S. Government under vendor's standard commercial license.

### Copyright Notice

© 1994-2015 Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P.

### Trademark Notices

Adobe® is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Microsoft® and Windows® are U.S. registered trademarks of Microsoft Corporation.

Oracle and Java are registered trademarks of Oracle and/or its affiliates.

UNIX® is a registered trademark of The Open Group.

Linux® is the registered trademark of Linus Torvalds in the U.S. and other countries.

For a complete list of open source and third party acknowledgements, visit the HP Software Support Online web site and search for the product manual called HP Service Manager Open Source and Third Party License Agreements.

## Documentation Updates

The title page of this document contains the following identifying information:

- Software Version number, which indicates the software version.
- Document Release Date, which changes each time the document is updated.
- Software Release Date, which indicates the release date of this version of the software.

To check for recent updates or to verify that you are using the most recent edition of a document, go to: <https://softwaresupport.hp.com/>.

This site requires that you register for an HP Passport and to sign in. To register for an HP Passport ID, click **Register** on the HP Support site or click **Create an Account** on the HP Passport login page.

You will also receive updated or new editions if you subscribe to the appropriate product support service. Contact your HP sales representative for details.

## Support

Visit the HP Software Support site at: <https://softwaresupport.hp.com>.

This website provides contact information and details about the products, services, and support that HP Software offers.

HP Software online support provides customer self-solve capabilities. It provides a fast and efficient way to access interactive technical support tools needed to manage your business. As a valued support customer, you can benefit by using the support website to:

- Search for knowledge documents of interest
- Submit and track support cases and enhancement requests
- Download software patches
- Manage support contracts
- Look up HP support contacts
- Review information about available services
- Enter into discussions with other software customers
- Research and register for software training

Most of the support areas require that you register as an HP Passport user and to sign in. Many also require a support contract. To register for an HP Passport ID, click **Register** on the HP Support site or click **Create an Account** on the HP Passport login page.

To find more information about access levels, go to: <https://softwaresupport.hp.com/web/softwaresupport/access-levels>.

**HPSW Solutions Catalog** accesses the HPSW Integrations and Solutions Catalog portal website. This site enables you to explore HP Product Solutions to meet your business needs, includes a full list of Integrations between HP Products, as well as a listing of ITIL Processes. The URL for this website is <https://softwaresupport.hp.com/group/software-support/search-result/-/facetsearch/document/KM01702710>.

# Contents

<b>Chapter 1: HP Service Manager Processes and Best Practices</b>	<b>13</b>
Overview of Service Manager	13
Architecture	14
Service Manager Run-time Environment (RTE)	14
Service Manager clients	14
Windows client	15
Web client	15
Service Manager applications	15
Overview of Service Manager best practices	15
ITSM Industry Standards	15
ITIL V3	16
ISO 20000	17
COBIT 4.1	18
Service Management organization	19
Organizational model and user roles	20
Service Manager best practice processes	21
Relationships between Service Manager applications	26
Service Desk	26
Incident Management	26
Request Management	27
Problem Management	27
Change Management	27
Configuration Management	28
<b>Chapter 2: User Interaction Management Overview</b>	<b>29</b>
The service desk within the ITIL framework	29
The Service Desk application	30
User Interaction Management process overview	31
User Interaction Management user roles	33
Input and output for User Interaction Management	34
Key performance indicators for User Interaction Management	35

ITIL V3 Key Performance Indicators .....	35
COBIT 4.1 Key Performance Indicators .....	36
RACI matrix for User Interaction Management .....	36
<b>Chapter 3: User Interaction Management Workflows .....</b>	<b>37</b>
Self-Service by User (process SO 0.1) .....	38
Interaction Handling (process SO 0.2) .....	43
Interaction Matching and Escalation (process SO 0.3) .....	48
Interaction Closure (process SO 0.4) .....	51
<b>Chapter 4: User Interaction Management Details .....</b>	<b>56</b>
New interaction form .....	56
Interaction form after escalation .....	57
User Interaction Management form details .....	58
Interaction categories .....	66
Escalate Interaction wizard .....	69
<b>Chapter 5: Incident Management Overview .....</b>	<b>71</b>
Incident Management within the ITIL framework .....	71
Incident Management application .....	72
Notes for Incident Management implementation .....	72
Incident Closure process .....	72
Incident information .....	73
Incident Management process overview .....	73
Incident Management user roles .....	74
Input and output for Incident Management .....	76
Key performance indicators for Incident Management .....	76
ITIL V3 Key Performance Indicators .....	77
COBIT 4.1 Key Performance Indicators .....	78
RACI matrix for Incident Management .....	79
<b>Chapter 6: Incident Management Workflows .....</b>	<b>80</b>
Incident Logging (process SO 2.1) .....	81



Incident Assignment (process SO 2.2)	86
Incident Investigation and Diagnosis (process SO 2.3)	90
Incident Resolution and Recovery (process SO 2.4)	94
Incident Closure (process SO 2.5)	98
Incident Escalation (process SO 2.6)	101
SLA Monitoring (process SO 2.7)	106
OLA and UC Monitoring (process SO 2.8)	110
Complaint Handling (process SO 2.9)	114
<b>Chapter 7: Incident Management Details</b>	<b>118</b>
Incident form after escalation from Service Desk	118
Update incident form	119
Incident Management form details	120
<b>Chapter 8: Request Management Overview</b>	<b>129</b>
Request Management within the ITIL framework	129
Request Management application	130
Differences between Request Management and Change Management	131
Key elements of Request Management	131
Catalog	131
Vendors	132
Line items	132
Requests (Quotes)	132
Orders	132
Groups	133
Approval processing	133
Alerts and notifications	134
Request Management process overview	134
Request Management user roles	135
Input and output for Request Management	137
Key performance indicators for Request Management	137
ITIL V3 Key Performance Indicators	138
RACI matrix for Request Management	138

<b>Chapter 9: Request Management Workflows</b>	<b>140</b>
Service Request Logging (process SO 3.1)	141
Service Request Approval (process SO 3.2)	146
Service Request Provisioning (process SO 3.3)	149
Service Request Validation and Closure (process SO 3.4)	151
Create, Update or Retire Service Request Catalog Item (process SO 3.5)	156
Service Request Monitoring (process SO 3.6)	162
Service Request Escalation (process SO 3.7)	165
<b>Chapter 10: Request Management Details</b>	<b>169</b>
Request Management categories and phases	170
Line Item categories	170
Line Item phases	171
Master categories	174
Quote categories	176
Quote phases	177
Order categories	179
Order phases	179
Request Management process flow	179
The Request workflow	180
The Order workflow	180
Order generation process	181
Considerations for the Avail To Order field	181
Order generation methods	181
Manual ordering	181
Manual ordering using the Generate Orders option	182
Immediate batch ordering	182
Demand batch ordering	182
Anticipated batch ordering	184
Model form	184
Model form details	185
Line Item Summary form	192
Line Item Summary form details	193

Quote form .....	196
Quote form details .....	197
Order form .....	201
Order form details .....	202
<b>Chapter 11: Problem Management Overview .....</b>	<b>204</b>
Problem Management within the ITIL framework .....	204
Differences between Problem Management and Incident Management .....	205
Problem Management application .....	205
Problem Management categories .....	205
Problem and known error tasks .....	206
Problem Management alerts .....	206
Problem Management process overview .....	206
Problem Management phases .....	209
Problem Management user roles .....	210
Input and output for Problem Management .....	212
Key performance indicators for Problem Management .....	213
ITIL V3 Key Performance Indicators .....	214
COBIT 4.1 Key Performance Indicators .....	214
RACI matrix for Problem Management .....	215
<b>Chapter 12: Problem Management Workflows .....</b>	<b>216</b>
Problem Detection, Logging, and Categorization (process SO 4.1) .....	217
Problem Prioritization and Planning (process SO 4.2) .....	223
Problem Investigation and Diagnosis (process SO 4.3) .....	226
Problem Resolution (known error processes) .....	230
Known Error Logging and Categorization (process SO 4.4) .....	231
Known Error Investigation (process SO 4.5) .....	234
Known Error Solution Acceptance (process SO 4.6) .....	238
Known Error Resolution (process SO 4.7) .....	241
Problem Closure and Review (process SO 4.8) .....	245
Problem and Known Error Monitoring (process SO 4.9) .....	249

<b>Chapter 13: Problem Management Details</b>	<b>255</b>
Problem form after escalation from incident	255
Problem Control form details	256
Problem Management form after escalation to known error	263
Error Control form details	263
<b>Chapter 14: Change Management Overview</b>	<b>268</b>
Change Management within the ITIL framework	268
Change Management application	269
Differences between Change Management and Request Management	269
Change Management process overview	270
Change categories and phases	270
Change Management categories	272
Working with the default change category	273
Working with the unplanned change category	273
Change Management phases	273
Phases used in the out-of-box categories	274
Phases for changes flagged as Emergency Changes	275
Change Approvals	276
Approval definitions	277
Approval options	278
Approval delegation	279
Change Management tasks	280
Change Management user roles	280
Input and output for Change Management	282
Key performance indicators for Change Management	282
ITIL V3 Key Performance Indicators	283
COBIT 4.1 Key Performance Indicators	284
RACI matrix for Change Management	285
<b>Chapter 15: Change Management Workflows</b>	<b>287</b>
Change Logging (process ST 2.1)	288
Change Review (process ST 2.2)	294
Change Assessment and Planning (process ST 2.3)	297

Change Approval (process ST 2.4) .....	302
Coordinate Change Implementation (process ST 2.5) .....	306
Change Evaluation and Closure (process ST 2.6) .....	311
Emergency Change Handling (process ST 2.7) .....	315
<b>Chapter 16: Change Management Details .....</b>	<b>322</b>
Change Management form after escalation from a known error .....	322
Change Management form details .....	323
<b>Chapter 17: Knowledge Management Overview .....</b>	<b>331</b>
Knowledge Management Within The ITIL Framework .....	331
Knowledge Management Application .....	332
Types of Knowledge Documents in Service Manager .....	332
Knowledge Management Categories .....	333
Knowledge Groups .....	333
Knowledge Management Profiles .....	333
Generic OOB Knowledge Management Document Phases .....	334
Knowledge Management Process Overview .....	336
Knowledge Management User Roles .....	337
Key Interfaces with Other Processes .....	339
Key performance indicators for Knowledge Management .....	339
RACI Matrix For Knowledge Management .....	340
<b>Chapter 18: Knowledge Management Workflows .....</b>	<b>341</b>
Contribute and Approve Knowledge Document (process ST 7.1) .....	342
Search, View and Utilize Knowledge Document (Process ST 7.2) .....	347
Maintain and Continually Improve Knowledge (Process ST 7.3) .....	349
<b>Chapter 19: Knowledge Management Details .....</b>	<b>353</b>
Knowledge Management Form - Contribute Knowledge .....	353
Knowledge Management Form - Search Knowledgebase (Advanced Search) .....	354
<b>Chapter 20: Configuration Management Overview .....</b>	<b>357</b>

Configuration Management within the ITIL framework .....	357
Configuration Management application .....	358
HP Universal Configuration Management Database .....	360
Baselines .....	361
Baseline section .....	362
Managed state .....	362
Managed State section .....	362
Actual state .....	363
Actual State section .....	363
CI relationships .....	363
CI Relationship section (CI visualization) .....	364
Configuration Management process overview .....	364
Configuration Management user roles .....	367
Input and output for Configuration Management .....	368
Key performance indicators for Configuration Management .....	368
ITIL V3 key performance indicators .....	369
COBIT 4.1 key performance indicators .....	370
RACI matrix for Configuration Management .....	371
<b>Chapter 21: Configuration Management Workflows .....</b>	<b>372</b>
Configuration Management Planning (process ST 3.1) .....	373
Configuration Identification (process ST 3.2) .....	379
Configuration Control (process ST 3.3) .....	385
Configuration Status Accounting and Reporting (process ST 3.4) .....	389
Configuration Verification and Audit (process ST 3.5) .....	395
Master data management (process ST 3.6) .....	401
<b>Chapter 22: Configuration Management Details .....</b>	<b>406</b>
MyDevices configuration item form .....	406
Configuration Management form details .....	407
Configuration Item types and subtypes .....	416
Managed State subsections .....	419
<b>Chapter 25: Service Level Management Overview .....</b>	<b>421</b>

Service Level Management within the ITIL Framework .....	421
Service Level Management Application .....	422
Input and output for Service Level Management .....	422
Key performance indicators for Service Level Management .....	424
ITIL recommended KPIs .....	424
Service Level Management Roles .....	425
RACI matrix for Service Level Management .....	427
<b>Chapter 26: Service Level Management Workflow and Workflow Interpretations .....</b>	<b>429</b>
Updating the Service Catalog (SD 2.1) .....	431
Create or Amend IT Services (SD 2.2) .....	432
SLRs and draft OLAs and UCs (SD 2.3) .....	434
Finalize SLRs/OLAs/UCs (SD 2.4) .....	437
Monitor Service Levels (SD 2.5) .....	439
Service Level Reporting (SD 2.6) .....	442
Perform Service Reviews (SD 2.7) .....	443
Service Improvement Plan (SD 2.8) .....	446
Review and Revise SLAs/OLAs/UCs (SD 2.9) .....	449
<b>Chapter 27: Service Level Management Details .....</b>	<b>453</b>
The Workflow for Agreements, Underpinning contracts .....	454
Creating a new SLA, OLA or UC .....	456
The Draft phase .....	457
The Review phase .....	457
The Agreed phase .....	458
The Expired phase .....	460
Service Reviews and usages of SIPs/SQPs .....	460
Service Level Management forms .....	461
Service Level Management form details .....	465
<b>Appendix A: Compliance with Industry Standards .....</b>	<b>471</b>

Service Manager's compliance with ISO 20000 .....	471
Service Manager's compliance with COBIT 4.1 .....	475
<b>Appendix B: Service Manager tables .....</b>	<b>479</b>
Service Desk application tables and fields .....	479
Incident Management application tables and fields .....	480
Request Management application tables and fields .....	481
Request (Quote) .....	481
Order .....	482
Line Item .....	483
Problem Management application tables and fields .....	485
Problem Control .....	485
Error Control .....	487
Change Management application tables and fields .....	489
Configuration Management application tables and fields .....	490
<b>Index .....</b>	<b>493</b>
<b>Send Documentation Feedback .....</b>	<b>502</b>



# Chapter 1: HP Service Manager Processes and Best Practices

Welcome to the HP Service Manager® Processes and Best Practices guide. HP Service Manager enables organizations to manage their IT infrastructures efficiently and effectively. This guide documents the best practice workflows that are standard with out-of-box Service Manager applications. It includes high-level workflow diagrams and step-by-step guidelines.

The Service Manager best practice workflows are based on the Information Technology Infrastructure Library (ITIL) standard, a widely recognized source of guidelines for Information Technology Service Management (ITSM).

This guide describes how Service Manager applications implement the ITIL guidelines.

Topics in this section include:

- ["Overview of Service Manager" below](#)
- ["Overview of Service Manager best practices" on page 15](#)
- ["Service Manager best practice processes" on page 21](#)
- ["Service Management organization" on page 19](#)
- ["Relationships between Service Manager applications" on page 26](#)

## Overview of Service Manager

Service Manager is HP's enterprise service management solution. Its integrated applications are designed for out-of-box implementation, with best practice work flows that help organizations support their infrastructure and drive competitive advantage in their core businesses.

Service Manager enables companies to manage their service and support operations. It provides the tools and workflows needed to manage corporate assets: the people, knowledge, information, processes, equipment, documentation, software, and all tangible resources collectively known as *infrastructure*.

## Architecture

Service Manager has a three-tiered client/server architecture:

- The presentation layer displays information to the user through a client (either a web client or Windows client). Service Manager displays information to the user on forms.
- The application layer consists of the various applications and the Run-Time Environment (RTE). The application server executes the workflow code.
- The database layer is an external relational database management system (RDBMS) to which Service Manager has been mapped. The database stores the application workflow code and the format descriptions.

An administrator sets parameters in the Service Manager initialization (sm.ini) file to select language, display color scheme of the forms, connection parameters to the relational database management system (RDBMS) and so on.

## Service Manager Run-time Environment (RTE)

The foundation of the Service Manager architecture is the RTE. The RTE is the collection of executable programs that interprets the applications and translates application requests into appropriate actions for a specific platform.

RTE functions include:

- Processing application code.
- Managing the front-end graphical user interface (GUI).
- Handling database transactions.
- Accepting client connections.
- Initiating application processing.

## Service Manager clients

The Service Manager clients allow users to interface with the Service Manager applications. The application server retrieves a form from the database and passes it as a client. The client interprets

and builds the form and presents it to the user.

## Windows client

The Windows client runs on Microsoft Windows platforms but can connect to a server running on any supported platform.

## Web client

The web client runs from a web browser and connects to the web tier (a system where a supported web application server and web server are installed). The web tier in turn connects to the Service Manager server, which can run on any supported platform.

## Service Manager applications

Service Manager's integrated applications are designed for ease-of-use and management of interrelated events that occur throughout the service life cycle of an asset. The core applications enable out-of-box workflow for IT Service Management (ITSM). Additional applications optimize productivity and improve cost controls. For example, Service Manager can process a reported incident through restoration of service, analysis, and, when necessary, changes to the IT infrastructure.

## Overview of Service Manager best practices

To help you make optimal use of the functionality of Service Manager, HP has created best practices based on industry standard practices and on practical experience gained from Service Manager implementations with many customers of various sizes.

Service Manager applications incorporate best practice workflows in an out-of-box solution to streamline implementation. Using the out-of-box workflows results in less time designing and developing tools, and more time supporting business operations. Sample data and Service Manager Best Practice documentation provide additional guidelines for best practice implementation.

## ITSM Industry Standards

Service Manager best practices are based on ITIL V3 theory. Service Manager embeds and incorporates the ITIL best practices that are used by organizations worldwide to establish and improve their capabilities in service management.

Applicable controls from Control Objectives and IT Process Framework (COBIT) 4.1 and International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 20000 are also incorporated in the processes.

- COBIT 4.1 and the Service Manager best practices describe the mapping between the COBIT 4.1 controls and the applicable Service Manager best practices reference.
- ISO 20000 and the Service Manager best practices describe the mapping between the ISO 20000 controls and the applicable Service Manager best practices reference.

By making optimal use of the functionality that Service Manager offers, you can implement state-of-the-art service management processes.

## ITIL V3

ITIL processes provide a framework with which you can identify, record, and control all of the objects that make up an information technology (IT) infrastructure. It has become the most widely accepted approach to ITSM in the world. A key concept of ITIL is that of **services**. A service is a means of delivering value to customers by facilitating outcomes customers want to achieve without the ownership of specific costs and risks. ITIL V3 is a lifecycle-based approach with five stages aimed at delivering a set of services to achieve defined business outcomes.

ITIL consists of a series of books giving guidance on the provision of quality IT services, and on the accommodation and environmental facilities needed to support IT. ITIL has been developed in recognition of organizations' growing dependency on IT and embodies best practices for IT Service Management. For complete information on ITIL, see their web site at [www.itil-officialsite.com](http://www.itil-officialsite.com).

The HP Service Manager processes are based on ITIL V3 theory and are referenced in the ITIL V3 core. The ITIL core consists of the following five documents, each of which describes a different aspect of providing Service Management:

- *Service Strategy* focuses on how to design, develop, and implement Service Management both as a service and as a strategic asset. It gives guidance on how to improve the alignment between your Service Management capabilities and your business strategies. Important topics include Service Portfolio Management and Financial Management.
- *Service Design* focuses on how to design, develop, improve, and maintain value over the lifecycle of services and Service Management processes. It gives guidance on how to convert strategic objectives into services and service assets. Important topics include Availability Management, Capacity Management, Continuity Management, and Security Management.
- *Service Transition* focuses on how to transition new or updated services into operation. It gives guidance on how to control the risks of failure and disruption and prevent undesired consequences

while still allowing innovation. Important topics include Change Management, Release Management, Configuration Management, and Service Knowledge Management.

- *Service Operation* focuses on the activities required to manage service operation and to achieve effectiveness in the delivery and support of services as defined in Service Level Agreements with the customers. Important topics include Incident Management, Problem Management, and Request Fulfillment.
- *Continual Service Improvement* focuses on how to create and maintain value by continual improvement to the quality of the services that an IT organization delivers to a business or customer. Important topics include Service Reporting, Service Measurement, and Service Level Management.

Service Manager best practices implement the following processes found in the ITIL Service Transition and Service Operation documents. These processes are described in the chapters that follow.

#### ITIL processes referred to in this document

ITIL V3 Core Volume	ITIL Chapter Name	SM Process ID
<i>Service Operations</i>	Incident Management	SO 2
<i>Service Operations</i>	Problem Management	SO 4
<i>Service Operations</i>	Request Fulfillment Management	SO 3
<i>Service Transition</i>	Change Management	ST 2
<i>Service Transition</i>	Configuration Management	ST 3

## ISO 20000

ISO/IEC 20000 consists of two parts under the general title, *Information Technology Service Management: Code of practice ISO 20000-1*. The subject of Part 1 “promotes the adoption of an integrated process approach to effectively deliver managed services to meet the business and customer requirements.”

It comprises ten sections:

- Scope
- Terms and Definitions
- Requirements for a Management System

- Planning and Implementing Service Management
- Planning and Implementing New or Changed Services
- Service Delivery Process
- Relationship Processes
- Control Processes
- Resolution Processes
- Release Process

ISO 20000-2 is a “Code of Practice” and describes the recommendations for service management within the scope of ISO 20000-1. It comprises the same sections as Part 1 except that it excludes the Requirements for a Management System as no requirements are imposed by Part 2. Service Manager’s best practices coverage of the ISO 20000-2 code of practice items is shown in ["Service Manager’s compliance with ISO 20000" on page 471](#).

## COBIT 4.1

COBIT (the Control Objectives for Information and related Technology) was developed by the IT Governance Institute ([www.ITGI.org](http://www.ITGI.org)) to advance international thinking and standards in directing and controlling enterprise information technology. COBIT supports IT Governance through its framework of 34 IT processes. This framework ensures business and IT alignment, maximizes IT enablement of business processes, optimizes IT resources, and manages risk.

COBIT groups its 34 processes into four domains:

- Plan and Organize
- Acquire and Implement
- Deliver and Support
- Monitor and Evaluate

Each process has a high-level control objective (the desired outcome) and one or more detailed control objectives that address the requirements of the actual activities that it performs.

COBIT ensures:

- IT and business alignment
- IT enabled business processes
- IT resource optimization
- IT management of risks

COBIT's framework accomplishes these goals by focusing on the business requirement for information, and the structured (process) utilization of IT resources. COBIT's framework establishes what needs to be done to provide the information the enterprise needs to achieve its goals. IT control objectives provide a complete set of high-level requirements to be considered by management for effective control of each IT process.

These requirements:

- Provide statements of managerial actions to increase value or reduce risk
- Consist of policies, procedures, practices and organizational structures
- Provide reasonable assurance that business objectives will be achieved and undesired events will be prevented or detected and corrected

Service Manager's best practices coverage of COBIT is shown in ["Service Manager's compliance with COBIT 4.1" on page 475](#).

## Service Management organization

The Service Manager best practices include processes, user role descriptions involved in each process, and task flows for each service management area. The process can meet best practices when employees involved in the process are assigned user roles in your IT organization.

Most of the distinct process roles are assigned according to the applicable support group. The service desk is its own support group and has specific user roles that are assigned to the employees within your IT organization. All other support groups (for example, second- and third-line support and suppliers) should have a similar set of process roles assigned.

## Organizational model and user roles


To ensure that all user actions and responsibilities can be easily assigned to individual users or to user groups, each HPService Manager process is included in a detailed organizational model with well-defined user role descriptions, activity types, and responsibilities. To use the Service Manager organizational model within your organization's specific IT environment, first assign each process role to the appropriate personnel. The Service Manager organizational model provides the following process areas, each with defined user roles.

The responsibilities related to each of these roles are located these sections:

- ["User Interaction Management user roles" on page 33](#)
- ["Incident Management user roles" on page 74](#)
- ["Request Management user roles" on page 135](#)
- ["Problem Management user roles" on page 210](#)
- ["Change Management user roles" on page 280](#)
- ["Configuration Management user roles" on page 367](#)



Example of an IT Organization is illustrated in the following figure:



## Service Manager best practice processes


The Service Manager process flow in ["The Service Manager process flow chart is illustrated below:"](#) on page 24 describes the ITSM processes implemented in the following applications:

- *Service Desk* — The Service Desk application includes all direct interaction between a user and the service desk by phone or by E-mail. It also includes all user activities that occur by use of the self-service Web portal (for example, searching the knowledgebase, checking for status updates, or logging an interaction). For more information on this application and the associated processes, go to "[User Interaction Management Overview](#)".
- *Incident Management* — The Incident Management application ensures that incidents are resolved within agreed-on service level targets and automates reporting and tracking of a single incident or a group of incidents associated with a business enterprise. It also enables you to categorize and track various types of incidents (such as service unavailability or performance issues, hardware or software failures, etc.) and to track the resolution of these incidents. For more information on this application and the associated processes, go to "[Incident Management Overview](#)".
- *Request Management* — The Request Management application allows users to request specific Items or Services from a predefined catalog, and controls the process of ordering, approval and item tracking. It can also improve distribution efficiency by scheduling items and services based on need. If the service users request doesn't exist for a while, it will be escalated and added to the Service Catalog after passing the financial and wide business approvals. For more information on this application and associated processes, go to "[Request Management Overview](#)".
- *Problem Management* — The Problem Management application helps to minimize the effects of incidents caused by errors in the IT infrastructure and to prevent their recurrence by enabling you to identify the underlying reason for one or more incidents, implement workarounds, identify known errors, and provide permanent solutions. Its purpose is to prevent problems and resulting incidents, to eliminate recurring incidents, and to minimize the impact of incidents that cannot be prevented. For more information on this application and the associated processes, go to "[Problem Management Overview](#)".

**Note:** Incident Management and Problem Management are separate processes although they are closely linked. Incident Management expressly covers the restoration of services to users, whereas Problem Management covers identifying and removing the causes of incidents.

- *Change Management* — The Change Management application controls the process to request, manage, approve, and control changes that modify your organization's IT infrastructure. This process includes changes to all assets and configuration items, such as network environments, facilities, telephony, and resources. It covers changes to baseline service assets and configuration items across the entire service life cycle. For more information on this application and the associated processes, go to "[Change Management Overview](#)".
- *Configuration Management* — The Configuration Management application ensures that selected components of a complete IT service, system, or product (the Configuration Item) are identified, baselined, and maintained and that changes to them are controlled. It also ensures that releases into controlled environments and operational use are completed on the basis of formal approvals. For more information on this application and the associated processes, go to "[Configuration Management Overview](#)".

The Service Manager process flow chart is illustrated below:



# Relationships between Service Manager applications

Each Service Manager application interacts closely with several others and supports several service management processes.

## Service Desk

Many incidents start as issues communicated by end users to the service desk. When a Service Desk Agent cannot resolve and close an issue on first contact, he or she escalates it to an incident. If the Service Desk Agent finds an existing incident that affected the same CI or one of the related CIs, the incident is associated with the interaction record. If an existing incident is not found, a new incident is opened, based on the Service Desk interaction. When the incident is resolved and closed, the Service Desk communicates the closure to the end user and closes the interaction that initiated the incident. If the reason for a call is a disruption in service and the Service Desk Agent cannot resolve the issue, it is escalated to Incident Management until service is restored.

## Incident Management

Incident Management provides effective incident classification and tracking to provide good data for analysis. The Knowledge Base that Service Manager builds and maintains is a solution repository for new incidents. Matching incidents to problems and known errors is the first step in spotting trends. Subsequently, trend analysis helps you remove errors before they affect a large segment of users. As part of the Incident Investigation and Diagnosis process, an Incident Analyst can open new emergency changes required for immediate resolution of the incident. This is only the case if there is no effective or useful workaround available.

In the Emergency Change Handling process, the Change Analyst informs the Incident Manager about successfully implemented emergency changes and, if the Incident Manager agrees, closes the related incident.

Incident Management contributes to improved service levels. When an incident is opened, the default Base Monitoring Service Level Agreement (SLA) for IT services is triggered. This SLA specifies response objectives (the maximum time allowed before an incident should reach the resolved state), but does not define availability objectives. Both problems and incidents affect service delivery.

## Request Management

Request Management allows users to request specific items or services from a predefined catalog of products and services. The Request Management catalog defines the hardware, software, and services for each request item. The catalog supports serialized/non-serialized and inventoried/non-inventoried definitions. When end-users submit service requests through Self Service or Service Desk, interaction records are created. The interaction records will go through a set of pre-defined approvals. Once Service Request Approvers have reviewed and approved the interaction records, quotes (requests) are created for them. The requests are then fulfilled by internal groups or purchased through external vendors. The cost of the services and hardware for each request are tracked. During the ordering and receiving phase, orders are generated to fulfill the requested line items from one or more quotes.

## Problem Management

Incident Management forms part of the overall process of dealing with problems in the organization. Incidents are often caused by underlying problems that must be solved to prevent the incident from recurring. Service Manager allows you to enable certain Incident Management users to indicate problem candidates. The incident includes a field that indicates whether the issue that caused the incident is most likely a problem and should have a problem created for it. In addition, as part of the Incident Investigation and Diagnosis process, the operator needs to consider whether the incident is related to an open problem or known error. If it is, they must relate the incident to the problem or known error record. The incident then remains open until a workaround for the problem becomes available. If related to a known error, there will always be a workaround.

Problem Management maintains information about problems and the appropriate workarounds and resolutions, which helps an organization reduce the number and impact of incidents over time. Problem Management has a strong interface with Knowledge Management, and tools such as the Known Error Database are used for both. This gives operators the ability to search the knowledgebase for useful information and to contribute to it, benefiting those who are investigating, diagnosing, and resolving incidents and interactions. Incident Management operators can search the knowledgebase, and can create a knowledge article based on the incident at hand.

## Change Management

Service Desk open-idle interactions with a category of Request for Change can be escalated to Change Management. These change requests are reviewed by a Change Coordinator who either assigns the change to the applicable support group to make it part of the Change Review process, or rejects the

change request. Changes rejected for insufficient information are returned to the Service Desk Agent for additional information gathering. Others are rejected because the change is no longer valid.

When operators determine that an incident was caused by a change, they search the Changes database to see if a recent change may have caused the service disruption. If such a change exists, they can link the two records. If no such change exists, but a new change should be registered, they can open a new change. The operator can also look at any changes that have recently been performed against the reported Configuration Item.

Problem Management submits resolutions and workarounds that require a change to Change Management. Change Management tracks and implements the Request for Change (RFC), which permanently changes the infrastructure and prevents future incidents. When the RFC is complete, the Problem Management process reviews the change before the known error record can close.

An integration to HP Universal CMDB adds and updates configuration item (CI) records that can trigger an unplanned change or change verification action in Change Management. If the integration detects updates to a CI that do not match an existing change request, Service Manager creates a new change request with the unplanned change category. A Change Coordinator can then review the change and approve or deny it. If the integration finds a matching change request, it can verify the CI attributes against the expected values and automatically close the change if they match.

## Configuration Management

Configuration Management is used throughout the system to help identify and track configuration items (CIs) as necessary. Accurate tracking of incidents and changes starts with control of resources and their relationships. For example, when operators escalate an interaction or open an incident directly, they may specify the affected configuration item. When a configuration item is identified, the Incident Management process investigates and attempts to resolve the issue with the item. The final resolution may require a problem to be created to fix the source of the problem, and generate change request in Change Management. Scheduled maintenance uses configuration management to enable the automatic creation of Incidents and Change requests for regular proactive maintenance. The Incident Analyst can also view the Configuration Item tree to discover if related Configuration Items could have caused the incident.



## Chapter 2: User Interaction Management Overview

The HP Service Manager Service Desk application, referred to as Service Desk throughout this chapter, supports the service desk function of the Information Technology Infrastructure Library (ITIL) with its User Interaction Management processes for the IT service and the customer base. The Service Desk application provides a single point of entry to the other Service Manager applications and enables you to document and track all calls received by the service desk.

Service Desk incorporates the essential concepts of ITIL to ensure that the best practices of IT service management are applied to the service desk to aid end customers, ensure data integrity, and streamline communication channels in the organization.

This section describes how Service Desk implements the best practice guidelines for the User Interaction Management processes.

Topics in this section include:

- ["The service desk within the ITIL framework" below](#)
- ["The Service Desk application" on the next page](#)
- ["User Interaction Management process overview" on page 31](#)
- ["Input and output for User Interaction Management" on page 34](#)
- ["Key performance indicators for User Interaction Management" on page 35](#)
- ["RACI matrix for User Interaction Management" on page 36](#)

### The service desk within the ITIL framework

*Service Operation* is one of five core publications from ITIL that covers the service lifecycle. The purpose of service operation is to deliver agreed-on levels of service to users and customers, and to manage the applications, technology, and infrastructure that support delivery of the services.

The *service desk* is a key function of service operation. It provides a single, central point of contact for all users of IT. The service desk's goal is to restore normal service to users as quickly as possible. Restoring normal service could involve fixing a technical fault, fulfilling a service request, or answering a query —

whatever is needed to enable users to return to their work. The service desk logs and manages customer interactions and provides an interface to other service operation processes and activities.

ITIL V3 notes these specific responsibilities of a service desk:

- Logging, categorizing, and prioritizing all calls
- Providing first-line investigation and problem diagnosis
- Resolving incidents or service requests to be handled at the service desk level
- Escalating incidents and service requests that cannot be resolved within agreed-on time limits
- Closing resolved incidents, requests, and other calls
- Communicating with users to keep them informed of progress, impending changes, agreed-on outages, and other such notifications.

## The Service Desk application

The HP Service Manager Service Desk application incorporates the ITIL best practices that are used by organizations worldwide to establish and improve their capabilities in service management.

It provides a central *Service Operation* function, coordinating the efficient and effective delivery of services to end users and enabling various improvements, including the following:

- Improved customer service and satisfaction
- Increased accessibility through a single point of contact and information
- Better quality and faster turnaround of customer or user requests
- Improved teamwork and communication
- Enhanced focus and a proactive approach to service provision
- Improved usage of IT resources and increased productivity of all users

The Service Desk application enables a Service Desk agent to document and track user interactions. Service Desk provides one-click access to other Service Manager applications to automatically enter information received.

The Service Desk application covers:

- Direct interactions between a user and the service desk by phone or by email
- User activities that occur from use of the self-service Web portal (for example, searching the knowledge base, checking for status updates, or logging an interaction).


One of the best practices that derives from ITIL's service desk function is that user interactions should not be saved and updated later. Therefore, the Service Desk application requires that any new interaction either be resolved within the agreed upon time limits and then closed or, if it cannot be resolved, escalated. The information gathered during the customer interaction can be used to open an incident if a reported issue requires further action. It can also be added to a record in another Service Manager application, such as Change Management.

## User Interaction Management process overview

Every user contact with the service desk is logged as an interaction. User Interaction Management is the process for handling all interactions with the service desk that are received from self-service Web pages or directly by service desk personnel. These interactions can include service disruptions, service requests, requests for information (RFI), or complaints reported by users who communicate with the service desk by using instant messages, phone, E-mail, or by self-service Web pages. The User Interaction Management process enables you to easily log and resolve simple user requests and to escalate others into incidents requiring further action.

Multiple user interactions can be linked to a single incident in the tool. User Interaction Management describes all the activities a Service Desk agent needs to follow when registering a new incident or change. The Service Desk agent follows the necessary steps and searches for related knowledge records, known error records, and existing incidents or changes. This process streamlines service desk activities, thereby decreasing the workload for second line support teams.

A general overview of the User Interaction Management processes and workflows is depicted below. They are described in detail in "[User Interaction Management Workflows](#)".



When a user contacts the service desk, the Service Desk agent uses the Service Desk application to create an interaction record. The Service Desk agent records the user name, the name of the

component that the user is calling about, and a description of the service request. After collecting this information, the Service Desk agent performs the actions required to resolve the user request.

- If the service request is resolved without escalating it to an incident, the Service Desk agent can close the interaction record.
- If the service request cannot be resolved without escalating it to an incident, the Service Desk agent searches for existing incidents that affect the same component or one of the parent assets of that component.
  - If an existing incident is found, the Service Desk agent can associate the current interaction with the existing incident.
  - If an existing incident is not found, the Service Desk agent can register a new incident based on the Service Desk interaction. Service Desk copies information from the interaction record into the newly-created incident.

For example, consider a user who cannot print to a network printer:

- The user contacts the service desk for assistance.
- The Service Desk agent populates an interaction record with the relevant information.
- Because the issue cannot be resolved immediately, the Service Desk agent opens an incident, and the incident is assigned to a technician.
- The technician discovers that the printer network connection is broken.
- The technician fixes the connection and closes the incident.
- The Service Desk agent contacts the user and instructs the user to attempt printing to the network printer.
- If the user can successfully print, the Service Desk agent can close the interaction. If the user still cannot print, the Service Desk agent may reopen the existing related incident or create a new incident and then relate the unsolved interaction.
- If the user wishes to report a related or new issue, the Service Desk agent closes the interaction (as the original issue was resolved) and opens a new interaction detailing the new issue the user needs to report.

## User Interaction Management user roles

The following table describes the responsibilities of the User Interaction Management user roles.

**User Interaction Management user roles**

Role	Responsibilities
User	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Report all IT-related requests to the service desk or use the self-service Web pages.</li> <li>• Validate solutions and answers provided by the IT department to a registered service request.</li> </ul>
Service Desk Agent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Register interactions based on contact with user.</li> <li>• Match user interaction to incidents, problems, known errors, or knowledge document.</li> <li>• Solve and close interactions.</li> <li>• Provide status updates to users on request.</li> <li>• Register incident based on a user interaction and assign to the correct support group.</li> <li>• Register Request for Change, based on a user interaction.</li> <li>• Register Service Request, based on a user interaction.</li> <li>• Validate a solution provided by a support group.</li> <li>• Report and verify a solution to a user.</li> <li>• Monitor Service Level Agreement (SLA) targets of all incidents registered and escalate, if required.</li> <li>• Communicate about service outages to all users.</li> </ul>

## Input and output for User Interaction Management

Interactions can be triggered and resolved in several ways. The following table outlines the inputs and outputs for the User Interaction Management process.

**Input and output for User Interaction Management**

Input to User Interaction Management	Output from User Interaction Management
A user can contact the service desk and give input by using instant messages, phone, email, self-service web pages, or other means.	Service desk personnel can handle an interaction in the following ways:

#### Input and output for User Interaction Management, continued

Input to User Interaction Management	Output from User Interaction Management
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• If the interaction is related to a new or existing incident, the interaction is handled by using the Incident Management process.</li><li>• If the interaction involves a request, the interaction is sent to the request fulfillment process.</li><li>• If the interaction requires a change, the interaction is sent to the Change Management process.</li></ul>

## Key performance indicators for User Interaction Management

The Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) in the following table are useful for evaluating your indicators for User Interaction Management processes. To visualize trend information, it is useful to graph KPI data periodically. In addition to the data provided by Service Manager, you may need additional tools to report on all of your KPI requirements.

#### Key Performance Indicators for User Interaction Management

Title	Description
First time fix	Percentage of interactions closed by the Service Desk agent at first contact without reference to other levels of support
First line fix	Percentage of interactions closed by the service desk without reference to other levels of support
Customer satisfaction	Customer satisfaction measured by surveys completed by customers

For completeness, the ITIL V3 and COBIT 4.1 KPIs are included below.

## ITIL V3 Key Performance Indicators

The following are ITIL V3 KPIs for User Interaction Management:

- Percentage of incidents closed by the service desk without reference to other levels of support (that is, closed by first point of contact).
- Number and percentage of incidents processed by each Service Desk agent.

## COBIT 4.1 Key Performance Indicators

The following are the COBIT 4.1 KPIs for User Interaction Management:

- Amount of user satisfaction with first-line support (service desk or knowledgebase)
- Percent of first-line resolutions based on total number of requests
- Call-abandonment rate
- Average speed to respond to telephone and email or Web requests
- Percent of incidents and service requests reported and logged using automated tools
- Number of days of training per service desk staff member per year
- Number of calls handled per service staff member per hour
- Number of unresolved queries

## RACI matrix for User Interaction Management

A Responsible, Accountable, Consulted, and Informed (RACI) diagram or RACI matrix is used to describe the roles and responsibilities of various teams or people in delivering a project or operating a process. It is especially useful in clarifying roles and responsibilities in cross-functional/departmental projects and processes. The RACI matrix for User Interaction Management is shown in the following table.

**RACI matrix for User Interaction Management**

Process ID	Activity	User	Service Desk Agent	Service Desk Manager
SO 0.1	Self-Service by User	R	I	A
SO 0.2	Interaction Handling	R	R	A
SO 0.3	Interaction Closure	R/I	R	A



## Chapter 3: User Interaction Management Workflows

Every time a user contacts the service desk it is logged as an interaction. User interaction management is the process of handling all interactions with the service desk that are received from self-service Web pages or directly by service desk personnel. These interactions can include service disruptions, service requests, requests for information (RFI), and complaints reported by users who communicate with the service desk by using instant messages, phone, email, or self-service Web pages.

The Service Desk agent follows the necessary steps and searches for related knowledge records, known error records, and existing incidents or changes. The process enables Service Desk agents to easily log and resolve simple user requests and to escalate others into incidents requiring further action. The process streamlines service desk activities and decreases the workload for second-line support teams.

The User Interaction Management process consists of the following processes, which are included in this chapter:

- ["Self-Service by User \(process SO 0.1\)" on the next page](#)
- ["Interaction Handling \(process SO 0.2\)" on page 43](#)
- ["Interaction Matching and Escalation \(process SO 0.3\)" on page 48](#)


## Self-Service by User (process SO 0.1)

By using the self-service web environment, users can perform the following activities without contacting the service desk:

- Search the knowledgebase to find an answer to a question or issue
- Monitor the status of previously reported interactions
- Log new interactions
- Order items from the service catalog

You can see the details of this process in the following figure and table.

Self-Service by User (SO 0.1) is illustrated in the following figure:



### Self-Service by User (SO 0.1) process

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SO 0.1.1	Log in to Self-Service	To gain access to the Self-Service Web interface, users must log on by using their login credentials.	User
SO 0.1.2	Register new interaction?	If yes, continue with SO 0.1.3. If no, go to SO 0.1.9.	User
SO 0.1.3	Order item from Service Request Catalog?	If yes, log a service request. If no, submit a query to the KnowledgeBase.	User
SO 0.1.4	Submit query to Knowledge Base	To search for a knowledge document, users must complete a search.	User
SO 0.1.5	Satisfied with answer found?	If yes, stop. If not, go to SO 0.1.6.	User
SO 0.1.6	Open new interaction	To open a new interaction from the knowledge search screen, users must create a New Interaction.	User
SO 0.1.7	Manually fill out interaction details	To register a new interaction, users must provide a description of the request; select the urgency, affected Service, and preferred contact method; and can optionally add an attachment.	User
SO 0.1.8	Submit interaction	When all mandatory fields are completed, submit the form to send the request to the service desk.	User

**Self-Service by User (SO 0.1) process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
SO 0.1.9	Validate solution of solved interaction?	To validate the solution to a previously reported interaction, go to SO 0.1.10. If no, go to SO 0.1.13.	User
SO 0.1.10	Validate solution	Use View Open Requests to get an overview of all solved interactions. Select the applicable interaction and validate the solution provided.	User
SO 0.1.11	Interaction solved?	If yes, stop. If not, go to SO 0.1.12.	User
SO 0.1.12	Resubmit interaction and provide update	When a user disagrees with the proposed solution, the user can resubmit the interaction and provide a reason for the disagreement. The newly-created interaction is automatically linked to the old interaction and sent to the service desk for further diagnosis.	User
SO 0.1.13	Check history and outstanding Interactions?	If a user wants to check the status or history of previously registered interactions, go to SO 0.1.14. If no, stop.	User
SO 0.1.14	Check status of Interaction	Use View Open Requests to get an overview of all open or closed interactions. Select the interaction and view the status with last updates.	User
SO 0.1.15	Provide update?	If a user has additional details to add to the previously-logged interaction that may be useful to know for the specialist, go to SO 0.1.16. If no, stop.	User
SO 0.1.16	Update Interaction	There are two scenarios to update an interaction and have a Save button to save the updated information.	User

**Self-Service by User (SO 0.1) process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The Save button appears when a self-service user selects the option View Open Requests, selects an interaction, and clicks the Update button. Once the information is updated, the self-service user clicks Save to save the updated information in the request.</li><li>• When you escalate an interaction, you can go back to the interaction to add more information or perform changes to it. You then have a Save button when you select an existing interaction. The interaction is also a status of Open - Linked or Open - Callback. Once you have added more information to the request or performed the changes, you can click Save.</li></ul>	

## Interaction Handling (process SO 0.2)


The service desk is responsible for handling all user interactions received by the self-service Web portal, email, or phone. The service desk attempts to resolve an interaction when the user makes first contact with the service desk. Interaction Handling includes the registration and preliminary investigation of interactions including the matching against open incidents, problems, known errors, and the knowledgebase to maximize the first-line solving ratio.

When the service desk cannot close an interaction on first contact, the Service Desk agent escalates it to Incident Management, Change Management, or request fulfilment.

You can see the details of this process in the following figure and table.

Interaction Handling (SO 0.2) is illustrated in the following figure:





### Interaction Handling (SO 0.2) process

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SO 0.2.1	Link User details to new Interaction	Fill in the name of the caller in the Contact Person field and the name of the user in the Service Recipient field (if different).	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.2.2	Determine affected service	In the Affected Service field, select the service that matches the user request.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.2.3	Register New Interaction?	If the interaction is new, go to SO 0.2.5. If not, go to SO 0.2.8.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.2.4	Monitor for ESS newly created Interactions	If there are new Interactions, follow the same Interaction registration process.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.2.5	Apply Interaction Template (if appropriate)	If there is an interaction model available, apply the model to quickly define the interaction. If no model exists, the default interaction settings are shown.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.2.6	Follow Template instructions	The predefined fields are filled in from the model. When there is a script attached to the model, follow the questions and fill in the answers.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.2.7	Manually fill out Interaction details	Fill out the required interaction details such as short title, a full description, interaction type, and categorization. In addition, select the applicable impact and urgency. The assignment group is automatically filled in, based on the service and categorization selected.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.2.8	Provide update details to User	Inform the user of recent updates made by Analysts, and then update the interaction by stating that the user requested an update.	Service Desk

**Interaction Handling (SO 0.2) process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
			Agent
SO 0.2.9	Monitor for ESS Interaction Updates	If Interactions are updated, they must be reassessed and the related incident may need to be reopened.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.2.10	Assess Interaction Update	Evaluate Interactions that have been updated or resubmitted.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.2.11	Reopen closed Incident?	If the user is unhappy with a solution provided and the incident must be reopened, go to SO 0.2.12. If not, go to SO 0.2.15.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.2.12	Reopen Incident allowed?	If reopening the incident is allowed due to a user request during the period of two weeks after solution notification, go to SO 0.2.13. If not, go to SO 0.2.5.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.2.13	Reopen incident	Reopen the previously registered incident that was solved incorrectly by changing the status to Open and providing an update that states the reason that the incident was reopened.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.2.14	Complete/Update Interaction details & Relate to Incident	Relate the interaction to the open incident.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.2.15	User requesting closure?	If the user is requesting the incident be closed, go to SO 0.2.16. If not, go to SO 0.2.18.	Service Desk Agent

**Interaction Handling (SO 0.2) process, continued**


<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
SO 0.2.16	Update/Close related records	Update the record as needed and close it.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.2.17	Cancel newly created Interaction if appropriate	Cancel the newly created interaction if this registration is not needed anymore.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.2.18	Review/Manage records	Review the records and take action accordingly.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.2.19	Cancel newly created Interaction if appropriate	Cancel the newly created interaction if this registration is not needed anymore.	Service Desk Agent

## Interaction Matching and Escalation (process SO 0.3)

When an Interaction is received, the Service Desk Agent first determines if the Interaction is a service request or a request for change, and if so, logs the request. If the Service Desk Agent is not able to resolve the issue, the incident can either be related to an existing incident or logged as a new incident.

You can see the details of this process in the following figure and table.

Interaction Matching and Escalation (SO 0.3) is illustrated in the following figure:



### Interaction Matching and Escalation (SO 0.3) process

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SO 0.3.1	Determine Requirements	After filling out the Interaction details, the Service Desk Agent determines what requirements of the request.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.3.2	Service Request?	If a service request is needed, the Service Desk agent logs the request. If not, proceed to SO 0.3.3.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.3.3	Request for Change?	If a change is required, log the change request. If not, proceed to SO 0.3.4.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.3.4	Service Desk Agent able to solve?	If the Service Desk agent is able to solve the change request, proceed to SO 0.3.5. If not, proceed to SO 0.3.6.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.3.5	Document solution in Interaction	The Service Desk agent documents the solution implemented.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.3.6	Solution found in Knowledge Base?	If the solution is already documented in the Knowledge Base, proceed to SO 0.3.7. If not, proceed to SO 0.3.9.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.3.7	Relate Interaction to Knowledge record	The Service Desk Agent selects “use solution” in the knowledge record to record this as the knowledge source and auto populate details of the solution in the Interaction record solution field.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.3.8	Implement Solution	The Service Desk Agent then implements the solution for the User.	Service Desk

**Interaction Matching and Escalation (SO 0.3) process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
			Agent
SO 0.3.9	Match to open Incident?	The Service Desk Agents checks to see whether another open incident is similar to the new request, and if a match can be made. If a match can be made, proceed to SO 0.3.10. If not, log the incident.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.3.10	Relate Interaction to Incident	If an open incident matches the new request, the Service Desk Agent relates the two.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.3.11	Save and Provide Interaction ID to User	The Service Desk Agent saves the incident and provides the Interaction ID to the User.	Service Desk Agent


## Interaction Closure (process SO 0.4)

When an interaction is resolved by the Service Desk on first intake, or solved by a related incident, change, or request that is resolved, the interaction is closed. Based on user preferences, the Service Desk communicates the solution to the user by phone or email.

You can see the details of this process in the following figure and table.

Interaction Closure (SO 0.4) is illustrated in the following figure:





#### Interaction Closure (SO 0.4) process

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SO 0.4.1	Update Interaction from linked record	The Interaction could involve the closure of an incident, change request, or service request, or the submission of a complaint.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.4.2	Notify by phone?	If the Notify By method states that the user wants to be notified by phone, go to SO 0.4.5. If not, go to SO 0.4.3.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.4.3	Notify by email?	If the Notify By method states that the user wants to be notified by email, go to SO 0.4.4. If not, the User does not need to be notified.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.4.4	Send email notification to User	Send the email notification.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.4.5	Check solution completeness	The Service Desk agent checks the solution provided for all Open-Callback interactions.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.4.6	Service Desk Agent accepts solution?	If yes, go to SO 0.4.7. If not, go to SO 0.4.11	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.4.7	Verify solution with the User(s)	The Service Desk Agent contacts the user and communicates the resolution. The user should verify the solution and confirm that the incident is solved and that the question or complaint is answered, or the Service Request is fulfilled.	Service Desk Agent
SO	User accepts	If yes, go to SO 0.4.9. If no, go to SO 0.4.10.	Service

**Interaction Closure (SO 0.4) process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
0.4.8	solution?		Desk Agent
SO 0.4.9	Close interaction	The Service Desk Agent closes the interaction.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.4.10	Reopen or create new Incident	The solution provided may not solve the issue for all users. If the solution does not solve the issue for all users, the Service Desk Agent must either reopen the existing record or log the incident.	Service Desk Agent
SO 0.4.11	Reopen relevant record	The Service Desk agent reopens the incident for further investigation and diagnosis.	Service Desk Agent

## Chapter 4: User Interaction Management Details

HP Service Manager uses its Service Desk application to enable the User Interaction Management process. The main function of Service Manager is to monitor, track, and record calls and open incidents, as necessary.

In User Interaction Management, a Service Desk Agent receives a call and opens a new interaction. The Service Desk Agent fills in the required fields, and then chooses to close the interaction or escalate it to an incident.

This section describes selected User Interaction Management fields in the out-of-box Service Manager system.

Topics in this section include:

- ["New interaction form" below](#)
- ["Interaction form after escalation" on the next page](#)
- ["User Interaction Management form details" on page 58](#)
- ["Interaction categories" on page 66](#)

### New interaction form

When a Service Desk Agent clicks Register a New Interaction, Service Desk displays the new interaction form. The required fields in this form must be populated to register the new interaction. Service Desk fills in some of the fields automatically. The Service Desk Agent must fill in the others.

A new interaction that has been filled in is illustrated in the following screenshot:

Interaction Details

Interaction ID

SD10319

Handle Time

00:19:54

Contact

\* ADAMS, IRENE

Service Recipient

\* EMPLOYEE, JOE

Location

North America

Notify By

\* E-mail

Affected Service

\* MyDevices

Affected CI

Title

\* Desktop reboots with BIOS message

Description

\* Desktop reboots with BIOS message CPU temperature

Closure Code

Knowledge Source

Solution

Category

\* incident

Area

\* hardware

Subarea

\* hardware failure

Impact

\* 4 - User

Urgency

\* 3 - Average

## Interaction form after escalation

After the Service Desk Agent escalates the interaction, Service Desk displays new sections and fields.

The same interaction after escalation is illustrated in the following screenshot:

▼ Activities

New Update Type

☐ Visible to Customer

New Update

Journal Updates

07/23/08 17:47:29 US/Mountain (prietke):  
test  
07/23/08 14:56:17 US/Mountain (prietke):  
test

Activity Type

Date/Time	Type	Operator	Description
<a href="#">10/05/07 05:14:00</a>	Open	Jaco.Staple	Laptop cannot boot Operating System
<a href="#">10/05/07 05:14:00</a>	Status Change	Jaco.Staple	Incident Status Changed to Work In Progress from Open

▼ Related Records

ID	Type
<a href="#">S010046</a>	Interaction

► Attachments - 0 file(s) attached

► Affected Services

► SLA

## User Interaction Management form details

The following table identifies and describes some of the features on Service Desk's User Interaction Management forms.

### User Interaction Management form details

Label	Description
Interaction ID	Service Manager populates this field with a unique ID when a Service Desk Agent registers a new interaction.
Status	Service Manager populates this field with a predetermined status when a Service Desk

**User Interaction Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
	<p>Agent closes or escalates an interaction.</p> <p>The options in this field have been revised to align with our new best practices.</p> <div style="background-color: #f0f0f0; padding: 10px;"> <p><b>Tip:</b> You may want to tailor these options to match your business needs.</p> </div> <p>These statuses are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Open-Idle</b> — The interaction has no incidents, changes, or other records related to it. The call has been opened, but not escalated or closed. For example, when the Service Desk Agent is still on the phone with the customer, or when a self-service user has created a request.</li> <li>• <b>Open-Linked</b> — The call has been escalated or the catalog request approved and the interaction is now related to another record, such as an incident, change, or request.</li> <li>• <b>Open-Callback</b> — There is an action pending for the interaction. The Service Desk Agent must now call the contact. When the related record is closed, the interaction is automatically set to open-callback. if the Notify By field is set to telephone for that user.</li> <li>• <b>Closed</b> — The interaction was closed by the help desk or automatically after the related record was closed.</li> </ul>
Contact	<p>The Service Desk Agent populates this field with the contact name related to the company from which this call was received for this interaction. The contact person is not necessarily the same person as the service recipient. This field ensures that the correct person will be notified about updates to the interaction.</p> <p>After filling in the contact name, the Service Desk Agent can use the Smart Indicator positioned at the end of the field to view open or closed interactions for this contact. This field includes a hover-over form that displays full name, telephone, and email address if available for the contact.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Service Recipient	<p>The person who has the problem and needs it resolved. It is not necessarily the person who is calling to report the problem. Filling in this field automatically fills in the contact name from the contact record of who should be notified of the resolution.</p> <p>The Service Desk Agent populates this field with the person this issue is registered for. When the primary contact is also the service recipient, Service Manager fills this field in after the service is selected. This field includes a hover-over form that displays full</p>

**User Interaction Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
	<p>name, telephone, and email address if available for the service recipient.</p> <p>After filling in the service recipient, the Service Desk Agent can use the Smart Indicator positioned at the end of the field to view open or closed interactions for this contact.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Location	<p>The location for which the interaction has been reported. The field is for informational purposes only.</p> <p>Location data is customer and implementation specific.</p>
Notify By	<p>To notify the customer when the issue has been resolved, Service Manager prepopulates this field with Email. The Service Desk Agent can change it to None or Telephone, if applicable.</p> <p>When the related incident or change is closed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Selecting Email sends email to the contact and closes the interaction</li> <li>• Selecting None closes the interaction without notifying the contact</li> <li>• Selecting Telephone sets the interaction to the status Open-Callback, which tells the Service Desk Agent to call the contact. The Service Desk Agent asks the contact whether the solution is satisfactory and indicates the answer on the Required Actions tab. If the solution works for the customer, you close the interaction. If it does not work, then you must reopen the incident.</li> </ul> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Affected Service	<p>The Service Desk Agent populates this field with the business service affected by the registered issue. Only business services the service recipient has a subscription for can be selected. As a best practice, users should select the affected service before selecting the Affected CI because the Affected CI selection is limited by the service selected by a user. Selecting the service first prevents a mismatch between the service and the CI. ITIL V3 is centered around services, so a service construct should always be defined for best practices. If you have not yet created a service construct, start with a catch-all service, such as My Devices.</p> <div style="background-color: #f0f0f0; padding: 10px;"> <p><b>Note:</b> The out-of-box options in this field are based on past Service Manager implementations. You should tailor these options to match your business needs.</p> </div> <p>These business services are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Applications</li> </ul>



**User Interaction Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• E-mail/Webmail</li> <li>• Handheld PDA &amp; Telephony</li> <li>• Intranet</li> <li>• Internet</li> <li>• My Devices (The My Devices service represents all personal devices that the user would use.)</li> <li>• Printing</li> </ul> <p>Selecting the service:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• May limit the list of affected CIs.</li> <li>• Validates that it is a valid service.</li> </ul> <p>An end user is more likely to know that the e-mail service does not work than what part of the e-mail service does not work.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p> <div> <p><b>Tip:</b> You can use the Smart Indicator, positioned at the end of the field, to search for related incidents or problems.</p> </div>
Affected CI	<p>The Service Desk Agent populates this field with the configuration item (CI). Click Fill to select from a list of the physical CIs that relate to the service. Other CIs can be entered manually.</p> <p>If the business service does not contain any CIs, then the list shows only the CIs that the service recipient is subscribed to and the CIs that are assigned to the service recipient. If you choose an application, you are presented with a list of CIs in the service, as well as those that you own. This field includes a hover-over form that displays Critical CI and Pending Change check boxes to indicate whether or not these attributes apply to the CI.</p> <p>After filling in the affected CI, the Service Desk Agent can use the Smart Indicator positioned at the end of the field to search for open and closed incidents for this CI, and to view the details.</p>
Title	<p>The Service Desk Agent populates this field with a brief description that identifies the interaction.</p>

**User Interaction Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
	<p><b>Note:</b> Service Manager searches this field when you do an advanced or expert text search.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Description	<p>The Service Desk Agent populates this field with a detailed description of the interaction. When the location and telephone number differ from the contact details, the Service Desk Agent can record the correct information in the description field.</p> <p>Clicking Search Knowledge searches description fields across multiple Service Manager knowledgebases for the text entered. Depending on the permissions of the user, Service Manager may look in interactions, incidents, problems, known errors, and knowledge documents. The Service Desk Agent can use the solution from any returned document as the solution for the interaction.</p> <p><b>Note:</b> Service Manager searches this field when you do an advanced or expert text search.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Closure Code	<p>This field contains a predefined closure code, describing the way this issue has been solved. The out-of-box options in this field are based on Service Manager customer reference data.</p> <p><b>Note:</b> You may want to tailor these options to match your business needs.</p> <p>These closure codes are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not Reproducible</li> <li>• Out of Scope</li> <li>• Request Rejected</li> <li>• Solved by Change/Service Request</li> <li>• Solved by User Instruction</li> <li>• Solved by Workaround</li> <li>• Unable to solve</li> <li>• Withdrawn by User</li> </ul>

**User Interaction Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
Knowledge Source	<p>This field contains the reference number of the document from the knowledge base document used to solve the issue.</p> <p>If you find a knowledge article by using Search Knowledge, and then click Use Knowledge in that article to provide the solution to your customer, this field is populated with the Document ID of the document you used.</p> <p>If you do not use a knowledge document or if you do not click Use Knowledge in the knowledge document, this field is left blank.</p>
Solution	<p>This field contains a description of the solution used for this interaction.</p> <p><b>Note:</b> Service Manager searches this field when you do an advanced or expert text search.</p>
Category	<p>This field describes the type of interaction. The interaction type determines the process to escalate to when the interaction cannot be solved on first intake.</p> <p>The categories are based on ITIL service-centric processes, and therefore focus on enabling assignment, reporting, and operational analysis for knowledge management purposes.</p> <p>From the category dropdown:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Complaint &gt; Escalate — Service Manager creates a new incident.</li> <li>Incident &gt; Escalate — You can relate the interaction to an existing incident, an existing known error, or create a new incident.</li> <li>Request for Change &gt; Escalate — Service Manager creates a new change request.</li> <li>Request for Information &gt; Escalate — Service Manager creates a new incident.</li> <li><b>More</b> or More Actions icon &gt; Order from Catalog — Service Catalog opens, allowing you to place an order. The interaction is given the category service catalog. Service Catalog interactions are not escalated. When you approve the interaction, it opens the related record as defined in the service catalog connector.</li> </ul> <p>For more information on Categories and the areas and subareas associated with them, see <a href="#">"Interaction categories" on page 66</a>.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Area	<p>The Service Desk Agent populates this field with the area of concern.</p>

**User Interaction Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
	<p>Service Manager displays different lists of areas, depending on the category you selected. For more information on categories and the areas and subareas associated with them, see <a href="#">"Interaction categories" on page 66</a>.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Subarea	<p>The third level of classifying an interaction, mainly used for reporting purposes.</p> <p>Service Manager displays different lists of subareas, depending on the area you selected. For more information on categories and the areas and subareas associated with them, see <a href="#">"Interaction categories" on page 66</a>.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Impact	<p>The Service Desk Agent populates this field with the impact the interaction has on the business. The impact and the urgency are used to calculate the priority. The impact is based on how much of the business is affected by the issue.</p> <p>The stored value can be 1-4, as follows.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1 - Enterprise</li> <li>• 2 - Site/Dept</li> <li>• 3 - Multiple Users</li> <li>• 4 - User</li> </ul> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Urgency	<p>The urgency indicates how pressing the issue is for the service recipient. The urgency and the impact are used to calculate the priority.</p> <p>The stored value can be 1-4, as follows.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1 - Critical</li> <li>• 2 - High</li> <li>• 3 - Average</li> <li>• 4 - Low</li> </ul> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Priority	<p>This field describes the order in which to address this interaction in comparison to others. It contains a priority value calculated by <math>(\text{impact} + \text{urgency})/2</math>. Decimals are</p>

**User Interaction Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
	<p>truncated.</p> <p>The stored value based on that calculation can be 1-4, as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1 - Critical</li> <li>• 2 - High</li> <li>• 3 - Average</li> <li>• 4 - Low</li> </ul>
Approval Status	<p>This field is only used when you request something from the catalog.</p> <p>When you submit an order from the catalog, Service Manager automatically creates an interaction which, based on approval requirements, may have to be approved before it can be fulfilled. Service Manager populates this field with the current approval status for this interaction.</p> <p>These approval statuses are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pending — The request has not been approved or a prior approval or denial has been retracted.</li> <li>• Approved — All approval requirements are approved, or no approval necessary.</li> <li>• Denied — The request has been denied.</li> </ul>
Activities	<p>The Activities section records information that the Service Desk Agent enters during the lifecycle of the Interaction. Every time you update an interaction, you must fill in an update on the Activities section (New Update). A log of all the updates is stored on the Journal Updates and activities list. Activities from related records that are flagged as customer visible also display here.</p>
Related Records	<p>The Related Records section contains a list of all related records for the interaction. These may include related incidents, known errors, changes, and quotes.</p>
SLA	<p>The SLA (Service Level Agreement) section displays SLAs related to the interaction.</p> <p>SLAs in interactions are customer-related and selected, based on the customer contact or department and service related to the issue. The Service Level Objective (SLO) defines the details, such as beginning and ending state, and time allowed between these states. SLA selection takes place when a Service Desk Agent escalates the interaction. The best practice is that the Service Desk Agent should communicate the time of the next breach to the customer at this point. If SLAs are configured to be handled in the background, the information in this section may not display immediately.</p>

**User Interaction Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
	<p><b>Note:</b> The out-of-box system is set up to run SLAs in the foreground. Tailoring the system to run SLAs in the background complicates communicating with the customer and should be avoided.</p>
Escalate button	<p>The Service Desk Agent clicks this button to create an incident from this interaction. The customer's issue could not be solved immediately.</p> <p>When research time is required, the Interaction should be escalated to an incident or a change, not saved as an interaction. There is no monitoring on saved interactions, other than self-service interactions.</p> <p>If the Service Desk has a role in the Incident Management process, this incident may be assigned to the Service Desk, and the Service Desk Agent can still work on it.</p> <p>Clicking Escalate starts the Escalate Interaction wizard.</p> <p><b>Tip:</b> You may want to tailor the Escalate Interaction - Incident wizard to prepopulate desired information.</p> <p>For more information on the Escalate Interaction wizard, see <a href="#">"Escalate Interaction wizard" on page 69</a>.</p>
Revert	<p>The Service Desk Agent selects this action to reload the last saved version of a submitted self-service Incident, or to clear all data from the screen.</p> <p><b>Note:</b> All changes after the last save will be lost.</p>
Close Interaction button	<p>The Service Desk Agent clicks this button to close the interaction. The customer's issue was resolved and requires no further action.</p>

## Interaction categories

The category hierarchy was designed to support the ITIL V3 model of service-centric support. It is a natural-language-based hierarchy meant to enable the Service Desk Agent to easily classify the Interaction. The three-level hierarchy (category, area, and sub-area) creates a “sentence” that clearly and uniquely defines the issue without ambiguity.

The category determines which process the record belongs to. Combined with the area and subarea, it also is used for to report results and to determine the knowledgebase assignment for the event.

**Note:** Since the category values represent best practices, customizing this data is not expected. The area and subarea fields can be customized; however, they should cover the scope of the IT Service provisioning in natural language definition and should remain unmodified. If you choose to customize the areas and subareas, be sure to set them up in a natural easy-to-follow hierarchy.

The categories, areas, and subareas that come with Service Desk out-of-box are captured in this table.

#### Categories, areas, and subareas

Category	Area	Sub-area
complaint	service delivery	availability
complaint	service delivery	functionality
complaint	service delivery	performance
complaint	support	incident resolution quality
complaint	support	incident resolution time
complaint	support	person
incident	access	authorization error
incident	access	login failure
incident	data	data or file corrupted
incident	data	data or file incorrect
incident	data	data or file missing
incident	data	storage limit exceeded
incident	failure	error message
incident	failure	function or feature not working
incident	failure	job failed
incident	failure	system down
incident	hardware	hardware failure
incident	hardware	missing or stolen

**Categories, areas, and subareas, continued**

Category	Area	Sub-area
incident	performance	performance degradation
incident	performance	system or application hangs
incident	security	security breach
incident	security	security event/message
incident	security	virus alert
problem	access	authorization error
problem	access	login failure
problem	data	data or file corrupted
problem	data	data or file incorrect
problem	data	data or file missing
problem	data	storage limit exceeded
problem	failure	error message
problem	failure	function or feature not working
problem	failure	job failed
problem	failure	system down
problem	hardware	hardware failure
problem	hardware	missing or stolen
problem	performance	performance degradation
problem	performance	system or application hangs
problem	security	security breach
problem	security	security event/message
problem	security	virus alert
request for change	service portfolio	new service
request for change	service portfolio	upgrade / new release
request for information	general information	general information



**Categories, areas, and subareas, continued**

Category	Area	Sub-area
request for information	how to	how to
request for information	status	status
service catalog	service catalog	service catalog

## Escalate Interaction wizard

Depending on your selection, the Escalate Interaction wizard opens one of the following wizards:

- **Escalate Interaction - Complaint wizard**  
The Escalate Interaction - Complaint wizard creates a new incident in the background, and assigns it to the Service Desk Manager.
- **Escalate Interaction - Incident wizard**  
The Escalate Interaction - Incident wizard requests further information, including location and assignment, and creates an incident.

Each CI has a location.code that it is assigned to, and each device has an assignment group it defaults to. If the CI is at a different location from its default, the location information is important to the person assigned to the incident. The system generates a list of all assignment groups for the selected service or CI. The Service Desk Analyst can only assign the interaction to a listed service or CI.

The location information is used for dispersed global assignment groups. The information can be used in inboxes to show only incidents local or close to the technician's location.

When you relate the incident to a known error (KE), you can call the Escalate Interaction - Incident-KE wizard. If the Service Desk Analyst selects a KE, the system presents the workaround from that KE to the Service Desk Analyst to validate and to add interaction-specific information. The workaround text is subsequently used as the solution text for the interaction.

- **Escalate Interaction - RFI wizard**  
The Escalate Interaction - RFI wizard creates a new incident in the background with the default category Request for Information (RFI). The RFI incident is assigned to the Service Desk assignment group.

- **Escalate Interaction - RFC wizard**

The Escalate Interaction - RFC wizard creates a new change request in the background, in the review phase, with the category “default”.

## Chapter 5: Incident Management Overview

The HPService Manager Incident Management application, referred to as Incident Management throughout this chapter, supports the Incident Management process. It provides comprehensive Incident Management that allows you to restore normal service operation as quickly as possible and minimize the adverse impact on business operations.

Incident Management enables you to categorize and track various types of incidents (such as service unavailability or performance issues and hardware or software failures) and to ensure that incidents are resolved within agreed on service level targets.

This section describes how Incident Management implements the best practice guidelines for the Incident Management processes.

Topics in this section include:

- ["Incident Management within the ITIL framework" below](#)
- ["Incident Management application" on the next page](#)
- ["Incident Management process overview" on page 73](#)
- ["Input and output for Incident Management" on page 76](#)
- ["Key performance indicators for Incident Management" on page 76](#)
- ["RACI matrix for Incident Management" on page 79](#)

### Incident Management within the ITIL framework

Incident Management is addressed in ITIL's *Service Operation* publication. The document describes Incident Management as the process responsible for restoring normal service operation as quickly as possible.

The ITIL publication points out that Incident Management is highly visible to the business, and therefore it is often easier to demonstrate its value in comparison to other areas of Service Operation. These values include:

- the ability to detect and resolve incidents, resulting in lower downtime and higher service availability
- the ability to align IT activity to real-time business priorities

- the ability to identify potential improvements to services, and additional service or training requirements

## Incident Management application

The Incident Management application automates reporting and tracking of a single incident or a group of incidents associated with a business enterprise. It enables you to categorize types of incidents, and keep track of their resolution.

With Incident Management, the appropriate people can escalate and reassign incidents. Incident Management can also automatically issue alerts or escalate an incident to properly meet the agreed-upon terms of the service contract. For example, if a network printer is disabled, a technician or manager can escalate the incident to a higher priority to ensure that the incident is fixed quickly.

Incident Management restores normal service operation as quickly as possible and minimizes the adverse impact on business operations, thus ensuring that the best possible levels of service quality and availability are maintained. It includes events that are communicated directly by Users, either through the Service Desk or through an automated interface between Event Management and Incident Management tools.

Incident Management defines normal service operation as service performance to meet Service Level Agreement (SLA), Operation Level Agreement (OLA), and Underpinning Contract (UC) targets.

Incidents can be reported and logged by support staff, who may notify the Service Desk if they notice an issue. Not all events are logged as incidents. Many classes of events are not related to disruptions at all, but are indicators of normal operation or are simply informational.

## Notes for Incident Management implementation

The new Incident Management best practices make some changes you may want to take into consideration when implementing your updated system.

### Incident Closure process

Service Manager includes the Service Desk application to perform user interaction activities. Service Manager is configured out-of-box to use a one-step Incident Closure process. Therefore, incident personnel can close the incident directly after resolving it. The Service Desk takes care of notifying the end user and closing the interaction that initiated the incident.

Legacy Service Manager customers who did not activate Service Desk and used a two-step incident close will find that this is no longer necessary, because the Service Desk application is now included.

## Incident information

The incident includes the information essential to assigning and addressing the incident. It does not include contact information for the person who initiated the incident, for several reasons. First, several contacts could be directly related to a single incident. If only the contact information for the first was recorded, the analyst might only focus on that customer and not check for related interactions. In addition, contact and customer related data is stored in the interaction record, as the Interaction Management process defines the transition point between the end user and IT.


Although the incident does not directly display the information about the person who initiated the incident, that information can be easily retrieved by clicking **More** or the More Actions icon to view any interaction records that are related to the incident.

## Incident Management process overview

The Incident Management process includes all necessary steps to log and resolve an incident, including any necessary escalations or reassignments. Monitoring of Service Level Agreements (SLAs), Operation Level Agreements (OLAs), and Underpinning Contracts (UCs) are also part of the overall process.

When an incident is opened, the associated SLA starts tracking the time that elapses. The Incident Coordinator assigns the incident to an Incident Analyst for investigation and diagnosis. If necessary, the incident can be reassigned to a different assignment group.

A general overview of the Incident Management processes and workflows is depicted in the figure below. They are described in detail in ["Incident Management Workflows"](#).



## Incident Management user roles

The following table describes the responsibilities of the Incident Management user roles.

### Incident Management User Roles and Responsibilities

Role	Responsibilities
Operator	Registers incidents based on an event and assigns them to the correct support group.
Service Desk Agent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Register interactions based on contact with user.</li> <li>Match user interaction to incidents, problems, known errors, or knowledge</li> </ul>

**Incident Management User Roles and Responsibilities , continued**

Role	Responsibilities
	<p>document.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Solve and close interactions.</li> <li>• Provide status updates to users on request.</li> <li>• Register incident based on a user interaction and assign to the correct support group.</li> <li>• Register Request for Change, based on a user interaction.</li> <li>• Register Service Request, based on a user interaction.</li> <li>• Validate a solution provided by a support group.</li> <li>• Report and verify a solution to a user.</li> <li>• Monitor Service Level Agreement (SLA) targets of all incidents registered and escalate, if required.</li> <li>• Communicate about service outages to all users.</li> </ul>
Incident Analyst	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reviews and accepts or rejects assigned incidents.</li> <li>• Investigates and diagnoses incidents.</li> <li>• Documents incident resolutions or workarounds in the Service Management application.</li> <li>• Implements incident resolutions.</li> <li>• Verifies that incidents are resolved and closes them.</li> </ul>
Incident Coordinator	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reviews and accepts or rejects incidents assigned to the support group.</li> <li>• Handles incidents escalated by an Incident Analyst of the support group.</li> <li>• Monitors Operational Level Agreements (OLA) and Underpinning Contracts (UC) targets of the support group.</li> </ul>
Incident Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Handles incidents escalated by the Incident Coordinator or by the Service Desk Agent.</li> <li>• Determines and executes the appropriate escalation actions.</li> <li>• Requests an Emergency Change, if required.</li> </ul>

## Input and output for Incident Management

Incidents can be triggered and resolved in several ways. The following table outlines the inputs and outputs for the Incident Management process.

### Input and output for Incident Management

Input to Incident Management	Output from Incident Management
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Customer interactions with the Service Desk, which can be escalated to incidents</li><li>• Event management tool, which automatically opens incidents</li><li>• Support staff<sup>1</sup></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Resolved incidents</li><li>• Documented workarounds, solutions, or knowledge articles</li><li>• New problems, changes, or incidents</li></ul> <p>Incidents can also trigger several other Service Manager processes, as described in the next section.</p>

<sup>1</sup>Service Manager user roles assigned to staff who can open incidents directly include Incident Managers, Incident Coordinators, Configuration Auditors, Operators, Request Administrators, Request Procurement Managers, and System Administrators.

## Key performance indicators for Incident Management

The Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) in the following table are useful for evaluating your Incident Management processes. To visualize trend information, it is useful to graph KPI data periodically. In addition to the data provided by Service Manager, you may need additional tools to report on all of your KPI requirements.

### Key Performance Indicators for Incident Management

Title	Description
% of incidents closed within SLA target time	The number of incidents closed within the SLA target time, relative to the number of all incidents closed, in a given time period.
% of reopened incidents	The number of incidents closed that were reopened because the solution was not accepted by the customer, relative to the number of all incidents closed, in a given time period.



**Key Performance Indicators for Incident Management, continued**

Title	Description
Backlog of incidents	The number of incidents that are not yet closed, in a given time period.
Total number of incidents	Total number of new reported incidents, in a given time period.

For completeness, the ITIL V3 and COBIT 4.1 KPIs are included below.

## ITIL V3 Key Performance Indicators

The following are ITIL V3 KPIs for Incident Management:

- Total number of incidents (as a control measure)
- Breakdown of incidents at each stage (for example, logged, work in progress, and closed)
- Size of current incident backlog
- Number and percentage of major incidents
- Mean elapsed time to achieve incident resolution or circumvention, separated by impact code
- Percentage of incidents handled within target response time; incident response-time targets may be specified in SLAs, for example, by impact and urgency codes
- Average cost per incident
- Number of incidents reopened and as a percentage of the total
- Number and percentage of incidents incorrectly assigned
- Number and percentage of incidents incorrectly categorized
- Number and percentage of incidents resolved remotely, without the need for a visit
- Number of incidents handled by each incident model
- Breakdown of incidents by time of day, which helps pinpoint peaks and ensure matching of resources

## COBIT 4.1 Key Performance Indicators

The following are the COBIT 4.1 KPIs for Incident Management:

- Percent of incidents resolved within the time period specified
- Percent of incidents reopened
- Average duration of incidents by severity
- Percent of incidents that require local support (that is, field support or a personal visit)

## RACI matrix for Incident Management

A Responsible, Accountable, Consulted, and Informed (RACI) diagram or RACI matrix is used to describe the roles and responsibilities of various teams or people in delivering a project or operating a process. It is especially useful in clarifying roles and responsibilities in cross-functional/departmental projects and processes. The RACI matrix for Incident Management is shown in the following table.

**RACI Matrix for Incident Management**

Process ID	Activity	Incident Manager	Incident Coordinator	Incident Analyst	Incident Operator	Service Desk Agent	Service Desk Manager	User
SO 2.1	Incident Logging	A	I		R	R		
SO 2.2	Incident Assignment	A	R	R				
SO 2.3	Incident Investigation and Diagnosis	A	C/I	R				C/I
SO 2.4	Incident Resolution and Recovery	A	C/I	R				C/I
SO 2.5	Incident Closure	A	C/I	R	I	I		I
SO 2.6	Incident Escalation	R/A	R	I				
SO 2.7	SLA Monitoring	A/I	I	I		R		
SO 2.8	OLA and UC Monitoring	A/I	R	I				
SO 2.9	Complaint Handling	A/I					R	C/I

## Chapter 6: Incident Management Workflows

The Incident Management process logs, investigates, diagnoses, and resolves incidents. Incidents can be initiated by the escalation of Service Desk interactions or automatically detected and reported by event monitoring tools. The process includes all necessary steps to log and resolve an incident, including any necessary escalations or reassignments.

The Incident Management process consists of the following processes, which are included in this chapter:

- ["Incident Logging \(process SO 2.1\)" on the next page](#)
- ["Incident Assignment \(process SO 2.2\)" on page 86](#)
- ["Incident Investigation and Diagnosis \(process SO 2.3\)" on page 90](#)
- ["Incident Resolution and Recovery \(process SO 2.4\)" on page 94](#)
- ["Incident Closure \(process SO 2.5\)" on page 98](#)
- ["Incident Escalation \(process SO 2.6\)" on page 101](#)
- ["SLA Monitoring \(process SO 2.7\)" on page 106](#)
- ["OLA and UC Monitoring \(process SO 2.8\)" on page 110](#)
- ["Complaint Handling \(process SO 2.9\)" on page 114](#)

## Incident Logging (process SO 2.1)

Incidents are initiated and logged as part of the Interaction Management or the Event Management process, depending on the source and nature of the incident. All relevant information relating to incidents must be logged so that a full historical record is maintained. By maintaining accurate and complete incidents, future assigned support group personnel are better able to resolve recorded incidents.


- If the incident is logged by the Service Desk Agent, most incident details are already provided by the interaction record. The Service Desk Agent verifies the Assignment Group to make sure the selected group is the most suitable group to solve the incident. If an incident is categorized as a complaint, the Complaint Handling process is triggered.
- If an incident is logged by an Operator, usually by using a system management tool, the incident must be based on the applicable incident model.

Operators and Service Desk Agents can perform the following Incident Logging tasks:

- Create new incident from monitoring system notification (Operator)
- Create new incident from user interaction (Service Desk Agent)
- Review and update incident information (Service Desk Agent)

You can see the details of this process in the following figure and table.

The Incident Logging workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Incident Logging process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 2.1.1	Create new incident	A User interaction cannot be solved on first intake and is escalated to the Service Manager process. The interaction is automatically related to the newly created incident. The Service Desk Analyst creates an incident from an interaction.	Service Desk Agent
S0 2.1.2	Concerns complaint?	Does the incident concern a complaint? If yes, go to S0 2.1.5. If no, go to S0 2.1.3	Service Desk Agent
S0 2.1.3	Assign Incident to Service Desk group	Based on the categorization and the affected services, the incident is automatically assigned to the responsible support group. The Service Desk Analyst verifies that the assignment is correct.	Service Desk Agent
S0 2.1.4	Provide interaction number and SLA target to User	The Service Desk Analyst provides the interaction number to the User. The User keeps the interaction number as a reference to the incident. The Service Desk Analyst also provides a target solution date based on the SLA.	Service Desk Agent
S0 2.1.5	Assign incident to Service Desk group	Incidents categorized as complaints are initially assigned to the Service Desk Group.	Service Desk Agent
S0 2.1.6	Provide interaction number and SLA target to User	The Service Desk Analyst provides the interaction number to the User. The User keeps the interaction number as a reference to the incident. The Service Desk Analyst also provides a target solution date based on the SLA.	Service Desk Agent
S0 2.1.7	Assign incident to Service Desk Manager	After saving, the incident is assigned to the Service Desk Manager (see S0 2.9.1).	Service Desk Agent
S0 2.1.8	Review rejected Incident information	An incident can be rejected by an assignment group due to incorrect assignment or incomplete information. If this is the case, the Service Desk Analyst reviews the logged comments and corrects	Service Desk



**Incident Logging process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
		the information or assignment.	Agent
SO 2.1.9	Information complete?	If no, go to SO 2.1.10. If yes, go to SO 2.1.11. All known errors will have a workaround. The Incident might only remain open for problems. Additionally, the Incident Management process remains responsible.	Service Desk Agent
SO 2.1.10	Gather required information and update Incident	Gather the missing required information and update the incident with the information. Contact the User if necessary.	Service Desk Agent
SO 2.1.11	Assign Incident to group	The Service Desk Agent updates the status to Open and assigns the record to the appropriate assignment group. Go to SO 2.2.1 in order for the Incident Coordinator to review Incident information.	Operator
SO 2.1.12	Create new Incident	An Incident is detected when monitoring the IT infrastructure. The Operator (or Initiator) decides to create an Incident manually or an Incident is generated automatically, depending on tool settings.  Go to SO 2.1.13 to select an Incident template (if appropriate).	Operator
SO 2.1.13	Select Incident template (if appropriate)	The Operator (or Initiator) selects an incident template from a list, or a template is selected automatically, depending on the settings.	Operator
SO 2.1.14	Follow template instructions	The Operator (or Initiator) provides and records the incident details based on the instructions provided by the incident template. The template instructions may filled in by predefined scripts.	Operator
SO 2.1.15	Provide Title/Description/CI	Provide a suitable title and description for the incident. This might be based on the event text. If possible, the affected Configuration Item should be selected.	Operator
SO 2.1.16	Select Category and Priority	Select the suitable Category and Priority by selecting the applicable impact level and urgency.	Operator

**Incident Logging process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
S0 2.1.17	Assign incident to group	The incident is automatically assigned to the responsible support group, based on the incident categorization and the associated affected services.	Operator

## Incident Assignment (process S0 2.2)

Incidents are logged from an interaction by a Service Desk Agent or from an event by an Operator. The Incident Coordinator monitors the incident queue, reviews open status incidents, and determines from the information provided whether to accept or reject incidents. When an incident is accepted, it is assigned to an Incident Analyst for further investigation and diagnosis.

The Incident Analyst receives an assigned incident and determines whether the incident can be resolved with the tools and knowledge available. If the incident cannot be resolved, the Incident Analyst rejects the incident and reassigns it to the Incident Coordinator.

You can see the details of this process in the following figure and table.

The Incident Assignment workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Incident Assignment process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 2.2.1	Review Incident information	The Incident Coordinator monitors the incident queue and reviews all incoming Incidents.	Incident Coordinator
S0 2.2.2	Incident complete and correctly assigned?	The Incident Coordinator verifies that there is sufficient information available in the incident to diagnose the incident and verifies that the incident is assigned to the correct support group. If yes, continue with S0 2.2.3. If no, go to S0 2.2.8.	Incident Coordinator
S0 2.2.3	Assign Incident to analyst	The Incident Coordinator accepts the incident and assigns it to an Incident Analyst from the Incident Coordinator's group for further investigation and diagnosis.	Incident Coordinator
S0 2.2.4	Review Incident information	The Incident Analyst monitors the queue of incidents assigned to him/her and reviews the incoming incidents.	Incident Analyst
S0 2.2.5	Able to resolve Incident?	The Incident Analyst reviews the assigned incident to see if he/she can resolve it. If yes, continue with S0 2.2.6. If no, go to S0 2.2.7.	Incident Analyst
S0 2.2.6	Accept Incident	The Incident Analyst accepts the incident by changing the status to Accepted.	Incident Analyst
S0 2.2.7	Reassign Incident to coordinator	An Incident is detected when monitoring the IT infrastructure. The Operator (or Initiator) decides to create an Incident manually or an Incident is generated automatically, depending on tool settings.  Go to S0 2.1.13 to select an Incident template (if appropriate).	Incident Analyst
S0 2.2.8	Reject Incident	The Incident Coordinator rejects the incident and reassigns it to the Service Desk.	Incident Coordinator

## Incident Investigation and Diagnosis (process SO 2.3)

Each support group involved with handling incidents must perform investigation and diagnosis tasks to determine the categorization of and solution to the incident. All actions performed by support group personnel are documented in the incident, so that a complete historical record of all activities is maintained at all times.

Incident Investigation and Diagnosis includes the following actions:

- Establishing the exact cause of the incident
- Documenting user requests for information or for particular actions or outcomes
- Understanding the chronological order of events
- Confirming the full impact of the incident, including the number and range of users affected
- Identifying any events that could have triggered the incident (for example, a recent change or user action)
- Searching known errors or the knowledgebase for a workaround or resolution
- Discovering any previous occurrences, including previously logged incident or problems and known errors, the knowledgebase, and error logs and knowledgebases of associated manufacturers and suppliers
- Identifying and registering a possible resolution for the incident


The Incident Analyst asks the following questions to determine how to resolve an incident:

- Is there a problem, or do I need to provide information for a user's request for information (RFI)?
- Do I have the knowledge and tools to solve this problem?

- Can the incident be reproduced?
- Can the incident be related to an open problem or known error?
- Was the incident caused by the implementation of a change?
- Can a solution be found for this incident?

You can see the details of this process in the following figure and table.

The Incident Investigation and Diagnosis workflow is illustrated in the following figure:





**Incident Investigation and Diagnosis process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 2.3.1	Review Incident	The Incident Analyst monitors the queue of incidents assigned to him/her and reviews the incoming incidents.	Incident Analyst
S0 2.3.2	Request for information?	The Incident Analyst evaluates the incident to see if it is categorized as a Request for Information (RFI) or if it is a service disruption. If yes, continue with S0 2.3.10. If no, go to S0 2.3.3.	Incident Analyst
S0 2.3.3	Investigate and Diagnose Incident	The Incident Analyst starts to investigate and diagnose the cause of the incident. The status of the incident is set to Work in Progress.	Incident Analyst
S0 2.3.4	Match to outstanding Problem/ Known Error/ Incident?	The Incident Analyst searches the problem database to see if there is already a problem or known error defined for this incident. If yes, continue with S0 2.3.5. If no, go to S0 2.3.6.	Incident Analyst
S0 2.3.5	Relate incident to Problem/ Known Error/ Incident	When an incident matches an outstanding problem or known error, the incident is related to the problem or known error record.	Incident Analyst
S0 2.3.6	Incident caused by change?	The Incident Analyst searches the changes database to see if a recent change may have caused the service disruption. If the configuration item associated with the incident is listed, the Incident Analyst can also look at any changes that have recently been performed against this configuration item. The Incident Analyst can also view the configuration item tree to discover if related configuration items could have caused the incident. If yes, continue with S0 2.3.7. If no, go to S0 2.3.8.	Incident Analyst
S0 2.3.7	Relate incident to change (caused by)	When the incident is caused by a previous change, the incident is related to the change request. A solution still needs to be found to solve the incident.	Incident Analyst
S0 2.3.8	Resolution found?	The Incident Analyst checks the known error/knowledgebase for a workaround or resolution to this incident, or tries to find a solution. If yes, continue with S0 2.3.8. If no, go back to S0 2.3.3.	Incident Analyst

**Incident Investigation and Diagnosis process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SO 2.3.9	Escalation Required	If a solution has not been identified review whether to escalate the Incident to the Incident Coordinator.  If yes, go to SO 2.6.1 to determine how to resolve the Incident. If not, go to SO 2.3.3.to continue investigation and diagnosis of the Incident.	Incident Analyst
SO 2.3.10	Search Collect information	The Incident Analyst searches for information to provide the requested information to the User.	Incident Analyst
SO 2.3.11	Document Resolution/Workaround	The Incident Analyst documents the solution or workaround in the incident.	Incident Analyst

## Incident Resolution and Recovery (process SO 2.4)

As part of the Incident Resolution and Recovery process, the Incident Analyst identifies and evaluates potential resolutions before those resolutions are applied and escalates incidents as necessary. The Incident Analyst may escalate an incident to the Incident Coordinator, including those incidents that require a change. If the Incident Analyst does not have the required level of permissions to implement a change, the Incident Analyst reassigns the incident to another group that can implement the resolution. As soon as it becomes clear that the assigned support group is unable to resolve the incident or if the target time period for first-point resolution is exceeded, the incident must be immediately escalated.


The objectives of the Incident Resolution and Recovery process are to ensure that:

- Recorded incidents include a resolution or workaround and information is complete.
- Incidents that require a change are escalated to the Incident Coordinator.

- Incidents for which the Incident Analyst has the required level of permissions are tested and implemented by the Incident Analyst in a production environment.
- Any incidents that the Incident Analyst does not have permissions to implement are reassigned to the applicable group for resolution implementation.
- Any implementation errors that occur during incident resolution correctly trigger resolution reversal and reinvestigation and diagnosis of the incident.
- The Incident Analyst initiates all required escalations.

You can see the details of this process in the following figure and table.

The Incident Resolution and Recovery workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Incident Resolution and Recovery process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
SO 2.4.1	Review Incident	The Incident Analyst reviews the incident information for the supplied resolution or workaround.	Incident Analyst
SO 2.4.2	Change/ Service Request required to Resolve?	The Incident Analyst determines whether the resolution provided needs to be implemented by using a Change or Service Request.  If yes, go to SO 2.6.1 for the Incident Coordinator to determine how to resolve the Incident. If not, go to SO 2.4.3 to determine whether the Analyst is entitled to implement the resolution.	Incident Analyst
SO 2.4.3	Analyst entitled to implement resolution?	The Incident Analyst must judge if he/she has the permissions to implement the resolution. If yes, continue with SO 2.4.4. If no, go to SO 2.4.7.	Incident Analyst
SO 2.4.4	Implement resolution	The Incident Analyst tests the resolution and implements it in the production environment.	Incident Analyst
SO 2.4.5	Errors occurred?	When there are errors during the implementation of a resolution, the Incident Analyst reverses the solution and the incident is returned to the investigation and diagnosis phase. If yes, go to SO 2.4.6. If no, continue with SO 2.5.1.	Incident Analyst
SO 2.4.6	Escalation required?	Determine if escalation to the Incident Coordinator is required at this point in the resolution process. If yes, go to the Incident Escalation process. If no, go to SO 2.3.3.	Incident Analyst
SO 2.4.7	Reassign to other group	When the Incident Analyst is not entitled to implement the solution, the analyst must reassign the incident to a support group that can implement the solution.	Incident Analyst


## Incident Closure (process SO 2.5)

The Incident Closure process includes many steps to verify the success of implemented solutions and to verify that incidents are accurate and complete.

After a solution is implemented for an incident, the solution must be verified, typically by the group that implemented the solution. If necessary, the user can be contacted to verify the solution. The resolving group closes the incident and notifies the Service Desk to close the related interaction. When closing an incident, it must be checked to confirm that the initial incident categorization is correct. If the category is incorrect, the record must be updated with the correct closure category. If information is missing from the incident, the missing information must be added so that the incident is complete. The final step in the Incident Closure process is determining the likelihood of the incident recurring and choosing the closure category accordingly. The closure category triggers the Problem Management process when applicable.

You can see the details of this process in the following figure and table.

The Incident Closure workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Incident Closure process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 2.5.1	Review incident	The Incident Analyst reviews the incident resolution description.	Incident Analyst
S0 2.5.2	Verify and confirm Resolution	The Incident Analyst verifies that the resolution is correct and complete and confirms the resolution. If required, the Incident Analyst is entitled to contact the User (see S0 2.7.3) to validate the resolution.	Incident Analyst
S0 2.5.3	Incident solved?	Is the incident solved with the offered resolution? If yes, continue with S0 2.5.4. If no, go to S0 2.5.7.	Incident Analyst
S0 2.5.4	Close Incident	The Incident Analyst closes the incident and selects the applicable resolution code.	Incident Analyst
S0 2.5.5	Incident initiated by an Event?	Was the incident initiated by an event? If yes, then the event must be confirmed by using the event management process. If no, go to S0 2.5.6.	Incident Analyst
S0 2.5.6	Incident initiated through an Interaction?	Was the incident initiated by an interaction? If yes, continue with the Interaction Closure process. If no, then stop.	Incident Analyst
S0 2.5.7	Reopen change?	Was the resolution implemented by using a change that must be reopened? If yes, continue with the reopen change process. If no, go to S0 2.5.8.	Incident Analyst
S0 2.5.8	Service request required?	Determine whether a Service Request needs to be opened to resolve the Incident. If yes, go to S0 2.5.9 to close the Incident. If not, go to S0 2.3.3 to investigate and diagnose the Incident.	Incident Analyst
S0 2.5.8	Close Incident	The Incident Analyst closes the incident and selects the applicable resolution code.	Incident Analyst



## Incident Escalation (process SO 2.6)

When an Incident Analyst is unable to solve an assigned incident within the target time, the analyst escalates the incident to the Incident Coordinator. The Incident Coordinator determines how the incident can best be resolved by consulting the Incident Analyst and, if needed, other Incident Analysts. If an incident is severe (for example, designated as Priority 1), the appropriate IT managers must be notified so that they can anticipate and prepare for an escalation.


Incidents are escalated when the Incident Investigation and Diagnosis process or Incident Resolution and Recovery process exceeds SLA targets or if these targets are likely not to be met. If the steps to resolve an incident are taking too long or proving too difficult, the Incident Coordinator determines the following:

- Whether an Incident Analyst can be given the necessary resources to solve the incident
- Whether a change needs to be implemented
- Whether a request for service is needed

When an incident is escalated, the escalation should continue up the management chain. Senior managers are notified of the situation so that they can prepare to take any necessary actions, such as allocating additional resources or involving suppliers.

You can see the details of this process in the following figure and table.

The Incident Escalation workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Incident Escalation process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 2.6.1	Determine how to resolve Incident	The Incident Coordinator gathers information from the Incident Analyst(s) about the status of the incident resolution and determines how the incident can best be resolved.  The Incident Coordinator verifies that the expected resolution time matches any agreed on level, such as that specified in an SLA.	Incident Coordinator
S0 2.6.2	Problem Management required?	Is problem management required to solve the incident? If yes, continue with S0 2.6.3. If no, go to S0 2.6.4.	Incident Coordinator
S0 2.6.3	Escalate to Problem?	Go to S0 2.6.1 to determine how to resolve the Incident.	Incident Coordinator
S0 2.6.4	Change Management required?	Is a change is required to solve the incident? If yes, continue with S0 2.6.5. If no, go to S0 2.6.10.	Incident Coordinator
S0 2.6.5	Escalation required?	Determine whether escalation is required to the Incident Manager to review what action to take with the Change Request. If yes go to S0 2.6.7 to Determine and execute escalation actions. If not, go to S0 2.6.8 to Register Emergency Change	Incident Coordinator
S0 2.6.6	Determine expected resolution time	The Incident Manager verifies that the expected resolution time meets SLA targets.	Incident Manager
S0 2.6.7	Determine and execute escalation actions	The Incident Manager determines the actions to be performed to solve the incident within target times and designates escalation personnel to contact in the event of an escalation. This can include determining that the Service Desk is required to send an information bulletin to the affected users and stakeholders.	Incident Manager

**Incident Escalation process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
SO 2.6.8	Register emergency change	Based on the Incident Manager's request, the Incident Coordinator registers an emergency change request and contacts the Change Manager to inform the manager about the request, thereby starting the Emergency Change Handling process.	Incident Coordinator
SO 2.6.9	Emergency change needed?	If yes, go to SO 2.6.8. If no, go to SO 2.6.1.	Incident Manager
SO 2.6.10	Service Request required?	If yes, close the Incident. If not, go to SO 2.6.11.	Incident Coordinator
SO 2.6.11	Reassignment required?	Is it necessary to reassign the incident to a different support group with more knowledge (that is, a functional escalation)? If yes, continue with SO 2.6.13. If no, go to SO 2.6.12.	Incident Coordinator
SO 2.6.12	Enable Incident Analysts to solve incident	The Incident Coordinator enables the Incident Analyst(s) to focus solely on the resolution of the incident and provides the Incident Analyst(s) with all means necessary to speed up the resolution. Go to SO 2.4.4.	Incident Coordinator
SO 2.6.13	Incident Manager required?	Escalation may be required for the Incident Manager to agree the appropriate assignment for the Incident. This may be required where there is a dispute over which group should take ownership of the Incident. If the Incident Manager must get involved, go to SO 2.6.15. If not, go to SO 2.6.14.	Incident Coordinator
SO 2.6.14	Reassign incident	The Incident Manager reassigns the incident to another 2nd-line or 3rd-line support group.	Incident Coordinator
SO 2.6.15	Determine/ Agree appropriate	The Incident Manager reviews the Incident to determine the appropriate Assignment Group based on the skills/ knowledge or permissions required to resolve the Incident.	Incident Manager

**Incident Escalation process, continued**


Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
	assignment		
SO 2.6.16	Reassign incident	The Incident Manager reassigns the incident to another 2nd-line or 3rd-line support group.	Incident Manager

## SLA Monitoring (process SO 2.7)

Service level agreements (SLAs) contain standards for incident resolution performance. This process describes the activities to monitor all interactions related to incidents from initialization to resolution. SLA Monitoring also determines whether time targets for incident resolution are met, and indicates whether escalation is required to meet the target resolution date according to the associated SLA. SLA Monitoring is an ongoing process performed by the Service Desk.

You can see the details of this process in the following figure and table.

The SLA Monitoring workflow is illustrated in the following figure:





**SLA Monitoring process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
SO 2.7.1	Monitor SLA	The Service Desk Agent monitors the SLA.	Service Desk Agent
SO 2.7.2	SLA breached?	Has the SLA target date/time been exceeded for this interaction? If yes, start the Incident Escalation process (SO 2.6.10). If no, go to SO 2.7.3.	Service Desk Agent
SO 2.7.3	SLA breach within 1 hour	Does the interaction need to be solved within 1 hour to reach the SLA target date/time? If yes, go to SO 2.7.8. If no, go to SO 2.7.4.	Service Desk Agent
SO 2.7.4	SLA breach within 4 hours?	Does the interaction need to be solved within 4 hours to reach the SLA target date/time? If yes, go to SO 2.7.7. If no, go to SO 2.7.5.	Service Desk Agent
SO 2.7.5	SLA breach within 1 day?	Does the interaction need to be solved within 1 day to reach the SLA target date/time? If yes, go to SO 2.7.7. If no, go to SO 2.7.6.	Service Desk Agent
SO 2.7.6	Related incident closed?	If yes, no further action is required. If no, go to SO 2.7.1.	Service Desk Agent
SO 2.7.7	Request further action?	Review the Incident and determine whether further action is required to ensure that it will be resolved within the SLA target date/time.  If yes, go to SO 2.7.8 to work with the Incident Coordinators) to check the Incident will be resolved on time. If not, go to SO 2.7.1 to continue to monitor the SLA.	Service Desk Agent

**SLA Monitoring process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
SO 2.7.8	Work with Incident Coordinator(s) to see if incident can still be solved on time	Contact the Incident Coordinator with the related incident assigned to his/her group. Determine whether the group is able to solve the incident on time without further support.	Service Desk Agent
SO 2.7.9	Will related incident be solved on time?	If yes, the Incident Coordinator of the assigned group estimates that the related incident can still be solved on time, go to SO 2.7.11. If no, go to SO 2.6.10 to escalate the incident immediately.	Service Desk Agent
SO 2.7.10	Communicate SLA breach to affected Users	Identify which Users or user groups are affected by the SLA breach. Send a communication bulletin to inform all affected Users.	Service Desk Agent
SO 2.7.11	Communicate related incident status to all affected Users	Identify which Users or user groups are affected by the related incident. Send a communication bulletin to inform all affected Users of the incident status and expected resolution time.	Service Desk Agent


## OLA and UC Monitoring (process SO 2.8)

One measure of the successful resolution of incidents is the performance of the individual support groups and applicable vendors. The performance of support groups is measured by targets set up within Operation Level Agreements (OLAs). The performance of vendors is measured by targets set up in the Underpinning Contracts (UCs).

The Incident Coordinator monitors all incidents assigned to the support group and applicable vendors. Performance is tracked until incidents are resolved or escalated to meet targeted agreement dates and times. The target date of an OLA and UC usually depends on the priority and category of the incident. The Incident Coordinator can escalate an incident to the Incident Manager if the target time has been or is about to be exceeded.

You can see the details of this process in the following figure and table.

The OLA and UC Monitoring workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**OLA and UC Monitoring process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
SO 2.8.1	Check status and progress of Incident resolution	Check status and progress of incident resolution. Verify that the incident will be resolved before the target date and time specified in applicable Operation Level Agreement (OLA) and Underpinning Contract (UC).	Incident Coordinator
SO 2.8.2	Assigned Incident Analyst available?	External circumstances (for example, end of work shift, illness, or holiday) could cause an assigned Incident Analyst to become unavailable. If the Incident need to be assigned, SO 2.8.4. If not, go to SO 2.8.3.	Incident Coordinator
SO 2.8.3	Reassignment required?	If yes, go to SO 2.2.3. If no, go to SO 2.8.4.	Incident Coordinator
SO 2.8.4	OLA or UC breached?	If yes, start the Incident Escalation process (SO 2.6.6). If no, go to SO 2.8.5.	Incident Coordinator
SO 2.8.5	OLA/UC breach within 1 hour?	If yes, go to SO 2.8.6. If no, go to SO 2.8.8.	Incident Coordinator
SO 2.8.6	Get status update from Incident Analyst and Vendor (if applicable)	Contact the assigned Incident Analyst to receive a status update of the incident. If the incident is reported to a vendor, contact the vendor for a status update.	Incident Coordinator
SO 2.8.7	Will the incident be solved on time?	The Incident Coordinator estimates whether or not the incident can still be resolved on time. If yes, go to SO 2.8.1. If no, go to SO 2.6.6 to determine the expected resolution time.	Incident Coordinator
SO 2.8.8	OLA/UC breach within 4 hours?	Does the incident need to be resolved within 4 hours to reach the OLA/UC target date/time? If yes, go to SO 2.8.9. If no, go to SO 2.8.11.	Incident Coordinator
SO 2.8.9	Get status update from Incident Analyst and vendor (if applicable)	Contact the assigned Incident Analyst to receive a status update of the incident. If the incident is reported to a vendor, contact the vendor for a status update.	Incident Coordinator

**OLA and UC Monitoring process, continued**


<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 2.8.10	Take follow-up actions if required	The Incident Coordinator determines whether follow-up actions are required to resolve the incident according to the OLA/UC. If required, the Incident Coordinator performs the required actions.	Incident Coordinator
S0 2.8.11	OLA/UC breach within 1 day?	If yes, go to S0 2.8.9. If no, go to S0 2.8.12.	Incident Coordinator
S0 2.8.12	Incident closed?	If yes, no further action is required. If no, go to S0 2.8.1.	Incident Coordinator

## Complaint Handling (process S0 2.9)

Complaint Handling is the process by which the Service Desk Manager handles complaints. The Complaint category is typically used to indicate less than satisfactory service received by a user in the support or service delivery categories.

When the Service Desk Manager receives assigned incidents in the Incident or To Do queue, the manager accepts the incident. The manager investigates the cause of the complaint by evaluating the relevant information and talking to the people involved. The manager searches for an answer or solution to satisfy the user who filed the complaint, updates the incident with the agreed on details, and then closes the incident. You can see the details of this process in the following figure and table.

The Complaint Handling workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Complaint Handling process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 2.9.1	Review complaint information	The Service Desk Manager monitors the incident queue and reviews assigned incidents. The Service Desk Manager checks the contents of the complaint.	Service Desk Manager
S0 2.9.2	Accept complaint	The Service Desk Manager accepts the incident to investigate the cause of the complaint.	Service Desk Manager
S0 2.9.3	Investigate complaint cause	The Service Desk Manager investigates the cause of the complaint by looking at the relevant information and talking to the people involved. The Service Desk Manager also searches for an answer or solution to satisfy the user who filed the complaint.	Service Desk Manager
S0 2.9.4	Assess/ Relate associated records	The Service Desk Manager assesses the associated records and relates them to existing records if necessary.	Service Desk Manager
S0 2.9.5	Escalate to company complaints process?	The Service Desk Manager assess the complaint and determines whether it is within the scope of the Company Complaints Process. If escalation is necessary, go to S0 2.9.6. If not, go to S0 2.9.10.	Service Desk Manager
S0 2.9.6	Company complaints process	The Service Desk Manager escalates to have the complaint registered in the Company Complaints process and updates the Incident record.	Service Desk Manager
S0 2.9.7	Monitor company complain record	The Service Desk Manager monitors the complaint through the company complaint process.	Service Desk Manager
S0	Complaint	If the complaint is resolved, continue to S0 2.9.9. If not, go to S0 2.9.8.	Service



**Complaint Handling process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
2.9.8	resolved?		Desk Manager
SO 2.9.9	Action required?	If the complaint has been resolved but further action must be taken, go to SO 2.9.10. If no further action is required, go to SO 2.9.11.	Service Desk Manager
SO 2.9.10	Take action to conciliate the user	The Service Desk Manager contacts the user to solve the user's issue and tries to reach an agreement.	Service Desk Manager
SO 2.9.11	Update and close complaint	The Service Desk Manager updates the incident with the agreed on details and closes the incident.	Service Desk Manager

## Chapter 7: Incident Management Details

HP Service Manager uses the Incident Management application to enable the Incident Management process. The main function of Incident Management is to monitor, track, and record calls and open incidents as necessary.

In Incident Management, an Incident Analyst investigates, diagnoses, and proposes solutions for incidents. The Incident Analyst escalates those incidents requiring a change to the Incident Coordinator.

This section describes selected Incident Management fields in the out-of-box Service Manager system.

Topics in this section include:

- ["Incident form after escalation from Service Desk" below](#)
- ["Update incident form" on the next page](#)
- ["Incident Management form details" on page 120](#)

### Incident form after escalation from Service Desk

The Incident Coordinator reviews incidents escalated from the Service Desk and accepts or rejects each incident. The Incident Coordinator then assigns the incident to an Incident Analyst for investigation and diagnosis.

Incident escalated from Service Desk is illustrated in the following screenshot:

▼ Incident Details

Incident ID

IM10023

Status

Work In Progress

Contact

Location

advantage/North America

Assignment Group

Hardware

Assignee

Incident.Analyst

Vendor

Vendor Ticket

Affected Service

MyDevices

Affected CI

adv-nam-lapt-117

☐ CI is operational (no outage)

Category

incident

Area

hardware

Subarea

hardware failure

Outage Start

10/04/07 17:10:00

Outage End

Service Contract

SLA Target Date

Impact

4 - User

Urgency

1 - Critical

Priority

2 - High

► Activities

▼ Related Records

ID	Type
<a href="#">SD10046</a>	Interaction

► Attachments - 0 file(s) attached

► Affected Services

► SLA

## Update incident form

The Incident Coordinator uses the update incident form to review the information and then assign the incident to an Incident Analyst in the appropriate support group. The Incident Analyst uses the incident update form to analyze the issue and determine if the incident can be resolved, and then updates the form accordingly. The Incident Manager uses the update incident form to monitor Service Level Agreement (SLA) compliance, to initiate escalation actions, or to register an emergency change request.

The fields and tabs available for updating depend upon the assigned user role, assignment group, and the status of the incident.

See the following screenshot for an updated incident form:

▼ Activities

New Update Type

Incident reproduction

Visible to Customer

New Update

Journal Updates

08/04/08 12:55:11 US/Mountain (falcon):  
test  
07/23/08 17:44:12 US/Mountain (prietke):  
test  
07/23/08 16:24:30 US/Mountain (prietke):

Activity Type

Analysis/Research

Filter

Date/Time	Type	Operator	Description
09/03/07 09:49:00	Open	Sylvia.White	System crash with message not enough memory while opening multiple applications
09/03/07 09:49:00	Status Change	Sylvia.White	Incident Status Changed to Work In Progress from Open

## Incident Management form details

The following table identifies and describes some of the features on the Incident Management forms.

**Note:** When setting up events or web services to create incidents automatically, you must be sure to include all required fields for the incident.

**Incident Management form details**

<b>Label</b>	<b>Description</b>
Incident ID	The system-generated unique ID for this incident.
Status	<p>Displays the status of the incident.</p> <p>These statuses are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Open — The incident has been opened but it is not currently being worked on.</li> <li>• Closed — The incident has been resolved and the customer agrees.</li> <li>• Pending Other — You need something from an outside source other than customer or vendor.</li> <li>• Resolved — There is a resolution, but it has not yet been verified by the customer.</li> <li>• Accepted — You accept responsibility for the incident.</li> <li>• Rejected — Someone else is responsible for the incident.</li> <li>• Work In Progress — The incident is being addressed.</li> <li>• Pending Customer — You need more information from the customer</li> <li>• Pending Vendor — You need something from the vendor</li> <li>• Pending Change — There is a related emergency change open; awaiting the close of that change.</li> <li>• Suspended — Customer has agreed to suspend the incident for a time; the incident will not appear in your Inbox for that period.</li> </ul>
Contact	<p>This field contains the contact name related to the company for this interaction. The contact person is not necessarily the same person as the service recipient. This field ensures that the correct person will be notified about updates to the interaction.</p> <p>This field includes a hover-over form that displays full name, telephone, and email address if available for the contact.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Assignee	The name of the person assigned to work on this incident. This person is a member of the assigned support group. Assignees may belong to one or multiple assignment groups, based on the needs of your company.
Vendor	The name of the vendor the incident is assigned to. Used when a vendor needs to be involved in fixing the incident.

**Incident Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
Vendor Ticket	This number refers to the incident number from the vendor's logging system. This is an informational field for reference only.
Assignment Group	<p>The support group assigned to work on this incident. The service specified in the interaction form determines which default assignment group the system assigns to incidents that were escalated from interactions. An administrator assigns the default assignment group for a service on the Configuration Item (CI) detail form for the CI. When you search for the service in Configuration Management (Configuration Management &gt; Resources &gt; Search CIs), you see the default assignment group for the service specified in the Config admin group field. When you escalate an interaction to an incident, the assignment group is prepopulated, based on the service selected in the interaction. You can change the assignment group, if necessary.</p> <p>If you use the escalation wizard, assignment has both default and allowed groups as defined for the service, as well as the default group for the CI, if one has been registered.</p> <p>The out-of-box data consists of default assignment groups for use as examples of types of assignment groups.</p> <div style="background-color: #f0f0f0; padding: 10px; margin: 10px 0;"> <p><b>Tip:</b> You may want to adapt the sample assignment groups to meet your own needs.</p> </div> <p>These assignment groups are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Application</li> <li>• Email / Webmail</li> <li>• Field Support</li> <li>• Hardware</li> <li>• Intranet / Internet Support</li> <li>• Network</li> <li>• Office Supplies</li> <li>• Office Support</li> <li>• Operating System Support</li> <li>• SAP Support</li> </ul>

**Incident Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Service Desk</li> <li>• Service Manager</li> </ul> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Affected Service	<p>The service affected by this incident. This field is populated with data from the interaction record. See <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a> for additional information.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Affected CI	<p>The configuration item (CI) that is affecting the service negatively. This field is populated with data from the interaction record. See <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a> for additional information. This field includes a hover-over form that displays Critical CI and Pending Change check boxes to indicate whether or not these attributes apply to the CI.</p>
CI is operational (no outage)	<p>If selected (set to true), indicates that the item is currently operational and that there is no outage. By default when you open an incident against a CI, the CI is flagged as down. If the CI is still working, you should mark this field.</p>
Outage Start	<p>The date and time when the outage started. The outage start and outage end times are used to measure availability for the Service Level Agreements (SLAs). If the CI is flagged as down, availability SLAs start counting against the CI. The availability value defaults to the incident open and close times, but you should change this to report the actual outage start and end times because it may be several minutes or hours before the incident is opened or closed. For example, the device may have gone down in the night and the incident is not opened until someone reports the problem. In this case, the default open time does not accurately reflect the outage time.</p>
Outage End	<p>The date and time of when the outage ended. The outage start and outage end times are used to measure availability for the SLAs. If the CI is flagged as down, availability SLAs start counting against the CI. The availability value defaults to the incident open and close times, but you should change this to report the actual outage end times. For example, the CI may become operational after it is restarted, but it may take several minutes or hours for someone to update the record to report that the incident is closed. In this case, the default close time does not accurately reflect the actual outage time.</p>
Location	<p>The location for which the incident has been reported. This field is prepopulated with data from an escalated interaction. The field is for informational purposes only.</p> <p>Location data is customer and implementation specific.</p>

**Incident Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
Title	<p>A short description summarizing the incident. This field is prepopulated with data from an escalated interaction.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Description	<p>A detailed description of the incident. This field is prepopulated with data from an escalated interaction.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Category	<p>This field describes the type of incident, based on ITIL service-centric processes. This field is prepopulated with data from the escalated interaction.</p> <p>For incidents assigned to them, the Incident Coordinator, Incident Manager, and Incident Analyst can update this field and the related area and subarea fields, if required.</p> <p>The out-of-box data is the same as in Interaction Management. For additional information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a> and <a href="#">"Interaction categories" on page 66</a>.</p>
Area	<p>This field is prepopulated with data from an escalated interaction. The area selections depend on the category.</p> <p>The out-of-box data is the same as in Interaction Management. For additional information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a> and <a href="#">"Interaction categories" on page 66</a>.</p>
Subarea	<p>The third level of classifying an interaction, mainly used for reporting purposes. This field is prepopulated with data from an escalated interaction.</p> <p>Service Manager displays different lists of subareas, depending on the area selected. For more information on categories and the areas and subareas associated with them, see <a href="#">"Interaction categories" on page 66</a>.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p> <p>The out-of-box data is the same as in Interaction Management. For additional information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a>.</p>
Impact	<p>This field is prepopulated with data from an escalated interaction. It specifies the impact the incident has on the business. The impact and the urgency are used to calculate the priority.</p> <p>These impacts are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1 - Enterprise</li> </ul>



**Incident Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2 - Site/Dept</li> <li>• 3 - Multiple Users</li> <li>• 4 - User</li> </ul>
Urgency	<p>This field is prepopulated with data from an escalated interaction. The urgency indicates how pressing the incident is for the organization. The urgency and the impact are used to calculate the priority. For additional information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58.</a></p>
Priority	<p>The order in which to address this incident in comparison to others. The priority value is calculated using initial impact and urgency. This field only appears for incidents being updated or escalated from interactions.</p>
Service Contract	<p>Specifies the contract covering the affected equipment. This field is populated, based on the Service Level Agreement (SLA) information. The SLA records contain service contract information so when an SLA applies to an incident, the service contract is also populated according to the SLA.</p> <div style="background-color: #f0f0f0; padding: 10px; margin: 10px 0;"> <p><b>Note:</b> In the current out-of-box system, no SLAs are defined with a Service Contract. Therefore, no out-of-box values are available for this field.</p> </div> <p>Service contracts are financial agreements that define the services to be provided and the financial implications of using those services. This information is used to:</p> <p>Charge the customer for costs incurred while working with incidents, handling service desk interactions, or implementing changes to a specific service contract.</p> <p>Link discrete incidents and interactions to service contracts to provide up-to-date information about the state of each contract, including its budgeted allocations and the actual number of interactions and incidents applied against each contract.</p> <p>Associate service contracts with time and materials expended through Service Desk, Incident Management, and Change Management to compute the real cost of handling each incident and service desk interaction, as well as to calculate the cost of managing each service contract.</p>
SLA Target Date	<p>The date and time when the next Service Level Objective (SLO) expires. This field is populated based on the SLOs that match the incident information. The date used is the closest SLO to a breach before the agreement is breached. For example, if there are two SLOs for that incident and one expires in one hour and the other expires in one week, this field contains the value of current time+1hr.</p>

**Incident Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
	This field is the same as the Next Expiration field that appears on the SLA section.
Problem Candidate	<p>If selected (set to true), this field indicates that the issue that caused the incident is most likely a problem. When selected, either a problem should have been created, or the incident should have been associated with other problems or known errors. This field is only enabled for users who have rights to mark incidents as problem candidates. This capability is specified on the Incident Management Security Profile form. For the out-of-box system, these profiles include Incident Analyst, Incident Coordinator, Incident Manager, and Operator. When the Problem Management Candidate field is checked for the incident, the incident appears in the Problem Manager default view for incidents. The Problem Manager can then review the incident to decide whether or not to open a related problem. Examples of problem candidates include cases where several customers report the same issue or where an issue recurs repeatedly.</p>
Knowledge Candidate	<p>This field is intended for customers who do not have the Knowledge Management (KM) module.</p> <p>If selected (set to true), this field indicates that the solution is useful for other incidents and should be stored in the knowledgebase.</p> <p>This field is used for Information Retrieval (the IR Expert core and protocore tables). When you close incidents marked as solution candidates, the candidate (protocore) file fills. Knowledge engineers examine these proposed solutions and promote them to the central knowledgebase (core), if applicable. The IR Expert is disabled out-of-box for the installations that have the KM module.</p> <p>Customers who do have the KM module can search in the incident library for an incident. If you have the rights, you can create a knowledge article from an existing incident.</p>
Closure Code	<p>Specifies a predefined closure code to describe how the incident has been resolved. The out-of-box options in this field are based on customer reference data.</p> <div style="background-color: #f0f0f0; padding: 10px; margin: 10px 0;"> <p><b>Tip:</b> You may want to tailor these options to match your business needs.</p> </div> <p>These closure codes are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not Reproducible</li> <li>• Out of Scope</li> <li>• Request Rejected</li> <li>• Solved by Change/Service Request</li> </ul>

**Incident Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Solved by User Instruction</li> <li>• Solved by Workaround</li> <li>• Unable to Solve</li> <li>• Withdrawn by User</li> </ul>
Solution	Provides a description of the solution for the incident.
Affected Services	This section provides a list of affected services for the incident. When a configuration item for the incident is added or updated, a schedule record is created that runs a routine to update the list of affected services. If the incident is locked, the routine reschedules the schedule record for 5 minutes later.
SLA > Response Time Objectives	This subsection provides a list of response SLOs related to the incident. The information includes SLA title, status, SLO name, From and To specifications for the SLA, and Expiration. Similar information is available for interactions, problems, and changes.
SLA > Uptime Objectives	<p>This subsection displays uptime availability data for the SLOs related to the incident.</p> <p>The data displayed includes the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Status</li> <li>• SLO name</li> <li>• Required Monthly Uptime (%)</li> <li>• Withdrawn by User</li> <li>• Current Uptime this Month (%)</li> <li>• Next Expiration</li> <li>• Affected CI</li> <li>• SLO ID</li> </ul> <p>Similar information is available for interactions, problems, and changes.</p>
SLA > Max Duration Objectives	<p>This subsection displays duration availability data for the SLOs related to the incident.</p> <p>The data displayed includes the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Status</li> </ul>

**Incident Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• SLO name</li><li>• Total outages this month</li><li>• Average outage duration</li><li>• Next expiration</li><li>• Affected CI</li><li>• SLO ID</li></ul> <p>Similar information is available for interactions, problems, and changes.</p>
SLA > Upcoming Alerts	<p>This subsection displays all upcoming SLA alerts to help users prioritize the incidents needing attention.</p> <p>The data displayed includes the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Alert name</li><li>• SLO name</li><li>• Alert time</li></ul> <div><b>Note:</b> For additional information, see the online Help topic, Service Level Agreement alerts.</div>

## Chapter 8: Request Management Overview

The HPService Manager Request Management application, referred to as Request Management throughout this chapter, supports the Request Management process. It enables you to route and support all requests for non-standard operational services in an effective way, and ensure that requests will not compromise day-to-day operational activities.

This section describes how Request Management implements the best practice guidelines for the Request Management processes.

Topics in this section include:

- ["Request Management within the ITIL framework" below](#)
- ["Request Management application" on the next page](#)
- ["Request Management process overview" on page 134](#)
- ["Input and output for Request Management" on page 137](#)
- ["Key performance indicators for Request Management" on page 137](#)
- ["RACI matrix for Request Management" on page 138](#)

### Request Management within the ITIL framework

Request Management is addressed in ITIL's *Service Operation* publication. The document describes Request Management as the process responsible for dealing with Service Requests. Many of these are actually small-sized, frequently occurring, low-risk changes that use a process similar to Incident Management.

Request Management enables you to meet the following business objectives:

- Provide a channel for users to request and receive standard services for which a pre-defined approval and qualification process exists.
- Provide users with information about the availability of services and the procedures for obtaining them.

- Source and deliver the components for requested standard services.
- Assist with some general information, complaints or comments.

Request Management includes the following key features:

- Automated quote, manager approval, and order processing tracking for products and services.
- A detailed, customizable catalog of products and services, including bundled and sequenced parts and services.
- Scheduling and integration of service requests and work orders with purchase requests.
- Combination of multiple quotes into single or multiple orders, based on vendor.
- Provision for external vendors and internal work groups.
- Integration with other Service Manager applications, such as Configuration Management and Change Management.
- Sequential and Conditional on-line quote entry and approvals.
- Automated mail notification and alerts for normal and exceptional events.
- Customer control, consolidation of acquisitions, and life cycle management.
- Quote - Order - Receiving - Posting process.

## Request Management application

The HP Service Manager Request Management is an application used to manage user requests for products and services. Requests affect only the person making the request, or a subordinate group of employees. Examples include password resets, individual PC upgrades, and new employee setup.

The Request Management application enables business staff to improve their productivity or the quality of business services and products. It can also help reduce the cost of providing services and reduce people effort involved in requesting and receiving access to services. Moreover, the use of Request Management application can increase the control level of an organization's services and the number of fulfilled requests.

## Differences between Request Management and Change Management

Request Management and Change Management are separate processes, but they are closely related. Request Management handles common user requests for products and services. These requests usually affect only the person making the request, or a subordinate group of employees. Change Management handles any change to your business that modifies or disrupts the current state of that environment. Usually these modifications or disruptions affect multiple users or business units.

- Request Management
  - Handles common requests for products and services.
  - Affects a small or limited number of users.
  - Scope is limited.
- Change Management
  - Manages changes (implementations) that modify a business environment.
  - Affects many users.
  - Scope is often large, including large groups or multiple business units.

## Key elements of Request Management

Request Management includes the following key elements.

### Catalog

The Request Management catalog is a predefined catalog of parts and services. The catalog defines the Models of items that may be requested and / or ordered. The parts and services can be as simple or as detailed as the Implementation needs, and may be bundled and sequenced.

The Request Management catalog supports serialized / non-serialized and inventoried / non-inventoried definitions. Requests can be fulfilled by internal groups or purchased through external vendors. The costs of the parts and services for each request are tracked.

Catalog items are represented as records in the `model` table.

## Vendors

Vendors are internal or external providers of parts or services. Vendors have a many-to-many relationship with Catalog items, and may or may not directly interact with Service Manager. By creating catalog selections of “packaged” items and preferred vendors, purchasing standards can be established and costs controlled.

Vendors are represented as records in the `vendor` table. The terms under which a specific vendor will provide a specific catalog item are stored in the `modelvendor` table.

## Line items

Line items are specific instances of a catalog item. Each item is a separate record and may be related to quotes or to orders. Line item records are generated with and associated to new quotes or new orders.

Line items are stored in the `ocml` table.

## Requests (Quotes)

A quote is a high level record that defines the basic request information such as requester, required dates, coordinator, and description. A quote record does not contain detailed part information. Request records (also known as quote records) are the “tickets” that trace the workflow of a request from the user perspective, data entry and line item addition, through approvals, ordering, and follow-up.

Quote records are stored in the `ocmq` table.

## Orders

Order records are the “tickets” that trace the workflow of an actual order of a line item or several line items from the ordering and receiving perspective. They may fulfill line items from one or more quotes. Orders are created manually by authorized users or by automated background processes. Requested Line Items, upon becoming eligible for ordering, may immediately cause new Orders (with their own related order Line Items) to be created. An automatic background scheduled process may also periodically create orders for batches of related items.

Order records are stored in the `ocmo` table.



## Groups

A group is a collection of users who share a common set of responsibilities. Groups are recommended to allow greater flexibility in defining the types of participants in the Request Management process than would be afforded by specifying individual users within the various process flows (such as approvals).

Operators cannot be directly added to Request Management groups. Request Management profiles define the groups associated with them. When you specify a Request Management profile (for example, request approver) in a user's Operator record, the user's login name is automatically added to the corresponding groups. If the Profile record listed in the array in the user's Operator record is changed, the corresponding group records automatically update the Members and Approvers arrays with the user's login name. Groups are calculated whenever operator records are updated or the Rebuild Group option is selected.

Group definitions summarize which operators are members and approvers for each group. Group definitions affect the following:

- Security / Approvals
- Messages/notifications

When setting up group profiles, group records serve two purposes:

- Signifying the members and approvers of the group.
- Designating message recipients.

If users belonging to Member Groups (reviewers) or Approval Groups (approvers) are not listed in the group record, they will not receive messages or be part of the approval process for their group.

Groups are stored in the `ocmggroups` table.

## Approval processing

The approval process automates and formalizes the technical and business evaluation by the appropriate levels of management of quotes, orders, and line items. Approvals control accepts risk, cost, and responsibility of a quote/order and its line items. When an item or issue requires a decision maker's review and evaluation, an approval requirement is assigned. Approvals create "chains" of groups who may be required to approve quotes, orders, or line items before they can advance within their lifecycle. Approvals can have conditions attached, such as total cost, lead time requirements, and impact.

An approval requirement is defined for the following record types:

- Quotes and Orders
- Line Items
- Part numbers

Each quote phase, order phase, or line item phase defines approvals.

Approval definitions are stored in the `ApprovalDef` table, which defines the approvals used by all phases; the `ApprovalLog` table tracks all approval actions and all needed and completed approvals.

The sequence number, defined in the `ApprovalDef` and `ApprovalLog` files, controls the order of approval requirements. Sequencing options include:

- One at a time, in a specific order.
- Simultaneously
- A combination of both

## Alerts and notifications


Alert definitions define tests to be made at specific times, usually relative to fields or events within quotes, orders, or line items. If the tests meet conditions at the specified times, the alerts take actions, including the sending of notifications. Alerts and notifications are event-based or time-based, and are dynamically calculated.

Alert definitions are stored in the `AlertDef` table.

## Request Management process overview

The Request Management process includes the activities required to select items from the menu and submit a service request, to give financial and business approvals, to provision, and to fulfil service requests. It is responsible for ensuring that a IT support is offered for self-help practices and requests can be effectively fulfilled after needed approvals.

A general overview of the Request Management processes and workflows is depicted in the figure below. They are described in detail in Request Management Workflows.



## Request Management user roles

The following table describes the responsibilities of Request Management user roles.

**Request Management user roles**

<b>Role</b>	<b>Responsibilities</b>
Request Fulfillment Process Owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accountable for the definition, management, governance and improvement of the Request Fulfillment Process.</li> <li>Ensures that the Request Fulfillment process and working practices are effective and efficient.</li> <li>Ensures that all stakeholders are sufficiently involved in the Request Fulfillment process.</li> <li>Ensures that (business) management is sufficiently informed as to the volume, impact and cost of Service Requests.</li> <li>Ensures tight linkage between the Service Request process and other related processes.</li> </ul>
Requester	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Uses Self Service or the Service Desk to log appropriate Service Requests.</li> </ul>
Service Request Analyst	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Registers Service Requests based on user Interactions and assigns them to the correct support group.</li> <li>Provides status updates to users on request.</li> <li>Reviews the progress of Service Requests.</li> <li>Monitors SLAs of all Service Requests and determines whether escalation is required.</li> </ul>
Service Request Approver	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reviews Service Request details.</li> <li>Confirms Service Request details are correct.</li> <li>Approves/Rejects Service Requests.</li> </ul>
Service Request Fulfillment Group	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Responsible for the provisioning of Service Requests within the agreed SLA.</li> </ul>
Service Request Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validates Service Request proposals.</li> <li>Notifies the appropriate community of any changes to Service Request Catalog Items.</li> <li>Involved in Service Request escalation.</li> </ul>
Service Request	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Responsible for producing and maintaining an accurate Service Request</li> </ul>

**Request Management user roles, continued**

Role	Responsibilities
Catalog Owner	<p>Catalog Items.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates retirement plans for Service Request Catalog Items.</li> <li>Gathers details for new Service Request Catalog Items.</li> <li>Identifies owners and impact for Service Request Catalog Items.</li> <li>Confirms SLAs can be met.</li> <li>Identifies any costs and charging mechanisms for Service Request Catalog Items.</li> <li>Defines the use and locations of Service Request Catalog Items.</li> </ul>

## Input and output for Request Management

Requests can be triggered and resolved in several ways. The following table outlines the inputs and outputs for the Request Management process.

**Input and output for Request Management**

Input to Request Management	Output from Request Management
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Help desk call or self-service request</li> <li>Configuration Management System (CMS)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Request fulfilled (e.g. hardware dispatched, password reset)</li> <li>User satisfaction reports</li> </ul>

## Key performance indicators for Request Management

The Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) in the following table are useful for evaluating your Request Management processes. To visualize trend information, it is useful to graph KPI data periodically. In addition to the data provided by Service Manager, you may need additional tools to report on all of your KPI requirements.

**Key Performance Indicators for Request Management**

Title	Description
Number of service requests	The total number of Service Requests. The indicator is used as a control measure.
Size of backlog	The current size of the backlog of outstanding service.
Elapsed time	The elapsed time for handling each type of Service Request.
Average cost	The average cost per type of Service Request.
Customer satisfaction	The level of client satisfaction with the handling of Service Requests (as measured in some form of satisfaction survey).

For completeness, the ITIL V3 and COBIT 4.1 KPIs are included below.

## ITIL V3 Key Performance Indicators

The following are ITIL V3 KPIs for Request Management:

- The total number of service requests
- Breakdown of service requests at each stage
- The size of current backlog of outstanding Service Requests
- The mean elapsed time for handling each type of Service Request
- The number and percentage of Service Requests completed within agreed target times
- The average cost per type of Service Request
- Level of client satisfaction with the handling of Service Requests

## RACI matrix for Request Management

A Responsible, Accountable, Consulted, and Informed (RACI) diagram or RACI matrix is used to describe the roles and responsibilities of various teams or people in delivering a project or operating a process. It is especially useful in clarifying roles and responsibilities in cross-functional/departmental projects and processes. The RACI matrix for Request Management is shown in the following table.

**RACI matrix for Request Management**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Activity</b>	<b>Requester</b>	<b>Service Request Analyst</b>	<b>Service Request Approver</b>	<b>Service Request Fulfillment Group</b>	<b>Service Request Manager</b>	<b>Service Request Catalog Owner</b>
SO 3.1	Service Request Logging	R	R			A	
SO 3.2	Service Request Approval	C	R	R		A	
SO 3.3	Service Request Provisioning		R		R	A	
SO 3.4	Service Request Validation and Closure	I	R			A	
SO 3.5	Create, Update or Retire Service Request Catalog Item	I	R			A/R	R
SO 3.6	Service Request Monitoring		R			A/R	
SO 3.7	Service Request Escalation		R			A/R	

## Chapter 9: Request Management Workflows

The Request Management process includes the activities required to select items from the menu and submit a service request, to give financial and business approvals, to provision, and to fulfil service requests. It is responsible for ensuring that a IT support is offered for self-help practices and requests can be effectively fulfilled after needed approvals.

The Request Management process consists of the following processes, which are included in this chapter:

- ["Service Request Logging \(process SO 3.1\)" on the next page](#)
- ["Service Request Approval \(process SO 3.2\)" on page 146](#)
- ["Service Request Provisioning \(process SO 3.3\)" on page 149](#)
- ["Service Request Validation and Closure \(process SO 3.4\)" on page 151](#)
- ["Create, Update or Retire Service Request Catalog Item \(process SO 3.5\)" on page 156](#)
- ["Service Request Monitoring \(process SO 3.6\)" on page 162](#)
- ["Service Request Escalation \(process SO 3.7\)" on page 165](#)



## Service Request Logging (process SO 3.1)


The Service Request Logging process starts when a Requester uses Self Service or the Service Desk to log appropriate Service Requests. A Service Request submitted by the Requester can be a request for existing Service Request Catalog Item, a request for a new service, or an amendment to the Service Request Catalog. The Service Request Analyst needs to link User Details to the new Service Request, analyze the request, and then decide what to do next. As a result of the Service Request Logging process, a Service Request will be submitted. An originating interaction could be cancelled if needed.

The following user roles can perform Service Request Logging:

- Requester
- Service Request Analyst

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Service Request Logging workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Service Request Logging process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 3.1.1	Request for existing Service Request Catalog Item?	If yes, go to S0 3.1.2, otherwise go to S0 3.1.3 to determine whether the Service Request is for a new Service.	Requester
S0 3.1.2	Complete and submit Service Request	Enter the required details in the Service Request record and submit.  Go to S0 3.2.1 for the Service Request Approver to review the Service Request details within in the Service Request Approval process.	Requester
S0 3.1.3	Request for a new Service?	An example of a new service would be a new encrypted email or telephone system. Essentially a new offering to which users can subscribe.  If yes, go to S0 3.1.4, otherwise go to S0 3.1.5 to determine whether the Service Request is for an amendment to the Service Request Catalog.	Requester
S0 3.1.4	Complete and submit Service Request	Enter the required details in the Service Request record and submit.  Go to S0 3.2.1 for the Service Request Approver to review the Service Request details within in the Service Request Approval process.	Requester
S0 3.1.5	Request to create / update / retire Service Request Catalog Item?	If yes, go to S0 3.5.1 for the Service Request Analyst to review within the Create, Update or Retire Service Request Catalog Item process, otherwise the Service Request Logging process ends.	Requester
S0 3.1.6	Link User Details to new Service Request	Fill in the name of the caller in the Contact Person field and the name of the User in the Service Recipient field (if different).  Go to S0 3.1.7 to direct the Requester to Self-Service if applicable.	Service Request Analyst
S0 3.1.7	Direct Requester to use Self-Service?	If the Requester agrees to use the Self-Service tool, the Service Request Logging process ends.	Service Request

**Service Request Logging process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
		Otherwise, go to SO 3.1.8 to determine whether the request is for an existing Service Request Catalog Item.	Analyst
SO 3.1.8	Request for existing Service Request Catalog Item?	If yes, go to SO 3.1.9 otherwise go to SO 3.1.11 to determine whether the Service Request is for a new Service.	Service Request Analyst
SO 3.1.9	Cancel originating Interaction if appropriate	If an Interaction has been opened, cancel it.	Service Request Analyst
SO 3.1.10	Complete and submit Service Request	Enter the required details in the Service Request record and submit.  Go to SO 3.2.1 for the Service Request Approver to review the Service Request details within in the Service Request Approval process.	Service Request Analyst
SO 3.1.11	Request for a new Service?	An example of a new service would be a new encrypted email or telephone system. Essentially a new offering to which users can subscribe.  If yes, go to SO 3.1.12, otherwise go to SO 3.1.13 to determine whether the Service Request is for an amendment to the Service Request Catalog.	Service Request Analyst
SO 3.1.12	Complete and submit Service Request	Enter the required details in the Service Request record and submit.  Go to SO 3.2.1 for the Service Request Approver to review the Service Request details within in the Service Request Approval process.	Service Request Analyst
SO 3.1.13	Request to create / update / retire Service Request Catalog Item?	If yes, go to SO 3.5.1 for the Service Request Analyst to review within the Create, Update or Retire Service Request Catalog Item process otherwise go to SO 3.1.14 to cancel the originating Interaction, if appropriate.	Service Request Analyst

**Service Request Logging process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
S0 3.1.14	Cancel originating Interaction if appropriate	If an Interaction has been opened, cancel it.	Service Request Analyst

## Service Request Approval (process S0 3.2)


A service request initiated by the Requester has the request and user information automatically included in the service request. After a service request is logged, the Service Request Approver reviews the Service Request details. If more information is needed, the Service Request Approver will contact the Requester to complete the information, and then approve or reject the request. Once all approvals have been received, the Service Request Analyst updates the Service Request and make sure all Service Request information is up-to-date.

The following user roles can perform Service Request Approval:

- Service Request Analyst
- Service Request Approver

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Service Request Approval workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Service Request Approval process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 3.2.1	Review Service Request details	The Service Request Approver reviews the Service Request and assesses whether sufficient information exists, that there are no discrepancies or additional requirements being requested.  Go to S0 3.2.2 to determine whether the Service Request information is complete.	Service Request Approver
S0 3.2.2	Service Request information complete?	If yes, go to S0 3.2.5 to determine whether the Service Request is for a new Service. If no, go to S0 3.2.3 to contact the Requester for additional information.	Service Request Approver
S0 3.2.3	Contact Requester for additional information	Contact the requester to obtain additional information. It is possible that upon further discussion the Requester decides that they no longer need the Service Request to be fulfilled.  Go to S0 3.2.4 to determine whether to abandon the Service Request.	Service Request Analyst
S0 3.2.4	Abandon Service Request?	If yes, go to S0 3.4.1 to review the Service Request progress status within the Service Request Validation and Closure process.  If no, go to S0 3.2.1 to review the Service Request details and progress.	Service Request Analyst
S0 3.2.5	Service Request for a new Service?	If yes, go to S0 3.4.1 to review the Service Request progress status within the Service Request Validation and Closure process.  If no, go to S0 3.2.6 to determine whether the Service Request should be rejected.	Service Request Approver
S0 3.2.6	Service Request rejected?	If yes, go to S0 3.4.1 to review the Service Request progress status within the Service Request Validation and Closure process.  If no, go to S0 3.2.7 to determine whether all approvals are complete.	Service Request Approver
S0 3.2.7	All approvals complete?	If yes, go to S0 3.2.8 to update the Service Request.	Service Request



**Service Request Approval process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
		If no, go to SO 3.2.1 to review Service Request details.	Approver
SO 3.2.8	Update Service Request	Once all approvals have been received ensure all Service Request information is up-to-date. Go to SO 3.3.1 to determine the Service Request Groups within the Service Request Provisioning process.	Service Request Analyst

## Service Request Provisioning (process SO 3.3)


In the Service Request Provisioning process, the Service Request Analyst identifies which Service Request Group/s is best able to fulfil the Service Request. This step can also be performed by Service Manager. The tool can automatically assign records to the appropriate group based on the record's categorization. After that, Service Request Provisioning tasks are created for the group to fulfil.

The following user roles can perform Service Request Approval:

- Service Request Analyst/Tool
- Service Request Fulfillment Group

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Service Request Provisioning workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Service Request Provisioning process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 3.3.1	Determine Service Request Fulfillment Group	Identify which Service Request Fulfillment Group is best able to fulfil the Service Request. Service Manager can automatically assign records to the appropriate group based on the record's categorization.  Go to S0 3.3.2 to create and assign Service Request Provisioning Tasks.	Service Request Analyst / Tool
S0 3.3.2	Create and Assign Service Request Provisioning Tasks	Create and assign a Service Request Provisioning Task to each Service Request Fulfillment Group  Go to S0 3.3.3 to fulfil the Service Request Provisioning Task.	Service Request Analyst
S0 3.3.3	Fulfil Service Request Provisioning Task	Complete all the actions necessary to fulfil the Service Request Provisioning Task.  Go to S0 3.3.4 to determine whether all Service Request Provisioning Tasks have been completed.	Service Request Fulfillment Group
S0 3.3.4	All Service Request Provisioning Tasks completed?	If yes, go to S0 3.4.1 to review the Service Request progress within the Service Request Provisioning process.  If no, go to S0 3.3.3 to continue fulfilling the Service Request Provisioning Tasks.	Service Request Fulfillment Group

## Service Request Validation and Closure (process S0 3.4)


After a Service Request is approved and fulfilled, the Service Request Analyst starts to review, validate, and then close the request. A Service Request can be closed when the Service Request Analyst finishes one of the following tasks:

- Notify the Requester the rejection reason, if the Service Request is abandoned and rejected.
- Notify the Requester that the Service Request will be handled by IT development after validating the Service Request for a new service.
- Check with the Service Requester that the Service Request is successfully fulfilled.
- Log a incident for the Requester if the Service Request is not successful.

All the tasks in the Service Request Validation and Closure process is performed by the Service Request Analyst.

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Service Request Validation and Closure workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Service Request Validation and Closure process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 3.4.1	Review Service Request	The Service Request is reviewed to determine its progress status. Go to S0 3.4.2 to determine whether the Service Request is rejected / abandoned.	Service Request Analyst
S0 3.4.2	Is this a rejected / abandoned Service Request?	If yes, go to S0 3.4.3 to notify the Requester of the rejection. If no, go to S0 3.4.4 to determine whether the Service Request is a valid request for a new Service?	Service Request Analyst
S0 3.4.3	Notify Requester of rejection reason	Contact the Requester and advise them of the reason why the Service Request was rejected. Go to S0 3.4.10 to close the Service Request.	Service Request Analyst
S0 3.4.4	Valid Service Request for a new Service?	If yes, go to S0 3.4.5 to notify the Requester that the Service Request will be handled by IT Development. If no, go to S0 3.4.6 to confirm with the Requester whether or not the Service Request was successful.	Service Request Analyst
S0 3.4.5	Notify Requester that the Service Request will be handled by IT Development	Notify the Requester that the Service Request will be handled by IT Development. Go to S0 3.4.10 to close the Service Request.	Service Request Analyst
S0 3.4.6	Check with Requester that the Service Request was successful	Contact the Requester to confirm whether the Service Request was successful. Go to S0 3.4.7 to determine whether the Service Request was successful.	Service Request Analyst
S0	Was the Service	If yes, go to S0 3.4.10 to close the Service Request.	Service

**Service Request Validation and Closure process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
3.4.7	Request successful?	If no, go to SO 3.4.8 to determine whether to abandon the Service Request.	Request Analyst
SO 3.4.8	Abandon Service Request?	<p>If a Service Request has failed, an Incident can be raised to investigate and resolve the issue. If the Requester still requires the Service Request to be fulfilled, an Incident will be raised. If the Requester no longer requires the Service Request, an Incident may or may not be raised depending on the failure.</p> <p>If the Service Request is abandoned, go to SO 3.4.9 to determine whether an Incident is required.</p> <p>If it is not, go to Incident Logging (SO 2.1.12) to create a new Incident.</p>	Service Request Analyst
SO 3.4.9	Incident required?	<p>If yes, go to Incident Logging (SO 2.1.12) to create a new Incident.</p> <p>If no, go to SO 3.4.10 to close the Service Request.</p>	Service Request Analyst
SO 3.4.10	Close Service Request Record	<p>Review the Service Request and ensure all information is complete and that all updates to CIs have been completed.</p> <p>Go to SO 3.4.11 to determine whether the Service Request Catalog needs to be updated.</p> <p>If the Service Request failed and is thought to be due to a Known Error, go to Problem Management (SO 4.7.1) to co-ordinate corrective actions.</p> <p>If the Service Request was a valid request for a new Service, go to IT Development. IT Development is responsible for handling the request for a new Service, which should be managed as a Change.</p>	Service Request Analyst
SO 3.4.11	Service Request Catalog Maintenance?	If yes, go to SO 3.5.1 to review the update to the Service Request Catalog within the Create, Update or Retire Service Request Catalog Item process. If no, the Service Request Validation and Closure process ends.	Service Request Analyst

## Create, Update or Retire Service Request Catalog Item (process SO 3.5)

The Service Request Analyst requests to update the Service Request Catalog when the Service Request Catalog Maintenance is needed. Service Request Catalog Owner is responsible for creating a Service Request Catalog Item retirement plan or a updated Service Request Catalog design after making sure all requirements can be met. Once the plan or design is submitted for implementation, it will be managed as part of the Change Management process. The Requester who initiated the Requester and appropriate stakeholders will be notified the change implementation results.


The Create, Update or Retire Service Request Catalog Item process is performed by the roles:

- Service Request Analyst
- Service Request Manager
- Service Request Catalog Owner

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.



The Create, Update or Retire Service Request Catalog Item workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Create, Update or Retire Service Request Catalog Item process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
SO 3.5.1	Request to create / update / retire a Service Request Catalog Item	The request is reviewed to check its validity and to ensure all required information is provided. Go to SO 3.5.2 to determine whether the proposal is valid.	Service Request Analyst
SO 3.5.2	Valid proposal?	If yes, go to SO 3.5.4 to determine whether the proposal has been approved by Service Level Management (SLM). SLM approval is required to ensure that changes to the Service Request Catalog do not compromise the ability to meet any agreed Service Level Agreements with the customer (or Operating Level Agreements or Underpinning contracts).  If no, go to SO 3.5.3 to notify the Requester.	Service Request Manager
SO 3.5.3	Notify Requester of outcome	Advise the Requester that the proposal is either invalid or failed to receive SLM approval.  Go to SO 3.4.10 to close the Service Request within the Service Request Validation and Closure process.	Service Request Manager
SO 3.5.4	SLM Approved?	If yes, go to SO 3.5.5 to determine whether the request is to retire a Service Request Catalog Item.  If no, go to SO 3.5.3 to notify the Requester.	Service Request Manager
SO 3.5.5	Request to retire a Service Request Catalog Item?	If yes, go to SO 3.5.6 for the Service Request Catalog Owner to create a retirement plan.  If no, go to SO 3.5.8 for the Service Request Catalog Owner to gather detailed requirements.	Service Request Manager
SO 3.5.6	Create a Retirement Plan	Create a plan to decommission the Service Request Catalog Item from the Service Request Catalog, removing any system entries, system integrations, process integrations, notification mechanisms and approval matrices.  Go to SO 3.5.7 to submit the plan for implementation.	Service Request Catalog Owner

**Create, Update or Retire Service Request Catalog Item process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 3.5.7	Submit plan / design for implementation	The new Service Request Catalog Item Design or Retirement Plan must be submitted for implementation and will be managed as part of the Change Management process. Go to Change Logging (ST 2.1.9)	Service Request Manager
S0 3.5.8	Gather detailed requirements	<p>The Service Request Catalog Owner engages with relevant Business and IT groups to gather detailed requirements for the new or updated Service Request Catalog Item. This will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Description</li> <li>• Scope</li> <li>• Service Level Requirements</li> <li>• Charging Model</li> <li>• Owner</li> <li>• Cost</li> <li>• Service Catalog relationship(s)</li> <li>• Fulfillment Tasks to be carried out</li> </ul> <p>Go to S0 3.5.9 to identify the Service Request Catalog Item Owner.</p>	Service Request Catalog Owner
S0 3.5.9	Identify Service Request Catalog Item Owner	<p>An Owner is identified for the new or amended Service Request Catalog Item who will be responsible for its quality and integrity throughout its life. They are responsible for periodic review of validity, alignment to business needs and accuracy of the Tasks.</p> <p>Go to S0 3.5.10 to determine impact of the new or amended Service Request Catalog Item on the Service Catalog.</p>	Service Request Catalog Owner

**Create, Update or Retire Service Request Catalog Item process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 3.5.10	Determine impact on Service Catalog	The new or amended Service Request Catalog Item must underpin the Service Catalog and cannot alter any attribute of Services held within it, therefore the impact and any required updates to the Service Catalog must be determined.  Go to S0 3.5.11 to confirm that Service Levels can be met.	Service Request Catalog Owner
S0 3.5.11	Confirm Service Levels can be met	Ensure the expected Service Level Requirements for the new or amended Service Request Catalog Item can be met.  Go to S0 3.5.12 to determine whether all requirements can be met.	Service Request Catalog Owner
S0 3.5.12	Can all requirements be met?	If yes, go to S0 3.5.13 to design the new or updated Service Request Catalog Item. If no, go to S0 3.5.1 to review the proposal again.	Service Request Catalog Owner
S0 3.5.13	Design new or updated Service Request Catalog Item	The new or updated Service Request Catalog Item must be designed into the tool. This will include Catalog entries, a request model, entitlement criteria and approval matrices.  Go to S0 3.5.7 to submit the Service Request Catalog Item Design for implementation.	Service Request Catalog Owner
S0 3.5.14	Change successful?	Once the Change Coordinator has determined that the Change was successfully implemented (ST 2.5.14), the Service Request Manager is advised.  If yes, go to S0 3.5.15 to notify the community of a change to the Service Request Catalog. If no, go to S0 3.5.16 to notify the Requester.	Service Request Manager
S0 3.5.15	Notify community of change to	Once the change to the Service Request Catalog has been successfully implemented, notify the appropriate stakeholders.	Service Request

**Create, Update or Retire Service Request Catalog Item process, continued**


Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
	Service Request Catalog	Go to SO 3.4.1 to review the Service Request within the Service Request Validation and Closure process.	Manager
SO 3.5.16	Notify Requester of outcome	If the change to the Service Request Catalog was unsuccessful, advise the Requester of the outcome.  Go to SO 3.4.1 to review the Service Request within the Service Request Validation and Closure process.	Service Request Manager

## Service Request Monitoring (process SO 3.6)

The Service Request Monitoring process describes the activities to monitor all open Service Requests from initialization to resolution. Service Request Monitoring also determines whether action or escalation is required to meet the target resolution according to the associated SLA. For example, action is needed if requests are greater than 50% of SLA expired. Service Request Monitoring is an ongoing process performed by the Service Request Analyst and the Service Request Manager.

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Service Request Monitoring workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Service Request Monitoring (SO 3.6) process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
SO 3.6.1	Review progress on open Service Requests	<p>Regularly (several times a day) review progress on open Service Requests.</p> <p>Examples of the types of issues to monitor for are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Incorrectly compiled Requests</li> <li>• Requests for VIP users</li> <li>• Requests &gt; 100% SLA expired (with customer escalation)</li> <li>• Requests &gt; 50% of SLA expired</li> <li>• Requests &gt; 100% of SLA expired (without customer escalation)</li> <li>• Requests &lt; 50% of SLA expired</li> </ul> <p>Go to SO 3.6.2 to determine whether action is required.</p>	Service Request Analyst
SO 3.6.2	Action required?	<p>If yes, go to SO 3.6.3 to take appropriate action.</p> <p>If no, go to SO 3.6.1 to review progress on open Service Requests.</p>	Service Request Analyst
SO 3.6.3	Take appropriate action	<p>Implement action(s) to resolve the issue with the Service Request.</p> <p>Go to SO 3.6.4 to determine whether escalation is required to resolve the issue.</p>	Service Request Analyst
SO 3.6.4	Escalation required?	<p>If yes, go to SO 3.7.1 to define requirements and the escalation point within the Service Request Escalation process.</p> <p>If no, go to SO 3.6.5 to update the Service Request with actions taken.</p>	Service Request Manager
SO	Update the Service Request	Ensure the Service Request is updated to reflect any actions taken.	Service Request



**Service Request Monitoring (S0 3.6) process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
3.6.5	with actions taken	Go to S0 3.6.1 in order for the Service Request Analyst to review the progress of open Service Requests.	Manager


## Service Request Escalation (process S0 3.7)

When a Service Request Analyst reports to the Service Request Manager the action taken to resolve the issue with the Service Request, the manager determines whether escalation is needed. The Service Request Escalation process starts from the requirements and escalation point defined by the Service Request Manager, and the analyst takes care of defining actions that should be taken and action execution until the issue is resolved.

Service Request Escalation is performed by the Service Request Analyst and the Service Request Manager.

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Service Request Escalation workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Service Request Escalation process**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
S0 3.7.1	Define requirements & escalation point	<p>Ensure the reason for the escalation is clearly defined, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A clear description of the reason for escalation</li> <li>• A risk assessment</li> <li>• If possible, the action required to resolve the issue.</li> </ul> <p>Identify the most suitable escalation point. In most cases, this will be the immediate line manager. If not, agree with the line manager who the escalation point should be. Ensure the Service Request is updated with all the information / decisions.</p> <p>Go to S0 3.7.2 in order for the Service Request Analyst to define the actions to resolve the issue.</p>	Service Request Manager
S0 3.7.2	Define actions to resolve the issue	<p>The agreed escalation point should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assess the issue / reason for escalation / risk</li> <li>• Determine the most appropriate course of action</li> <li>• Take ownership and drive resolution</li> </ul> <p>If they do not feel that they are the appropriate point of escalation, they must retain ownership of the issue and are responsible for ensuring that it is passed to the correct escalation point.</p> <p>Go to S0 3.7.3 to execute the actions to resolve the issue.</p>	Service Request Analyst
S0 3.7.3	Execute actions to resolve the issue	<p>The escalation point must perform or delegate all of the defined actions within the scope of their authority. All other actions must be progressed via further escalation.</p> <p>Go to S0 3.7.4 to determine whether further escalation is required.</p>	Service Request Analyst

**Service Request Escalation process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 3.7.4	Is further escalation required?	If yes, go to S0 3.7.1 to define requirements and the escalation point. If no, go to S0 3.7.5 to determine whether the issue has been resolved.	Service Request Analyst
S0 3.7.5	Has the issue been resolved?	If yes, go to S0 3.6.5 to update the Service Request with actions taken within the Service Request Monitoring process. If no, go to S0 3.7.2 to define actions to resolve the issue.	Change Manager

# Chapter 10: Request Management Details

HPService Manager uses the Request Management application to enable the Request Management process. The main function of Request Management is to standardize the methods and processes a business organization uses to log, approve, validate, monitor and escalate service requests as necessary.

In the Service Request Management workflow, the Service Request Analyst creates and assigns Service Request Provisioning Task records to appropriate Service Request Fulfillment Groups, fulfills the Service Request, and verifies that the Requester is satisfied with the outcome. In the Service Request Catalog Maintenance workflow, the Service Request Manager determines whether the proposal is valid and makes sure Service Level Management approval is received. The Service Request Catalog Owner creates a new or updated Service Request Catalog Quote and submits it to the Service Request Manager. Once the Change created by the manager has been implemented, the Service Request Analyst verifies that the Requester is satisfied with the outcome and closes the Service Request.

This section describes selected Request Management fields in the out-of-box Service Manager system.

Topics in this section include:

- ["Request Management categories and phases" on the next page](#)
- ["Request Management process flow" on page 179](#)
- ["Order generation process" on page 181](#)
- ["Model form" on page 184](#)
- ["Model form details" on page 185](#)
- ["Line Item Summary form" on page 192](#)
- ["Line Item Summary form details" on page 193](#)
- ["Quote form" on page 196](#)
- ["Quote form details" on page 197](#)
- ["Order form" on page 201](#)
- ["Order form details" on page 202](#)

## Request Management categories and phases

Category is a classification of records within each of the three functional areas: Quotes, Orders, and Line Items. A phase is an administrative step in the life cycle of a Record.

Quote and order categories can be subdivided into any number of phases. Each line item category has only one phase. The phase definition controls options and system behaviors for each phase.

### Line Item categories

Line item categories are the major groupings of different products and services. Each product or service must have a line item category. The following are examples of Line Item categories:

- Computers and Related.
- Office Supplies.
- Software Categories.
- Installation.

Line item categories are stored in the `ocmlcat` table.

Line item category fields are described in the following table.

#### Line Item Category field descriptions

Label	Description
Name	(Required) A unique identifier for the line item category.
Description	A brief description of the category.
Availability	The condition evaluated when adding a line item process to determine if the user can select items in the category. It also controls the line items that the users can view or update. Defaults to false if left blank.
QBE Format	Allows designation of a different record list form for a category other than the default <code>ocml.qbe</code> form.
List Bitmap	This field allows you to add a bitmap to your Service Manager form.
Sequence	This field is unused.

**Line Item Category field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
Assign Number Before Commit	If this field is selected (set to true), the system assigns a number to the line item before displaying a confirmation screen if that display option is activated. If not selected (set to NULL), this field defaults to false.
Quote Categories, Order Categories	The quote/order categories that can select the line item category. If NULL, the line item category is available to all quote/order categories (pending use of master categories).
Line Item Phase	(Required, and display only) The name of the phase for this category is automatically defaulted (through Format Control) to match the category name.

## Line Item phases

The line item phase definition determines when and how items are ordered. Line items are associated with a quote or order category, not the phase. A quote or order phase can change, but the status of the line items on the quote or order cannot change until the last phase of the parent quote/order is closed.

There is only one phase for each line item. The line item phase name defaults to the Line Item Category Name.

**Note:** A format control record displays the phase name as the same as the category name. It can be modified to make the line item phase name different from the category name.

When a Line Item Category is created, the corresponding phase (with the same name) must also be created in the Phase Definition (ocmoptions) table. When the Create Line Item Category process is used to create a new Line Item Category and the user clicks Add, the system opens the Line Item Phase Definition form for completion.

Line Item phase fields are described in the following table.

**Line Item phase fields descriptions**

Label	Description
<b>Definition tab</b>	
Name	(Required) The name of the phase.
Description	A unique identifier of the phase (displayed in workflow tabs).

**Line Item phase fields descriptions, continued**

<b>Label</b>	<b>Description</b>
Area	(Required) The functional area to which the phase applies (hardcoded to Line Items).
Parent Area	(Unique to line items) Designates which parent area (Orders or Quotes) is valid for a line item under this phase. If this field is set to NULL, both are available.
Risk Maximum	The maximum value that will be assigned by risk calculations.
Risk Calculation	If set to true, risk is automatically calculated.
History Pages	If set to true, records will be created in the ocm1page table every time a line item of this phase is updated.
History Page Link	Link record used to copy fields from ocm1 record to ocm1page record (all fields are copied if this field is blank).
History Audit Records	If set to true, audit records will be created when audited fields of a line item in this phase are updated.
Update	If set to true, the line item's fields may be modified.
Approval	If set to true, operators with approval authority may perform approval actions (irrelevant to Line Item phases).
Close	If set to true, the line item may be closed (or received).
Close Msg ID.	Identifies the label of the close phase button using a scmessage record. This must be a valid message ID for a message defined in the scmessage table with a message class of ocm.
Close Desc	The description of the option used to close the phase (for example, Close, or Next Phase).
Reopen	If set to true, a closed line item may be reopened.
Msg./Events	This field is obsolete, and included for backwards compatibility with ServiceCenter 3 and earlier.
Confirm Action	If set to true, operators with the Confirm Action setting on their profile will be prompted to confirm actions taken on the line item.
<b>Alerts tab</b>	
Alert	Alert records that apply to the line item phase.



**Line Item phase fields descriptions, continued**

<b>Label</b>	<b>Description</b>
Alert Controls > Reset	<p>Sets the status of all current Alert records associated with the current line item phase to inactive and marks the last action field as reset.</p> <p>Then, it schedules a calculate alert record to recalculate the item's alerts and restart the alerts process.</p>
Alert Controls > Reeval.	<p>If set to true, retrieves each Alert associated with the line item phase and process it.</p> <p>If the alert status is active, Service Manager reevaluates the alert condition and updates the alert to reflect the correct status.</p> <p>If the alert status is not active, Service Manager reevaluates the alert condition. If the condition is true, Service Manager do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sets the status to Scheduled.</li> <li>• Sets the last action to Recalc.</li> <li>• Sets the action time to the current date/time.</li> <li>• Reevaluates the Schedule Condition.</li> </ul>
<b>Approvals tab</b>	
Approval Name	The name of an approval definition record that apply to the line item phase.
Approval Controls > Reset	If set to true, resets all approvals and reevaluates the conditions on all possible approval definitions.
Approval Controls > Recalc.	If set to true, recalculates the approval definitions for all current approvals.
Approval Controls > Retain	If set to true, retains current approvals if the phase changes.
<b>Model/Line Items tab</b>	
Model	(Optional) Number of an existing Line Item that will be used as a “model” (values from that line item will be copied to line items entering this phase).
Link	(Optional) Link record used to specify which fields are copied from the “model” Line Item to line items entering this phase (all fields are copied if this value is left blank).

**Line Item phase fields descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
Modify Dates	(Unique to line items) If set to true, the dates of a line item can be changed by an operator.
Receiving Format	(Unique to line items) The name of the form presented for the line item's receiving process.
<b>Scripts/Views tab</b>	
Scripts	Defines scripts to run at phase Open, Update, Close, Reopen, or Copy & Open.
Default View	Defines the form used to display Line Items of this phase.
<b>Reports tab</b>	
Report, Format	<p>The Reports tab is included for backwards compatibility with older implementations.</p> <p><b>Best practice:</b> Remove all values from this tab (or leave them all blank). This will avoid an extra validation step while saving or creating the phase definition.</p>

## Master categories

Master categories enable groupings of similar line items. Use master categories to structure the parts selection process by creating high-level groupings of related line item categories, and defining the quote category to which these line item categories are made available.

Example: If no master categories existed for office equipment and Human Resources, all line item categories would appear for selection: Chairs, Contractor Conversion, Desks, Employee Promotion, Employee Termination, Employee Transfer, Lamps, New Employee Setup, and Office Accessories. By using master categories, you can group line item categories into logical selections such as:

- Office Equipment
  - Desks.
  - Chairs.
  - Lamps.
  - Accessories.

- Human Resources
  - Contractor Conversion.
  - New Hire.
  - Reassignment.
  - Termination.
  - Transfer.

In the catalog, each part must have a line item category. The master category does not appear on any Parts catalog records; it organizes line items into relevant groups. The parts are selected through the part's line item category. Master categories are grouped under specific quote or order categories or are available to all quote and order categories.

The master category organization hierarchy is as follows:

- Quote/Order Categories.
- Master Categories.
- Line Item Categories.

Master Category fields are described in the following table.

#### Master category field descriptions

Label	Description
Name	(Required) A unique identifier for the master line item category.
Description	A brief, meaningful description of the category displayed on record lists.
Availability	The condition evaluated to determine if the user can select items under the master category while adding a line item process. Defaults to false if left blank.
Display Categories	The condition is evaluated after the user selects the master category to determine if the list of line item categories under this master category is displayed. If set to false, the line item category list does not display; instead, all the parts (or line items) with a line item category matching any of the master category's line item categories display. Defaults to false if left blank.
Sequence	This field is obsolete (unused).
Quote Categories,	The quote/order categories under which the master line item category fits. If set to NULL, the master line item category is available to all quote/order categories.

**Master category field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
Order Categories	
Line Item Categories	The line item categories available under the master line item category.

## Quote categories

Quote categories are the major classification of incoming requests from users. Quotes, also known as requests, are the highest-level category description. Primary determinations for establishing quote categories are:

- The number of products and services offered.
- The organization's reporting needs.

Quote categories include a number of phases such as:

- Initial phase: Initial entry and pricing of the request.
- Approvals phase: Management approval.
- Ordering phase: Allows parts and services to be ordered, received, and closed.
- Follow-up phase: Customer verifies successful fulfillment.

Quote Category fields are described in the following table.

**Quote Category field descriptions**

Label	Description
Name	(Required) A unique identifier for the quote category.
Description	A meaningful description of the category, which is displayed on record lists.
Availability	The condition evaluated when opening a quote or changing a category to determine if the user can select this category. If set to false, the category does not display on the list. Controls which quote users can view or update.  Defaults to false if left blank.
QBE	Allows designation of a different record list form, other than the default ocmq.qbe

**Quote Category field descriptions, continued**

<b>Label</b>	<b>Description</b>
Format	form, for this category.
Multiple Selection	A logical field that defaults to true. It allows the user to add additional items if required before the quotes are created. If the field is set to false, the user may only specify one catalog item per quote.
Assign Number Before Commit	If checked (set to true), the system assigns a number before displaying a confirmation screen (if that display option is activated).  Defaults to false if left blank.
Phases > Phase Name	(One is required) Defines the phases followed in quotes of this category, from the top of the array to the bottom.
Phases > Condition	(Required for each phase name) The condition that must evaluate to true for the associated phase to be processed.

## Quote phases

When a Quote Category is created, the listed phases must also exist in the Phase Definition (ocmoptions) table. When the Create Quote Category process is used to create a new Quote Category and the user clicks Add, the system opens the Quote Phase Definition form for completion. Each quote category must have at least one approval phase and one ordering phase.

Quote phase records are similar to Line Item phases. Quote phase records have the following differences from Line Item phases:

- No Parent Area field.
- New Admin fields on the Definition tab.
- Lead Time: The number of days advance notice required to deliver the product or service.
- Follow Up Time: Number of days allowed for follow-up.
- Work Schedule: The name of the Calendar Duty Table to calculate the lead-time and follow-up time against in order to arrive at a date (defaults to 24x7).

- Controls split off onto their own tab.
- No Reports tab.

The out of box default form used to display quotes is the `ocmq.view.summary` form (specified on the Scripts/Views tab).

The following quote-specific fields exist on the Controls tab:

- **Generate Orders:** If set to true, enables the generation of orders from line items while the quote is in this phase.
- **Close if Last LI Closed:** If set to true, quotes in this phase automatically advance to the next phase when the last related line item closes (may not be immediate, due to background processing).

**Note:** Since quotes progress through multiple phases, references to Close indicate the closure of the phase and the advancement of the quote to the next phase, not necessarily the closure of the quote.

The following quote-specific fields exist within the Line Item Controls group on the Model/Line Items tab:

- **Add:** If set to true, allow authorized operators to add additional line items to a quote in this phase by going through the catalog selection process.
- **Auto Close:** If set to true, the closing of related Order Line Items can automatically cause the closure of line items related to the quote in this phase, without user intervention (only true in an ordering phase).
- **Auto Mark Avail. to Orders:** If set to true, line items for the quote in this phase may automatically have their Avail to Order values set to true by the system, depending on lead time and scheduling (only true in an ordering phase).
- **Manual Mark Avail. to Orders:** If set to true, operators may manually set the Avail to Order values of related line items to true, bypassing automatic scheduling and processing (only true in an ordering phase).

Quote Phase records are stored in the `ocmoptions` table.

## Order categories

Order categories are the major classification of generated Orders. Order categories contain the same fields and settings as Quote categories (except for not containing the Multiple Selections setting). Order categories are referenced in `modelvendor` records to determine the type of Order generated for a specific line item.

Primary determinations for establishing order categories:

- The number of products and services offered.
- The organization's reporting needs.

Some possibilities for tracking vendors on orders:

- Allow multiple vendors under each order category.
- Classify orders on the basis of vendors.
- Define a unique category for each vendor.

An implementation sets up one phase per order category. Out of box Order Categories include: Lease, Purchase, Rental, Return, and Work.

Order Category records are stored in the `ocmocat` table.

## Order phases

Order phases are similar to Line Item phases. There is only one phase per order category. Order phases are set to closed when the last Order Line Item is closed.

The out-of-box default form used to display orders is the `ocmo.view.summary` form (specified on the Scripts/Views tab).

Order phase records are stored in the `ocmoptions` table.

## Request Management process flow

The Request Management process flow in Service Manager is as follows.

## The Request workflow

The following describes the Request (Quote) workflow in Service Manager:

- A user opens a request for products and/or services, selecting items from the catalog.
- The quote is created, in its first phase, with its related quote line items. Where appropriate, approval groups evaluate the request.
- Depending on configuration, the quote either is automatically advanced to the Ordering phase, or the user advances it to the Ordering phase. Within the Ordering phase, Line Items associated with the quote are marked “Available to Order” automatically, pending dependencies and lead times.
- Line items are either automatically closed by the system (pending the results of the Order workflow), or manually closed by the user.
- If line item dependencies exist, line items become “Available to order” with the closure of other line items.

Example: After a new PC is received, a quote line item specifies that setup services must be ordered. Once all line items for the quote are marked closed, the quote automatically advances out of the Ordering phase. Depending on configuration, the quote closes automatically, or is closed by the user.

## The Order workflow

The following describes the Order workflow in Service Manager:

- An order record is created containing requested items. One quote may create several different order line items, and line items generated from several different quotes may be grouped together and related to the same order. For details about the order generation process, see ["Order generation process" on the next page](#).

Example: A server can be purchased from one vendor and a router from another. End-users can request a variety of toner cartridges, which can be grouped into one single order. When the line items for an order are received, the receiving process is initiated. Parts and materials are received; Services are closed.

- As order line items are closed, their related quote line items are automatically closed by the system. When all line items for an order are closed, the order is automatically closed.



## Order generation process

Orders can be generated manually, or automatically through background ordering.

### Considerations for the Avail To Order field

Background Ordering occurs only for Line Items with an Avail to Order value of `true`. This field can be set automatically according to the Phase Definition Record. Sequence, Lead Time, and Parent/ Child relationships are evaluated to determine when it will become `true`.

Based upon the rules, dependencies, sequencing, and order generation method defined in the catalog, line items ready for ordering are marked in the Avail to Order field as `true`. A schedule record is created, which, when processed, creates an order for the line item.

**Note:** Accessing the line item views `ocml.view.default.g`, `ocml.view.control.g`, or `ocml.view.detail.g` provides the ordering controls set up in the catalog for the part and copied over to the line item during the request process.

Background Ordering is:

- Not for Quotes with any deferred Items.
- Not for Line Items that consolidate to Parent.
- Not for Line Items that consume available inventory (the Consume Avail. field is set to `true`).

Avail to Order can be set manually if Quote Phase Definition and User Profile permit.

## Order generation methods

Request Management supports the following methods for order generation.

### Manual ordering

Use this method to create an order manually. This is similar to creating a quote with line items; but, instead of a quote, you produce an order with line items.

## Manual ordering using the Generate Orders option

Use this method to generate an order directly from a quote through the Generate Orders option from the More Actions menu. The Generate Orders option creates a background process schedule record. This creates an order for each quote line item marked available to be ordered with one order for each line item.

If a line item part or service needs to be ordered immediately and the phase definition for the quote allows you to generate orders manually, the Generate Orders option is used. Generate Orders overrides the standard order generation process and opens orders for line items of a quote immediately. The option is only available while viewing quotes.

To manually generate an order for quote line items, select **Generate Orders** from the More Actions menu. The Request Management Background Order Generation Schedule Record is displayed. Click **OK** to order the line item or **Skip** to leave it for normal processing. Continue until all desired items are ordered.

## Immediate batch ordering

When a line item with a reorder type “Immediate” is marked ready for ordering, a schedule record is created. This creates an order for the line item. The order created has one line item that corresponds to the quote line item (one to one). It is ordered regardless of the planned order date. When order line items are closed, the corresponding quote line items are also closed.

**Best Practice:** Use this method for work, service, or high priority items.

## Demand batch ordering

This type of ordering periodically batches all line items marked ready for ordering either for “Batch” reorder types, or for items where the planned order date has expired. The orders created use the high and low breaks as set in the background order generation schedule record. In this case, order line items may have several quote line items that have been combined for bulk purchases. When the order line items are closed, the corresponding quote line items are also closed.

The Request Management’s Background Order Generation Schedule records (also referred to as Demand Schedule records) are used for the demand batch ordering process.

### Background Order Generation Schedule records

Schedule records determine when and how often to generate orders. There can be more than one demand schedule record in the schedule table at one time. They can be processed at different intervals

and execute different queries. They also define the field values which cause a break to a new order as quotes are processed.

Consider the following:

- What do you change to make each order relate to a single quote rather than an order containing several quotes?
- What would you change to make each line item apply to only one budget code?

To access the background order generation schedule record, go to **Request Management > Maintenance > Administration**, and double-click **Order Create Schedule**.

**Best Practice:** Administrative access to the ordering schedule records from within the Request Management application allows more flexibility in using additional data fields than viewing these records within the schedule table.

The following table describes some of the fields of the order generation schedule record.

#### Background order generation schedule record fields

Label	Description
Line Item Query (optional)	If a value is specified, the query overrides the default query executed against the <code>ocml</code> table.  Default:  <code>avail.to.order=true</code> and <code>reorder.type="b"</code> and <code>open=true</code> and <code>quantity.balance&gt;0</code> and <code>target.order&lt;=tod()</code> .
Order Category (optional)	If a value is specified, the order category used when the new order is created overrides the default order category, which is the order category associated with the line item as defined in the <code>modelvendor</code> record. In the base system, Service Manager provides one schedule record, <code>OCM Create Order</code> . If this record does not open, type <code>Create default</code> in the Name field, and then click <b>Add</b> . The record is created and saved to the system.
Order Breaks	Fields that cause a break to a new order. Out-of-box, the fields are: <code>vendor</code> , <code>vendor.contract.no</code> , <code>trans.type</code> , <code>bill.to.code</code> , <code>ship.to.code</code> , <code>tax.rate</code> , <code>payment.terms</code> , and <code>shipping.terms</code> .
Line Item Breaks	Fields that cause a break to a new order line item. Out-of-box, the fields are: <code>part.no</code> , <code>unit.cost</code> , <code>unit.of.measure</code> , <code>discount</code> , <code>payment.freq</code> , <code>no.of.payments</code> .

To tailor your order processing, the Order Breaks and Line Item Breaks array fields on the demand schedule record allow a list of quote line item field names in a sequence that coincides with a key in the `ocml` System Definition record. As each record is processed, the system checks these field names for

differences. In this way, you can control the completion time of the current order or line item and then break and start a new one.

**Important:** Out-of-box, in the `ocml` System Definition record there is a key containing the fields `avail.to.order`, `reorder.type`, `open`, `quantity.balance`, and `target.order`. Be sure not to modify this key.

## Anticipated batch ordering

Anticipated batch ordering is a scheduled process. By default, this process reviews the model table, seeking catalog items that are of the “Batch” reorder type or have a reorder amount greater than zero, or have a reorder point greater than the sum of available, on order, and backordered. This process creates orders for the specific parts.

### Check Availability Order Generation Schedule records

Request Management’s Check Availability Order Generation Schedule records are used for the anticipated batch ordering process. You can access the schedule records from **Request Management > Maintenance > Administration > Check Available Schedule**.

The schedule records use one Processing Control field as described in the following table.

Label	Description
Model Qry	(Optional) If specified, the query overrides below default query executed against the model table:  <code>reorder.type="b" and reorder.amount&gt;0</code>  <code>reorder.point&gt;=available+on.order+ back.ord</code>

**Best Practice:** Administrative access to the ordering schedule records from within the Request Management application allows more flexibility in using data fields than viewing these records from within the schedule table.

## Model form

Each Model record defines a “part” to be requested or ordered. The Model form enables you to do the following:

- Specify the Line Item Category the part would fit.
- Set user selection options for the part (whether the user can select among several vendors providing the item).
- Determine if Line Item records are created by user selection of this item, and if those Quote Line Items will generate corresponding Order Line Items.
- Set rules for how Order Line Items generated by the part are to be handled (Closed, Received, or Serialized).
- View Item quantity information.
- Set rules for ordering and reordering of Item (immediate or batch ordering, minimum, and maximum order amounts).
- Set installation and licensing information for software.

The following figure shows the standard Model form.

Model Information

General

Current Quantities

Reorder

Vendors

Catalog

Software

Picture

General Information

Part No.:

07N4776

Brief Description:

60 GIG Hard Drive

Manufacturer:

IBM North America

Model:

Deskstar 75GXP

Model Ext.:

☐ Serialized:

Cost:

425.00

Currency:

USD

GL Number:

Default Priority:

Default Quantity:

Config. File:

Detailed Description

60 GIG IBM Deskstar Hard Drive.

Rotational speed - 7200 RPM

Interface - Ultra ATA/100

Sustained data transfer rates - 40 MB/sec

Instructions

## Model form details

The following table identifies and describes some of the features on the Model form.

**Note:** The Catalog entry under Supporting Files also displays records from the model table, using an alternate form which displays a smaller number of settings for each model record. The use of this form has been primarily replaced with the use of the Catalog tab within the standard Model form.

#### Model field descriptions

Label	Description
<b>General tab</b>	
Part No.	A unique identifier for the item. Its value can be defined manually, or (if left blank when a record is added) will have a value automatically assigned based on the model part record in the <code>number</code> table.
Brief Description	A brief description of the item. The description will display in the record list when you select items from the catalog to add to a quote.
Manufacturer	Manufacturer of the item. Must match an existing <code>vendor</code> record.
Model	The manufacturer's model identification for the item; copied to configuration items if they are created from the catalog item.
Model Ext.	Extension to the manufacturer's model identification.
Serialized	Determines if unique identifying information must be gathered when individual pieces of this item are received in the order process.
Cost, Currency	Superseded by cost information in <code>modelvendor</code> records.
GL Number	General Ledger number for accounting purposes.
Default Priority	This field is unused.
Default Quantity	Quantity of item to be requested unless user is allowed to modify quantities.
Config File	Table to create CI records in (typically <code>device</code> , if used).
<b>Current Quantities tab</b>	
By Stockroom, Total	Displays stockroom information and total stock information of the item. <div> <p><b>Note:</b> You should not manually modify the fields on this tab. These fields are updated automatically by existing and completed Quote and Order processes. You can force updates by selecting the Take Inventory option from the More Actions menu.</p> </div>

**Model field descriptions, continued**

<b>Label</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>Reorder tab</b>	
Min. Ord. Amount	If an operator requests less than this amount, Service Manager raises the requested amount up to this amount.
Max. Ord. Amount	If an operator requests more than this amount, Service Manager lowers the requested amount down to this amount.
Lot Size (Ord.)	The lot size to use when ordering the item from a vendor. The order amount is a multiple of this number. If not, Service Manager adjusts it accordingly.
Unit/Measure	The standard unit of measure for this item (using a validity table).
Reorder Type	<p>The type of processing to use when reordering the item:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Immediate: As soon as the ordering phase is entered for a quote, line items of this part will create orders and order line items.</li> <li>• Batch: Order line items for request line items of this type will be created when available to order on a schedule defined by the frequency of the background scheduler.</li> <li>• Phantom: No order line items will be generated for this part, even if request line items are (used for packages).</li> </ul>
Purchasing Group	The group to order this part. A purchasing group is internally responsible for the procurement of certain types of materials.
Material Group	The type of materials or services needed. This field tracks the material categories you define.
Consume Avail.	If selected, consumes available stock when processing line items in an order (default is false). Do not select this option for non-inventoried equipment.
Combine	If selected, combines quote Line Item quantities into one Order line item when processing them. If cleared, a unique order and order line item is created for each quote line item (default is false).
Track Receiving	<p>When this option is true, Service Manager tracks the arrival of the ordered line item for this component and records information in the receiving log. If cleared, line items are closed but not received.</p> <p>This field controls the receiving process for the part. This field is independent from the Serialized field. The Serialized field impacts the receiving process; however, items can be received without being subject to configuration management. In other words, the part does not need to be serialized to be received.</p>

**Model field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
	Example: If three serialized items were received, the serial number for each must be specified during receiving. Three records in the receiving log would be created.
<b>Vendors tab</b>	
Vendor, Unit Cost, Trans Type, #Payments, Payment Amt	Shows relationships of the Item to vendors providing it. Information is stored in the Model Vendor Table and shown using a Virtual Join.
Show All Vendors	This button displays Model Vendor records of this part.
Add a Vendor	This button creates new Model Vendor record for this part, establishing relationship of Item to Vendor.
<b>Catalog tab</b>	
Catalog Information > LI Category	Defines the Line Item Category to group the Catalog item.
Catalog Information > Sequence	This field is unused.
Catalog Information > Assigned Dept	This field defines a default department.
Catalog Information > Components	Used when creating packages of catalog items. A package is the parent to specific line items. To access specific parts, you can go through a selected part package. There are two ways to designate a listing as a package:
Catalog Information > Dependencies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Select Phantom on the Reorder Type field on the Model form. <p><b>Note:</b> Packages of this type can be listed as Items immediately under a Line Item category, and be selected as Catalog Items.</p> </li> <li>Specify a Line Item category of <b>phantom</b> for a Model table entry. <p><b>Note:</b> Packages of this type are only used to group other Catalog Items, and themselves always have at least one level of parent package “above” them.</p> </li> </ul>



**Model field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
	<p>Part Number, Quantity, and Option Type are the three fields required to be filled out for each Component line of a package. The option types for components are: <b>default</b>, <b>required</b>, and <b>optional</b>.</p> <p>If the items are to have scheduled dependencies, they must have a label entered into the Group field, which is then used in the Dependencies array to show group dependencies, establishing the order in which the system will make line items "Available to Order". The out-of-box dependency types include: <b>In Stock</b>, and <b>Closed</b>.</p>
Part Conditions > User Select	Must evaluate to true in order for the user to pick this item from the catalog.
Part Conditions > Show Summary	Enables the operator to see a preview of the part's subcomponents before proceeding to part and vendor selection.
Part Conditions > Copy to LI	<p>If selected (set to true), the catalog entry will create a line item associated with the quote.</p> <p>The Defer Selection field overrides this field. If the Defer Selection field is true, Service Manager copies the entry to the line item regardless of the value in this field.</p> <p><b>Note:</b> Since packages are not actual goods or services themselves, they will not have Copy to LI or Generate Order checked.</p>
Part Conditions > Generate Order	<p>If selected (set to true), enables control over which quote line items are available for order processing.</p> <p><b>Note:</b> Since packages are not actual goods or services themselves, they will not have Copy to LI or Generate Order checked.</p>
Part Conditions > Create Unique	If selected (set to true), creates multiple line items for this part if the user sets the quantity to more than one.
Part Conditions > Consolidate Parent	<p>If selected (set to true), consolidates this part to the Parent Part. The parent line item field points to the line item number that was opened, to fulfill the requirements of this Part's parent.</p> <p>When this field is set to true, stock for this part or the parent cannot be consumed.</p>

**Model field descriptions, continued**

<b>Label</b>	<b>Description</b>
Part Conditions > Select Vendor	If selected (set to true), enables the operator to select the vendor to deliver this item. If this field is set to false, either a default vendor is used (defined in the <code>model\vendor</code> records), or another user must later manually select the vendor for the line item.
Part Conditions > User Modify Quantity	If selected (set to true), enables the operator to override the default ordering quantities during the line item open process (primarily used when part is referenced within a larger package).  If not selected, the user cannot change the quantity of this item within a package.
Part Conditions > Show Confirm	If selected (set to true), enables the operator to see the selected parts summary and confirmation screen after making part and/or vendor selections.
Component Conditions > Prompt Message	The message displayed during the package item selection process.
Component Conditions > Can Select One	The user can select one component of the package during the package item selection process.
Component Conditions > Can Select Many	The user can select multiple components of the package during the package item selection process.
Component Conditions > Can Select None	The user cannot select any components of the package during the package item selection process.
Component Conditions > Defer Selection	Allows the selection of components to happen in the future.
Component Conditions > Auto Select All Defaults	If selected, this option automatically selects the default components for this item. This can disallow the user from removing default components or adding optional ones.
Approvals/Alerts	This subtab provides the following information for this item. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Approval Names: The approval groups or individuals who must approve the Quote when this item (Part) opens as a line item definition. Defining this field at the part level (rather than at a phase level within a category) provides a way</li> </ul>

**Model field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
	<p>to differentiate particular line items for approval. For example, if two parts are in the same line item category but one has a NULL value in this field and the other has a valid Approval group defined, the latter requires approval by that group.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Alert Names: The alert definition scheduled for processing when this item (part) opens as a line item.</li> </ul>
Receiving Information > Receiving Format	The name of the form presented for the item's receiving process.
Receiving Information > Asset Tag # Name	A configuration item tag number to identify the part.
Receiving Information > Field Name, Field Description, Required?, Default Value, Data Type	Information of the field in which to log the receiving information of this part.
<b>Software tab</b>	
Software Information	Application Name: The name of the licensed software product.
License Information	<p>This section provides the following options:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Single-User: If selected (set to true), indicates a license that allows the installation of software on a single workstation for use by a single user.</li> <li>Multi-User: If selected (set to true), indicates a license that allows the installation of software on multiple workstations for use by multiple users. When you select Multi-User, Service Manager displays a list. Select an item from the list. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Per named workstation: A multi-user licensing type that allows multiple software installations across multiple workstations.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

**Model field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Per named user: A multi-user licensing type that allows specified individuals to have access to the software.</li> <li>◦ Per concurrent accesses: A multi-user licensing type that allows a specific number of individuals to have access to the software at the same time.</li> <li>• Total No. of Installs: The content of this field changes depending on which type of License you select.               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Single User Licenses: This field displays the number of installations of the software.</li> <li>◦ Multi-User Licenses: If you selected <b>per named workstation</b>, specify the maximum number of times the software can be installed; If you selected <b>per named user</b> from the Multi-User list, specify the maximum number of people you can name to have access to the software; If you selected <b>per concurrent accesses</b>, specify the number of people who can access the software at the same time.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Evaluation Rights: The maximum number of installations allowed for demonstration or evaluation purposes.</li> </ul>
Installation Information	<p>This section provides the following options:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Points per Install: The number of points each license right consumes.</li> <li>• Version: The version number of the software product.</li> <li>• Authorized: If selected (set to true), indicates that this is an authorized version.</li> </ul>
<b>Picture tab</b>	
This tab allows you to add a picture of this catalog item (part).	

## Line Item Summary form

When a quote or order is created, the line items of the quote or order are listed in the Line Items section. You can open each of the line items to view the summary information.

Quote Line Item Summary is illustrated in the following screenshot:

Quote Line Item Summary

Number

O2001-001

Status

ordered

Project ID

Category

Toner Products

Parent Order

O2001

Parent LI

Group Parent

Vendor Information

Vendor

Hewlett-Packard

Trans. Type

purchase

Vendor Contract No

Company

Coordinator

Adrian.Baxt

Assigned Dept

Assigned To

Requested For

BARKLEY, CLIFF

Bill To Dept

advantage/North America - Mark

Line Item Information

Part No

856

Part Desc

toner for hp 4si printer

Manufacturer

Hewlett-Packard

Model

HPL6723A

Total Cost

\$255.00

Original Quantity

1

Quantity Received

0

From Stock

0

Balance

1

Serialized

## Line Item Summary form details

The following table identifies and describes some of the features on the Line Item Summary form.

**Note:** Out-of-box, Service Manager provides seven alternate forms for line item records. Their accessibility through the Alternate Forms option is controlled by the format control record for the Line Item category's default view.

### Line Item field descriptions

Label	Description
Number	Unique ID automatically assigned by Service Manager. The form of this ID is governed by a combination of a record in the numbers table (Sequential Numbers) and settings in the Line Items Environment record.
Status	This field indicates the status of a line item. The out-of-box statuses include:

**Line Item field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Requested</li> <li>• Ordered</li> <li>• Canceled</li> <li>• Closed</li> <li>• Reopened</li> <li>• Error</li> <li>• Deferred (available only when the <b>Defer Selection</b> option on the <b>Catalog</b> tab &gt; <b>Component Conditions</b> subtab in the line item's model record is selected)</li> </ul>
Project ID	The identification number given to the project.
Category	Determined by the catalog item selected. All Catalog Items must belong to a Line Item Category.
Parent Quote/Order	Reference to generating Quote or Order number.
Parent LI	The parent line item of the current line item. This field points to the line item number that was opened, to fulfill the requirements of this Part's parent.
Group Parent	The package the Line Item belongs to.
Vendor	The name of the vendor who will provide the line items of the order.
Trans. Type	The type of service provided by the vendor for this item. Determined by the combination of catalog item and vendor selected by the requester. This will determine what category of Order is generated.
Vendor Contract No	The contract number between requesting organization and the vendor for the business relationship (copied to quote line item).
Company	This identifies the company of the user whose name is displayed in the Requested For field of the Quote form. The company name is system generated if the operator shown in the Request For field has a company defined in the contact record.
Coordinator	The name of the person responsible for coordinating the implementation of the order related to the line item. Each Coordinator may belong to several assignment groups. Each group can have just one Order Coordinator.

**Line Item field descriptions, continued**

<b>Label</b>	<b>Description</b>
Assigned Dept	This field identifies the department that is assigned to work on the quote or order related to this line item.
Assigned To	The name of the person assigned to work on this quote or order related to this line item. This person is a member of the assigned support group.
Requested For	The name of the user for whom the requester submits this request.
Bill To Dept	The department where the vendor should mail the invoice for the order. The departments available for selection are defined in <b>System Administration &gt; Base System Configuration &gt; Departments</b> .
Part No	The Part ID of the item listed in the catalog. This is a required field.
Part Desc	A short description for the part.
Manufacturer	The company that produces goods of the Line Item.
Model	The defined code name for Line Items that are requested or ordered. This field value is populated from the Model field of the Model record of the line item ( <b>Request Management &gt; Maintenance &gt; Supporting Files &gt; Model</b> ).
Total Cost	This is a system-generated field which provides a cost for the line item. The cost number is determined by the combination of Catalog Item, quantity and vendor.
Original Quantity	The number of Line Items requested or ordered.
Quantity Received	The number of Line Items for an order which is partially received.
From Stock	The number of Line Items for an order which hasn't been shipped.
Balance	Equal to the Original Quantity minus the Quantity Received, minus the quantity From Stock. Must be equal to zero for the Line Item to be able to be closed. The Quantity Received and From Stock may be manually set for request Line Items, if it is determined that such items will not need to generate Orders and order Line Items – this, however, will bypass the automated Order and Receiving process.
Dates/Description	<p>This section provides additional information about the Line Item. The fields and check boxes include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Target Completion - from Parent Quote, taking any Line Item dependencies into account.</li> <li>• Target Order - calculated automatically by summing the Target Completion and the Lead Time.</li> </ul>

**Line Item field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lead Time - set by combination of Item and vendor.</li> <li>• Work Schedule -The name of the Calendar Duty Table to calculate the lead time.</li> <li>• against in order to arrive at a date (defaults to 24x7).</li> <li>• Time Zone - Vendor's time zone (used in time calculations).</li> <li>• Generate Order - indicates whether an order is generated from the quote.</li> <li>• Avail to Order? - line items ready for ordering are marked in the Avail to Order field as true.</li> <li>• Description - additional description for the dates information if needed.</li> </ul>
Requested For Information > Human Resources	This subsection records the personal information and contact information of the user for whom the Request is submitted.
Requested For Information > Computer	This subsection provides the computer information of the user for whom the Request is submitted, such as Primary CI, Type, and Serial Number.

## Quote form

When the Requester submits a Service Request through Service Catalog, a new quote is automatically created waiting for the Service Request Approver to approve. You can also manually open a new quote.



Quote details form is illustrated in the following screenshot:

Quote Details

Quote ID	Q1001	Status	initial
Current Phase	Ordering	Approval Status	approved
Brief Desc	Missing a chair and speakers for new office.		
Requested For	ATLANTA, MANDY	Company	advantage
Requested Date	02/01/01 10:00:00	Bill To Location	North America
Requested By	STUDT, FERGIE	Bill To Department	advantage/North America - HR & Adn
Assigned Dept		Project ID	
Assigned To		Ship To	North America
Coordinator		Reason	
Work Manager	Chan.Approver	Priority	
Total Cost	\$158.99		
Description			

## Quote form details

The following table identifies and describes some of the features on the Quote details form.

### Quote field descriptions

Label	Description
Quote ID	The system-generated unique ID for this quote.
Current Phase	<p>This is a system-generated field that specifies the name of the current phase of the quote.</p> <p>The phases for a quote are determined by the Quote Category you selected when opening the quote.</p> <p>There are three out-of-box quote categories:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Customer Procurement Requests</li><li>Human Resources</li><li>Employee Office Move Process</li></ul>

**Quote field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
	<p>For example, there are three sequential phases for the Customer Procurement Requests category:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Manager Approval</li> <li>• Ordering</li> <li>• Customer follow-up</li> </ul> <p>When the approvals for the current phase are completed, the quote moves to the next phase, for example, from Manager Approval to Ordering. Quote phases are defined in <b>Request Management &gt; Quotes &gt; Quote Phases</b>. Approvals for each phase are defined in the Approvals tab of each phase record.</p>
Status	<p>The field indicates the quote status. These statuses are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Initial - the quote request is open.</li> <li>• Reopened - the quote was previously closed and then reopened.</li> <li>• Closed - the quote request has been closed.</li> </ul>
Approval Status	<p>This is a system-generated field that defines the global approval status for a quote, not for a single approval. The system sets this field depending on the status of the approvals defined for the current phase for the module.</p> <p>These approval statuses are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pending</li> <li>• Approved</li> <li>• Denied</li> </ul>
Brief Desc	A brief description of the quote.
Requested For	The name of the user for whom the requester submits this request.
Requested Date	<p>The system pre-populates this field. This field is used along with catalog item lead times to determine when orders should be generated for the quote's various line items. If not populated, this field gets calculated based on the minimum amount of time required to fulfill the request. If the requester sets a date not long enough to fulfill the request, the system recalculates it as well.</p>
Requested	The name of the person who submitted the Service Request.

**Quote field descriptions, continued**

<b>Label</b>	<b>Description</b>
By	
Assigned Dept	This field identifies the department that is assigned to work on this quote.
Assigned To	The name of the person assigned to work on this quote. This person is a member of the assigned support group.
Coordinator	The name of the person responsible for coordinating the implementation of the quote. Each Coordinator may belong to several assignment groups. Each group can have just one Quote Coordinator.
Work Manager	The name of the manager in charge of quote assignment. In many cases the role can be the same as the coordinator.
Total Cost	This is a system-generated field which provides a cost for this quote. The cost number is determined by the combination of Catalog Item, quantity and vendor.
Company	This identifies the company of the user whose name is displayed in the Requested For field. The company name is system generated if the operator shown in the Request For field has a company defined in the contact record.
Bill To Location	The location where the vendor should mail the invoice for the items shipped. Available locations are defined in <b>System Administration &gt; Base System Configuration &gt; Locations</b> .
Bill To Department	The department where the vendor should send the invoice for the items shipped. The departments available for selection are defined in <b>System Administration &gt; Base System Configuration &gt; Departments</b> .
Project ID	The identification number given to the project.
Ship To	The destination location the requested items should be shipped to.
Reason	<p>Select the reason for requesting the quote:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conversion</li> <li>• Legal</li> <li>• Customer Request</li> <li>• Maintenance</li> <li>• New</li> </ul>

**Quote field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Problem Resolution</li> </ul>
Priority	<p>This field describes the order in which to address this quote in comparison to others. It contains a priority value calculated by <math>(\text{impact} + \text{urgency})/2</math>. Decimals are truncated.</p> <p>The stored value based on that calculation can be 1-4, as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1 - Low</li> <li>• 2 - Medium</li> <li>• 3 - High</li> <li>• 4 - Emergency</li> </ul>
Description	Provides a more detailed description of the quote.
Bundles	This section lists information about the bundled “packages” name, quantity and the cost.
Line Items	This section lists all Line Items related to this quote. You can click each Line Item to view the Quote Line Item Summary.
Comments	Comments and justifications history is recorded here.
Approvals section > Current Approvals	<p>This section provides an overview of the current approvals related to the quote as well as important information such as approval status, approvers. This includes a list of groups or operators who must acknowledge or accept the risk, cost, and so on associated with the fulfillment of a quote. Approvals give controlling authorities the ability to stop work and to control when certain work activities can proceed. The data displayed includes the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval Type</li> <li>• Approval Status</li> <li>• # Approved</li> <li>• # Denied</li> <li>• # Pending</li> </ul>
Approvals section > Approval Log	<p>This subsection provides an overview of past approvals related to the quote for as well as important information such as approval status and approvers. The data displayed includes the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Action</li> </ul>

**Quote field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approver/Operator</li> <li>• By</li> <li>• Date/Time</li> <li>• Phase</li> </ul>
Requestor Information > Human Resources	This subsection records the Requester's personal information and contact information for approvers' reference.
Requestor Information > Computer	This subsection provides the Requester's computer information, such as Primary CI, Type, and Serial Number.
Status	<p>This field indicates the order status. These statuses are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Initial - the order is open.</li> <li>• Reopened - the order was previously closed and then reopened.</li> <li>• Closed - the order has been closed.</li> </ul>
Approval Status	<p>This is a system-generated field that defines the global approval status for the order, not for a single approval. The system sets this field depending on the status of the approvals defined for the current phase for the module.</p> <p>These approval statuses are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pending</li> <li>• Approved</li> <li>• Denied</li> </ul>
Vendor	The name of the vendor who will provide the line items of the order.

## Order form

Orders can be generated manually or automatically from one or more quotes.

Order form is illustrated in the following screenshot:

▼ Order Details

Order ID

✖ 02001

Status

initial

Current Phase



purchase

Approval Status

approved

Vendor



Hewlett-Packard



Carrier

FOB

Coordinator





On Alert?

☐

Description

Auto Order Create: Hewlett-Packard




Line Items

[+ Add](#)

Total Line Items: 1

Total Cost: \$255.00

Number	Status	Description	Qty	Total Cost	
<a href="#">02001-001</a>	ordered	toner for hp 4si printer	1	255	

# Order form details

The following table identifies and describes some of the features on the Order details form.

Order field descriptions

Label	Description
Order ID	Service Manager populates this field with a unique ID when an order is newly opened or generated from one or more quotes.
Current Phase	<div>The phases for an order are determined by the Order Category you selected when opening the order. There are five out-of-box order categories:</div> <div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Lease Category for All Vendors</li><li>Purchasing Category for All Vendors</li><li>Rental Category for All Vendors</li><li>Return Category for All Vendors</li><li>Work Category for All Vendors</li></ul></div> <div>For example, there is only one phase named “lease” for the Lease Category for All Vendors category.</div>

**Order field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
	<p>When the approvals for the current phase are completed, the order moves to the next phase. Order phases are defined in Request Management &gt; Orders &gt; Order Phases.</p> <p>Approvals for each phase are defined in the Approvals tab of each phase record. To define approvals, go to <b>Request Management &gt; Supporting Files &gt; Approval Definitions</b>.</p>
Status	<p>This field indicates the order status. These statuses are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Initial - the order is open.</li> <li>• Reopened - the order was previously closed and then reopened.</li> <li>• Closed - the order has been closed.</li> </ul>
Approval Status	<p>This is a system-generated field that defines the global approval status for the order, not for a single approval. The system sets this field depending on the status of the approvals defined for the current phase for the module.</p> <p>These approval statuses are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pending</li> <li>• Approved</li> <li>• Denied</li> </ul>
Vendor	The name of the vendor who will provide the line items of the order.
Carrier	Specify the name of the carrier who is responsible for the order delivery.
Coordinator	The name of the person responsible for coordinating the implementation of the order. Each Coordinator may belong to several assignment groups. Each group can have just one Order Coordinator.
FOB	This field specifies which party (buyer or seller) pays for shipment and loading costs, and where responsibility for the goods is transferred. It is important to determine liability for goods lost or damaged in transit from the seller to the buyer.
On Alert	This check box indicates whether alerts are enabled for the order. Orders progress in phases according to a predefined schedule. Alerts monitor the progress of these phases and take action when circumstances warrant an automated response such as when the progress is delayed.
Description	Provides a more detailed description of the order.

# Chapter 11: Problem Management Overview

The HPService Manager Problem Management application, referred to as Problem Management throughout this chapter, supports the entire Problem Management process. Problem Management provides comprehensive Problem Management that allows you to find, fix, and prevent problems in the IT infrastructure, processes, and services.

Problem Management prevents problems and their resulting incidents, eliminates recurring incidents, and minimizes the impact of those incidents that cannot be prevented. It maximizes system availability, improves service levels, reduces costs, and improves customer convenience and satisfaction.

This section describes how Problem Management implements the best practice guidelines for the Problem Management processes.

Topics in this section include:

- ["Problem Management within the ITIL framework" below](#)
- ["Problem Management application" on the next page](#)
- ["Problem Management process overview" on page 206](#)
- ["Input and output for Problem Management" on page 212](#)
- ["Key performance indicators for Problem Management" on page 213](#)
- ["RACI matrix for Problem Management" on page 215](#)

## Problem Management within the ITIL framework

Problem Management is addressed in ITIL's *Service Operation* publication. The document describes Problem Management as the process responsible for managing the lifecycle of all problems.

The main benefits of Problem Management are improved service quality and reliability. As incidents are resolved, information about their resolution is captured. This information is used to identify and quickly resolve future similar incidents, and then to identify and fix the root cause of those incidents.

Problem Management functions both reactively and proactively.



- Reactive Problem Management resolves situations related to incidents. Reactive Problem Management is generally executed as part of Service Operation, and is based on incident history.
- Proactive Problem Management identifies and solves issues and known errors, before incidents occur. It is generally driven as part of Continual Service Improvement.

By actively preventing incidents, instead of just reacting to them, an organization provides better service and higher efficiency.

## Differences between Problem Management and Incident Management

Incident Management and Problem Management are separate processes, but they are closely related. Incident Management deals with the restoration of service to users, whereas Problem Management manages the lifecycle of all problems and is concerned with identifying and removing the underlying causes of incidents.

## Problem Management application

The Problem Management application helps you to minimize the effects of incidents caused by errors in the IT infrastructure. Problem Management helps you to prevent these errors from recurring. With Problem Management, the appropriate people can identify known errors, implement workarounds, and provide permanent solutions. It enables you to identify errors in IT infrastructure, record them, track the history, find resolutions for them, and prevent their recurrence.

The Problem Management application helps your personnel to record resolutions and make them easily available to affected user groups, to react more quickly to issues related to incidents, and to proactively resolve issues before incidents occur. Over the long term, using Problem Management leads to a reduced volume of incidents as well as saved time and money.

## Problem Management categories

Problem Management comes with a single out-of-box category for problems and known error records, BPPM. The BPPM category ensures that the problem workflow automatically conforms to the ITIL workflow.

If your business needs require changes to the out-of-box Problem Management workflow, you can define new categories with unique phases, or you can make changes to the default category. Each new category you define gives you the opportunity to design a different workflow for a problem.

If you define new categories, be sure to set a default category. Problem Management requires a category value when it searches for problems or known error records. Choosing a default category ensures that an administrator will not have to manually add a category value to each legacy record.

## Problem and known error tasks

Problem and known error tasks have a single out-of-box task category named Default. You can change it or add other task categories. You can define unique task categories for the tasks that you assign from a problem. When you create a known error of problem task, the category field displays “Problem” not Default.

## Problem Management alerts

The Problem Management application creates automatic alerts and notifications. For example, it creates notifications when a problem, task, or known error opens, the owner changes, or the status changes. It also escalates problems automatically when not addressed on pre-agreed schedules. The expected resolution date is based on several elements and discussed with the stakeholders.

## Problem Management process overview


The Problem Management process includes the activities required to identify and classify problems, to diagnose the root cause of incidents, and to determine the resolution to related problems. It is responsible for ensuring that the resolution is implemented through the appropriate control processes, such as Change Management.

Problem Management includes the activities required to prevent the recurrence or replication of incidents or known errors. It enables you to form recommendations for improvement, maintain problems, and review the status of corrective actions.

Proactive Problem Management encompasses problem prevention, ranging from the prevention of individual incidents (for example, repeated difficulties with a particular system feature) to the formation of higher level strategic decisions. The latter may require major expenditures to implement, such as investment in a better network. At this level, proactive Problem Management merges into Availability Management. Problem prevention also includes information given to customers for future

use. This information reduces future information requests and helps to prevent incidents caused by lack of user knowledge or training.


A general overview of the Problem Management processes and workflows is depicted in the figure below. They are described in detail in ["Problem Management Workflows" on page 216](#).



## Problem Management phases

Problem Management phases are the activities in the life cycle of a problem. The phases represent the workflow steps within the process. ITIL includes all known error activities in one phase of Problem Management, the Problem Resolution phase. The Problem Management application brings more attention to Error Control as a process, and stores problems and known errors separately because of how they are commonly used.

- *Problem Control* identifies the problem. This workflow from the Problem Management shows how a problem moves through Problem Management. Each box represents a phase of the process. Problem Control phases is illustrated in the following figure:



- *Error Control*, which falls entirely under the Problem Resolution phase, identifies a solution that is then delivered by the Change Management application. This workflow from the Problem Management application shows how a known error moves through Problem Management. Each box represents a phase of the process. Error Control phases is illustrated in the following figure:



The Problem Management phases listed below are described in detail in the ["Problem Management Workflows"](#).

- ["Problem Detection, Logging, and Categorization \(process SO 4.1\)"](#) on page 217, includes the activities involved in finding and then describing the problem.
- ["Problem Prioritization and Planning \(process SO 4.2\)"](#) on page 223, includes the activities necessary to prioritize the problems, and to plan the investigation and resolution activities.

- ["Problem Investigation and Diagnosis \(process SO 4.3\)" on page 226](#), includes the activities that identify the root cause of problems. **You can create problem tasks in this phase.** Each task belongs to a phase. All the tasks associated with a phase must be completed before the problem can move to the next phase. A problem task is assigned to a person who is responsible for completing it.
- *Problem Resolution* includes all Error Control activities, from recording the known error to resolving it. Generally you can expect a one-to-one relationship between problems and known errors, but there can be exceptions. Service Manager allows more than one known error to be associated with a problem, and also allows multiple problems to be associated with a particular known error.
- ["Known Error Logging and Categorization \(process SO 4.4\)" on page 231](#), includes the activities necessary for creating and categorizing known error record.
- ["Known Error Investigation \(process SO 4.5\)" on page 234](#), includes the activities necessary for finding a temporary fix or permanent solution for the known error. You can create known error tasks in this phase. All of the tasks associated with a phase must be completed before moving to the next phase.
- ["Known Error Solution Acceptance \(process SO 4.6\)" on page 238](#), includes the activities necessary for reviewing and approving the solution for implementation. You cannot close a known error if there is a related Change open. You can create a Change Request during this phase.
- ["Known Error Resolution \(process SO 4.7\)" on page 241](#), includes the activities by which stakeholders can ensure that a fix for a known error is implemented.

**Note:** You can only create a change request during the known error processes, not during the earlier Problem Management processes. It is only at that point that you have enough information to describe the change that must be made in order to resolve the problem.

- ["Problem Closure and Review \(process SO 4.8\)" on page 245](#), includes the activities involved in determining whether the problem and all related known errors have been resolved, seeking improvements to the process, and preventing recurrence of incidents or mistakes.

## Problem Management user roles

The following table describes the responsibilities of the Problem Management user roles.

### Problem Management user roles

Role	Responsibilities
Problem Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Prioritize and plan problems registered by the Problem Coordinators.</li></ul>

**Problem Management user roles , continued**

Role	Responsibilities
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Communicate with stakeholders if required.</li> <li>• Inform the Change Manager if required.</li> <li>• Defer problems if needed.</li> <li>• Decide on investigation of known errors.</li> <li>• Register Request for Changes or Service Requests to solve known errors.</li> <li>• Conduct problem review and document lessons learned.</li> <li>• Close problem and inform stakeholders.</li> <li>• Monitor the Problem and Known Error Resolution progress and perform required action.</li> </ul>
Problem Coordinator	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Periodically perform analysis to see if new problems need to be registered.</li> <li>• Register problems.</li> <li>• Assign work to Problem Analysts and coordinate root cause analysis.</li> <li>• Register known errors.</li> <li>• Inform Problem Manager.</li> <li>• Assign known error to Problem Analyst.</li> <li>• Validate proposed solutions to known errors.</li> <li>• Validate outcome of closed changes and close known error.</li> <li>• Validate that a problem is solved.</li> </ul>
Problem Analyst	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Investigate and diagnose assigned problems for workarounds and/or root causes.</li> <li>• Review and accept or reject assigned known errors.</li> <li>• Investigate and diagnose assigned known errors and propose solutions and workarounds.</li> <li>• Implement corrective actions and close known error.</li> </ul>

# Input and output for Problem Management

Problems can be triggered and resolved in several ways. The following table outlines the inputs and outputs for the Problem Management process.

## Input and output for Problem Management

Input to Problem Management	Output from Problem Management
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Incidents for which the cause is not known and/or incidents that are likely to recur (from incident Management)</li> <li>Incidents that reveal that an underlying problem exists (for example, an application error or bug)</li> <li>Notification from a supplier or product manager that a problem exists (for example, from a development team or supplier known error database)</li> <li>Potential security breaches of products deployed in the IT environment (for example, from suppliers or security analysts).</li> <li>Analysis of incident trends and history (that is, proactive Problem Management)</li> <li>Incident Management               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Incidents classified as problem candidates</li> <li>Trend analysis and review of closed incidents (for which a workaround has been used to resolve the incident)</li> <li>Incident reports (trends, summary)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Event management               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Trend analysis and review of events (for example, performance events)</li> <li>Error logs</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Problems</li> <li>Known errors</li> <li>Workarounds</li> <li>Problem reports (for example, status updates, trends, and performance)</li> </ul> <div> <p><b>Note:</b> Information on workarounds, permanent fixes, or progress of problems should be communicated to those affected or required in order to support the affected services.</p> </div>



**Input and output for Problem Management, continued**

Input to Problem Management	Output from Problem Management
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Configuration management <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Configuration details and relationships (service model)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Change management <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ RFC and change request status, approval and closure.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Security management <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Notification of potential security breaches that require resolution.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Suppliers (external providers)</li> <li>• Notification of problems from suppliers/vendors.</li> </ul>	

## Key performance indicators for Problem Management

The Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) in the following table are useful for evaluating your Problem Management processes. In addition to the data provided by Service Manager, you may need additional tools to report all of your KPI requirements. To visualize trend information, it is useful to graph KPI data.

**Key Performance Indicators for Problem Management**

Title	Description
Average time to diagnose	Average time to diagnose problems and pinpoint the root cause and the known error(s), in a given time period.
Average time to fix	Average time to fix known error(s).
Number of new problems	Total number of problems recorded, in a given time period.
Number of solved problems	Total number of problems solved, in a given time period.
Incidents caused by problems	The number of incidents occurring before the problem is resolved, in a given time period.

For completeness, the ITIL V3 and COBIT 4.1 KPIs are included below.

## ITIL V3 Key Performance Indicators

The following are ITIL V3 KPIs for Problem Management:

- Total number of problems recorded in the period (as a control measure)
- Percentage of problems resolved within SLA targets; percentage not resolved within SLA targets
- Number and percentage of problems that exceed target resolution times
- Backlog of existing problems and the trend (that is, static, reducing, or increasing)
- Average cost of handling a problem
- Number of major problems, including opened, closed, backlog
- Percentage of major problem reviews successfully performed s
- Number of known errors added to the known error Database (KEDB)
- Percentage accuracy of the KEDB (from audits of the database)
- Percentage of major problem reviews completed successfully and on time

## COBIT 4.1 Key Performance Indicators

The following are the COBIT 4.1 KPIs for Problem Management:

- Number of recurring problems with an impact on the business
- Number of business disruptions caused by operational problems
- Percent of problems recorded and tracked
- Percent of problems that recur (within a time period), by severity
- Percent of problems resolved within the required time period
- Number of open/new/closed problems, by severity
- Average and standard deviation of time lag between problem identification and resolution

- Average and standard deviation of time lag between problem resolution and closure
- Average duration between the logging of a problem and the identification of the root cause
- Percent of problems for which a root cause analysis was completed
- Frequency of reports or updates to an ongoing problem, based on the problem severity

## RACI matrix for Problem Management

A Responsible, Accountable, Consulted, and Informed (RACI) diagram or RACI matrix is used to describe the roles and responsibilities of various teams or people in delivering a project or operating a process. It is especially useful in clarifying roles and responsibilities in cross-functional/departmental projects and processes. The RACI matrix for Problem Management is shown in the following table.

**RACI matrix for Problem Management**

Process ID	Activity	Problem Manager	Problem Coordinator	Problem Analyst	Change Coordinator
SO 4.1	Problem Detection, Logging, and Categorization	A/I	R		
SO 4.2	Problem Prioritization and Planning	A/R	C		
SO 4.3	Problem Investigation and Diagnosis	A	R	R	
SO 4.4	Known Error Logging and Categorization	A	R		
SO 4.5	Known Error Investigation	A	R		
SO 4.6	Known Error Solution Acceptance	A/R	C		
SO 4.7	Known Error Resolution	A	R	R	R
SO 4.8	Problem Closure and Review	A/R	C		
SO 4.9	Problem and Known Error Monitoring	A/R	C		

# Chapter 12: Problem Management Workflows

Problem Management includes the activities required to identify and classify problems, diagnose the root cause of incidents and to determine the resolution to related problems. It is responsible for ensuring that the resolution is implemented through the appropriate control processes, such as Change Management.

Problem Management includes the activities required to prevent the recurrence or replication of incidents or known errors. It enables you to form recommendations for improvement, maintain problems, and review the status of corrective actions.

The Problem Management process consists of the following processes, which are included in this chapter:

- ["Problem Detection, Logging, and Categorization \(process SO 4.1\)" on the next page](#)
- ["Problem Prioritization and Planning \(process SO 4.2\)" on page 223](#)
- ["Problem Investigation and Diagnosis \(process SO 4.3\)" on page 226](#)
- ["Problem Resolution \(known error processes\)" on page 230](#)
- ["Problem Closure and Review \(process SO 4.8\)" on page 245](#)
- ["Problem and Known Error Monitoring \(process SO 4.9\)" on page 249](#)

## Problem Detection, Logging, and Categorization (process SO 4.1)

The Problem Detection, Logging, and Categorization process starts when the Problem Coordinator determines that a problem needs to be opened to investigate an existing or potential problem. This process may be started in response to a single incident or a series of related incidents, and it may also be the result of proactive investigation of a potential problem.


It should include reference to information that assists analysis, such as:

- Asset and Configuration
- Change Management
- Published known error and workaround information from suppliers
- Historical information about similar problems
- Monitoring event logs and data collected by system management tools

The incident(s) that initiated the problem should be referenced, and relevant details copied from the incident(s) to the problem. The identified workaround or temporary fix as defined by the Incident Analyst is also captured, if available.

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Problem Detection, Logging, and Categorization workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Problem Detection, Logging, and Categorization process**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SO 4.1.1	Review closed Incidents	<p>Periodically, the Problem Coordinator must review the closed incidents to detect new problems or match incidents to existing problems that have not been resolved. Analysis of incident data may reveal that similar or reoccurring incidents are reported, which means that a permanent fix must be found. Select incidents since last review by using the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Major incidents (high impact)</li> <li>• Incidents resolved through a workaround or temporary fix not matched to a problem.</li> <li>• Suspected problems (as identified by stakeholders)</li> <li>• Candidates for problems</li> </ul> <p>All closed incidents not resolved through a permanent fix, temporary fix, or workaround need to be matched to existing problems, or a new problem must be created. Incident Management staff may already have linked incidents to existing problems (for example, if a workaround has been applied).</p>	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.1.2	Incident caused by outstanding Problem?	<p>Verify whether the incident is caused by an outstanding problem. If yes, go to SO 4.1.3. If no, go to SO 4.1.4. It is important to link incidents to existing problems to monitor the number of reoccurring incidents. This helps you to identify problems that are not resolved. The incident count is the number of times that this particular problem has resulted in an incident, and is updated in the problem. The incident count influences the prioritization by giving an indication of the frequency of occurrence and thus the impact this issue is having on the business.</p>	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.1.3	Relate incident to outstanding problem	<p>If the incident is caused by an outstanding problem, the incident must be linked to the problem. If needed, the problem is updated and the Problem Analyst is notified (for example, when a workaround has been applied).</p>	Problem Coordinator



**Problem Detection, Logging, and Categorization process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 4.1.4	Create new problem	If there is no previously established problem, a new problem is created (for example, based on the selected incident). Details from the incident are copied to the problem. A new problem can be created from a registered incident (reactively) or proactively by identifying problems and known errors before incidents occur.	Problem Coordinator
S0 4.1.5	Capture problem details	<p>After a problem is identified or detected, it must be accurately recorded. The Problem Manager fills out the problem details (some fields are copied from the related incident). A brief description and detailed description are added or updated to define the problem in more detail. The problem must be described in terms of symptoms and impact of the problem from a business perspective. Recording problem details consists of the following activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Determine affected service(s) and Configuration Items</li> <li>• Determine impact on the business</li> <li>• Provide an impact code and description</li> <li>• Determine model, version, or CI types that have this particular problem</li> <li>• Determine frequency of incident reoccurrence</li> <li>• Determine the specific conditions under which a service disruption may occur</li> </ul>	Problem Coordinator
S0 4.1.6	Determine problem categorization	Determine the correct category for the problem.	Problem Coordinator
S0 4.1.7	Workaround available?	Verify whether a workaround or fix is available based on incident history. If yes, go to S0 4.1.8. If not, go to S0 4.1.9.	Problem Coordinator

**Problem Detection, Logging, and Categorization process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
SO 4.1.8	Document workaround	Document the workaround captured from the related incident.	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.1.9	Submit problem	Review and complete the problem details, including a description. Save the problem and update the problem phase to Problem Prioritization, Assignment, and Scheduling. Service Manager then selects a default priority, based on the impact and urgency code. After that, update the phase to Problem Prioritization and Planning, and continue with activity Evaluate Problem Priority SO 4.2.1.	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.1.10	Match Incidents to the problem	Search for incidents that are caused by this problem. Link these incidents to the new problem.	Problem Coordinator,
SO 4.1.11	Move phase to prioritization and planning	Update the phase to Prioritization and Planning and save the record. Go to SO 4.2.1 to evaluate the Problem priority.	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.1.12	Conduct trend analysis	Review event and monitoring data (for example, performance and availability trends). Identify potential problems, such as capacity and performance issues. Analyze the data provided by availability, capacity, and security management to determine potential problems.	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.1.13	Review vendor published issues	Review information from suppliers periodically to identify problems and known errors (that is, the known errors discovered and published by providers). An example of such an item is a known security breach.	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.1.14	Related to outstanding problem?	After a potential problem has been detected through trend analysis or information provided by suppliers and development teams, determine if the issue has already been recorded as a problem or a known error. If yes, go to SO 4.1.15. If no, continue with SO 4.1.4.	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.1.15	Update outstanding	Update problem (and any related known errors) with information and details captured from suppliers and other sources. After the update, the stakeholders and responsible Problem Analyst may need to	Problem Coordinator

**Problem Detection, Logging, and Categorization process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
	problem		

## Problem Prioritization and Planning (process SO 4.2)

The Problem Prioritization and Planning process gives you the opportunity to establish the priority of the problems and to plan resolution activities, such as setting deadlines for root cause analysis, solution investigation, and resolution target dates.

Prioritize problems based on impact and urgency in the same way that you prioritize incidents but take severity into account as well.

- *Impact* is based on the scale of actual or potential damage to the customer's business.
- *Urgency* is based on the time between the problem or incident being detected and the time that the customer's business is impacted.
- *Severity* is based on how serious the problem is from an infrastructure perspective as well as the frequency and impact of related incidents. For example, how extensive is the problem (how many CIs are affected)?


Discuss the problem with the stakeholders during a problem meeting decide whether to assign resources (with associated costs) and target dates to investigate the problem. Base the targets for resolution on priority level. Consider the following factors when scheduling the resolution of problems:

- Priority
- Skills available
- Competing requirements for resources

- Effort or cost to provide the method of resolution
- Duration of time to provide a method of resolution

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Problem Prioritization and Planning workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Problem Prioritization and Planning process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
SO 4.2.1	Evaluate problem priority	The problem priority is evaluated based on the impact, urgency, severity, frequency and risk. For example, the frequency of reoccurring incidents may influence the urgency to resolve the problem; also a risk assessment may be required. Due to resource constraints, it is important to focus on those problems that have the highest impact on the business (for example, service availability, risks, and customer satisfaction).	Problem Manager
SO 4.2.2	Discuss problem with stakeholders	Discuss the problem with stakeholders during a problem meeting to agree on the priority of resolving the problem.	Problem Manager
SO 4.2.3	Problem correctly documented?	Based on the review with stakeholders, determine whether the problem is correctly documented and categorized. If yes, continue with activity SO 4.2.4. If no, go back to activity SO 4.1.5 to update the problem details.	Problem Manager
SO 4.2.4	Problem needs investigation?	After reviewing the problem with stakeholders, determine whether to continue with the problem investigation or defer the problem. If you want to continue with the problem investigation, go to SO 4.2.5. If not, go to SO 4.2.7.	Problem Manager
SO 4.2.5	Schedule and update (next phase)	Determine the target dates for the problem milestones. Target dates are determined based on the priority and impact on affected services. This planning also considers whether an effective workaround or fix is available. The problem is assigned to the responsible group. Update problem to next phase Problem Investigation and Diagnosis.	Problem Manager
SO 4.2.6	Inform stakeholders	Inform the stakeholders of the planning and resources assigned to investigate the problem. Update the Problem Coordinator about the problem.	Problem Manager
SO 4.2.7	Problem still relevant?	Determine whether to close the problem or to defer the problem for a specified period of time (for example, to review the problem at a later phase). It is possible that no effort is currently planned to investigate the problem (for example, if the likelihood of recurrence is low). If the problem is not	Problem Manager

**Problem Prioritization and Planning process, continued**


Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
		recognized as being an issue by stakeholders, close the problem and document the reason. Update the problem phase to Problem Closure and Review, and then continue with SO 4.8.8. If the problem is still relevant, continue with SO 4.2.8.	
SO 4.2.8	Defer the problem and document reason	Defer the problem for a specified period of time. Document the reason and update the status of the problem to deferred status. Periodically the Problem Manager reviews the deferred problems to determine appropriate actions.	Problem Manager

## Problem Investigation and Diagnosis (process SO 4.3)

The Problem Investigation and Diagnosis process helps identify the root cause of the problem. Where appropriate, Problem Management should develop and maintain workarounds to enable Incident Management to help service restoration. Different specialists can be involved for this root cause analysis. If necessary, refer to external resources to verify whether the problem has already been identified and published by vendors.

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Problem Investigation and Diagnosis workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Problem Investigation and Diagnosis process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 4.3.1	Coordinate root cause analysis and assign tasks	Determine the required skills and resources to investigate the problem. Create and assign problem tasks to Problem Analyst(s) responsible for root cause analysis. The due date for the assigned task is filled in by the Problem Coordinator. Additional resources can be used for this analysis (for example, contact suppliers and other specialists). Monitor the outstanding problem tasks.	Problem Coordinator
S0 4.3.2	Investigate and diagnose	The Problem Analyst reviews the problem task, and investigates and diagnoses the problem. Determine workaround and find the root cause.	Problem Analyst
S0 4.3.3	Root cause found?	If yes, continue with S0 4.3.4. If no, go to S0 4.3.5.	Problem Analyst
S0 4.3.4	Document root cause	Document the root cause in the problem task. The problem task can be closed and the Problem Coordinator informed about the progress. Continue with S0 4.3.10.	Problem Analyst
S0 4.3.5	Workaround identified?	If yes, continue with S0 4.3.6. If no, continue with S0 4.3.9.	Problem Analyst
S0 4.3.6	Test workaround	Test the identified workaround to validate the suitability for resolving related incidents.	Problem Analyst
S0 4.3.7	Workaround successful?	If yes, go to S0 4.3.8. If no, go to S0 4.3.9.	Problem Analyst
S0 4.3.8	Document workaround	Update the workaround (in the known error and problem) and inform stakeholders.	Problem Analyst
S0 4.3.9	Continue with analysis?	The Problem Analyst determines whether he or she has the capabilities to investigate and determine the root cause of the problem (that is, skill level and available time). If yes, continue with S0 4.3.2. If no, go to S0 4.3.10.	Problem Analyst



**Problem Investigation and Diagnosis process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
SO 4.3.10	Close problem task	The Problem Analyst closes the task and documents the results. If applicable, the Problem Analyst also documents the reasons a root cause is not found. If the Problem Analyst cannot find the root cause he or she closes the task. Continue with activity SO 4.3.11.	Problem Analyst
SO 4.3.11	Update problem record	The Problem Coordinator monitors the progress of tasks related to a Problem Record. All closed tasks are reviewed and the Workaround and Root cause details from the task are validated. The Problem Coordinator updates the relevant fields on the Problem record.	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.3.12	Root cause or workaround determined?	The Problem Coordinator validates the results of the problem task. If the root cause is determined, continue with SO 4.3.13. If not, go to SO 4.3.16 and determine whether additional resources are needed or if escalation is required.	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.3.13	Update any related open Incidents	Review any related open Incidents and advise the assigned Incident Analyst that a Root Cause and/or Workaround has been identified. (An update will be made to the Activity Log in the Incident record when the Problem record is saved with an updated Workaround).	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.3.14	Caused by outstanding known error?	Determine whether the root cause for this problem is related to an outstanding known error. If yes, continue with SO 4.3.15. If no, forward the problem to the Problem Resolution phase, and then create a new known error record (see procedure SO 4.4.1).	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.3.15	Relate problem to outstanding known error	The problem is moved to the Problem Resolution phase and linked to the existing known error record. The resolution of the problem is dependent on the resolution of this known error (already assigned to a Problem Coordinator).	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.3.17	Notify Problem	Escalate to the Problem Manager. Inform the Problem Manager that additional resources are needed to resolve the problem and modify the phase of the problem to the previous phase (Problem Prioritization	Problem Coordinator

**Problem Investigation and Diagnosis process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
	Manager	and Planning). Continue with SO 4.2.1.	
Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role

## Problem Resolution (known error processes)

After the Problem Management Investigation and Diagnosis phase has identified the root cause of an incident, the Problem Resolution phase starts. The Problem Resolution phase includes known error activities, from creating to finding a solution for a known error.

The known error processes are as follows:

- ["Known Error Logging and Categorization \(process SO 4.4\)" on the next page](#)
- ["Known Error Investigation \(process SO 4.5\)" on page 234](#)
- ["Known Error Solution Acceptance \(process SO 4.6\)" on page 238](#)
- ["Known Error Resolution \(process SO 4.7\)" on page 241](#)

The known error activities are discussed in detail in each of the known error processes.

## Known Error Logging and Categorization (process SO 4.4)

The known Error Logging and Categorization process includes the creation of known error records and the elaboration of the description of the underlying cause and possible workaround (if identified).


All known errors should be recorded against the currently and potentially affected services in addition to the configuration item (CI) suspected of being at fault. Information on known errors in services being introduced into the live environment should be recorded in the knowledgebase, together with any workarounds. A known error should not be closed until after it has been resolved successfully.

The customer or service provider may decide that the resolution is too expensive or not beneficial to the business. In this case, the problem or known error is deferred. The reasons for deferred resolution should be clearly documented. The known error record should remain open, since new incidents are likely to occur and may require workarounds or a reassessment of the decision to resolve.

If the problem is caused by more than one error (for example, both an application and an infrastructure error), multiple known errors can be created. The Problem Manager reviews the known error and determines the planning for the solution investigation and resolution. If an effective workaround is identified, the known error has a lower priority, and the resolution may be deferred for a specified period of time.

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Known Error Logging and Categorization workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Known Error Logging and Categorization process**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SO 4.4.1	Create new known error	After the problem has been successfully diagnosed, a new known error record is created by using details from the problem. Document the known error details, including the root cause and CIs that are at fault.	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.4.2	Determine categorization	Capture the categorization of the root cause, which is initially copied from the problem.	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.4.3	Review workaround	Review the Workaround. to determine whether or not it should be published.	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.4.4	Publish?	Decide whether or not to publish the workaround. If yes, continue with SO 4.4.5. Otherwise, continue with SO 4.4.6.	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.4.5	Publish workaround	Update the workaround documented in the known error and problem, and inform stakeholders.	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.4.6	Error caused by a change?	<p>Validate whether the error is introduced or caused by a recently implemented change or release (that is, errors resulting from a change or incorrectly applied change).</p> <p><b>Note:</b> Errors are often caused by incorrectly applied changes. If the error is introduced by a recently applied change, the change may need to be undone or reopened. If the error is caused by a change, continue with SO 4.4.7. If not, continue with SO 4.4.9.</p>	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.4.7	Relate known error to a change	Relate the root cause to the original change that caused the problem.	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.4.8	Review Change	Notify the Change Manager and determine corrective actions, such as re-mediating or re-implementing the Change. Depending on the result of the corrective action the solution investigation	

**Known Error Logging and Categorization process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
	Manager	continues.	
SO 4.4.9	Continue with solution investigation	Determine whether the known error must be investigated in more detail to find a solution or workaround. If the known error requires further investigation, continue with SO 4.5.1. If not, defer the problem according to action SO 4.4.10. An estimate of the resources and skills required for solution investigation and resolution are determined. This includes the number of required personnel days, duration, and additional costs. Verify whether the workaround available modifies the priority or planning for resolving the problem. If an effective workaround is found, the target dates to resolve the known error can be modified. If a workaround is not found, the priority of the known error can be raised. Update the planning and milestones for the solution investigation and resolution deadline. If required, the planning is discussed and reviewed with stakeholders. If the known error is not resolved, a decision must be made to continue with defining other solution candidates, or to defer the problem.	Problem Manager
SO 4.4.10	Defer problem and explain reasons	The problem and known error are deferred for a specified period of time by assigning a low priority. After the specified period of time, the problem is reviewed to determine next steps.	Problem Manager


## Known Error Investigation (process SO 4.5)

The Known Error Investigation process is aimed at defining a temporary fix or permanent solution for the known error. Different solution alternatives can be evaluated until a definitive solution can be proposed to the Problem Manager.

Different resources and skills can be assigned during this stage to ensure that a solution or workaround can be defined within the specified time frame.

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Known Error Investigation workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Known Error Investigation process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 4.5.1	Update Known Error record	The Problem Coordinator assigns one or more known error tasks to Problem Analysts to investigate and determine appropriate solutions or fixes for the known error.	Problem Coordinator
S0 4.5.2	Coordinate Known Error Investigations & Assign tasks	The Problem Coordinator assigns one or more Known Error tasks to Problem Analysts to investigate and determine appropriate solutions or fixes for the Known Error. Insert a target date for the task, review the information copied across from the Known Error record and update as appropriate.	Problem Coordinator
S0 4.5.3	Investigate and diagnose	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Determine solution for the error.</li> <li>• Determine possible workarounds or temporary fixes for the known error.</li> <li>• Depending on the priority and impact of the known error, focus on defining a temporary fix that can be proposed or implemented within a short time frame.</li> </ul> <p>Workarounds serve as a temporary alternative to provide restoration to the affected service, or as a temporary service improvement in cases where a permanent fix is not yet available or feasible. Determine solution candidates to resolve the known error. If the temporary fix must be implemented through a change, consider the fix as a solution candidate. The Problem Analyst determines whether he or she is able to resolve the error, or if additional resources are required (that is, skills and time).</p>	Problem Analyst
S0 4.5.4	Solution identified?	If a solution candidate is found, continue with S0 4.5.5. If not, continue with S0 4.5.6.	Problem Analyst
S0 4.5.5	Document proposed solution	Finalize the solution documentation in the known error task. Make sure to include necessary actions to implement the solution. Continue with S0 4.5.5.	Problem Analyst



**Known Error Investigation process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
SO 4.5.6	Update Problem Coordinator	Update the problem coordinator.	Problem Analyst
SO 4.5.7	Review and validate task results and update known error	<p>Review the proposed solution, as identified by the Problem Analyst. The solution is defined in the task. Update the known error with the updates from the task. Determine whether the proposed solution is acceptable (for example, by testing or discussing with other technical specialists). If multiple solutions are defined, select the best solution. Make sure that the validation process includes the following considerations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Costs and resources needed to implement the solution</li> <li>• Risks to implement the solution</li> </ul>	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.5.8	Known Error Investigation completed?	<p>Determine whether the investigation is completed and if a solution is identified and documented. If a suitable solution is identified (including cost and resource constraints), continue with SO 4.5.9. If not, continue with SO 4.5.10.</p> <p>If a solution is successfully determined and if no workaround has yet been found, the Problem Coordinator (together with the Problem Manager) must assess whether there is still a need to find a workaround. If a permanent resolution can be implemented quickly, there may be no need to continue working on defining workarounds. If planning and implementing a permanent fix will take time or is too expensive, then work to identify an effective workaround should continue.</p>	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.5.9	Finalize solution proposed	Document the solution, including an impact assessment, an estimation of the costs, and resources required, to implement the solution.	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.5.10	Escalate to Problem	If a solution has not been identified or if a solution has been identified but a workaround has not yet been found, the Problem Coordinator determines whether to continue with Investigation and Diagnosis	Problem Coordinator

**Known Error Investigation process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
	Manager	or to escalate to the Problem Manager. If yes, go to SO 4.4.9 for Problem Manager to determine whether to continue with Solution Investigation. If no, go to SO 4.5.2 to coordinate Known Error Investigation.	

## Known Error Solution Acceptance (process SO 4.6)

The Known Error Solution Acceptance process begins when a solution has been identified and documented. This process reviews and approves the solution for implementation, taking into consideration the cost and impact of the solution with stakeholders.

When the root cause has been identified and a decision to resolve it has been made, the resolution should be advanced via the Change Management process, with a service request, or assigned to a Problem Coordinator so that a Problem Analyst can directly apply the fix.


Depending on the fix, the resolution can be applied through the following methods:

- Change that follows the Change Management process by creating a request for change.
- Standard request, which can be ordered through the service request from the catalog. For example, this might include a hardware replacement or installation of software.
- Resolutions that are applied directly. For example, this might include operations procedures and daily maintenance activities.

Information on a workaround, permanent fixes, or progress of problems should be communicated to those affected or required in order to support affected services. In the case where a solution is not correct or not acceptable, the Problem Manager determines whether to continue to investigate the solution, or defer the known error and problem.

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Known Error Solution Acceptance workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Known Error Solution Acceptance process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
SO 4.6.1	Verify solution with stakeholders	Review and validate the proposed solution. Discuss the cost and impact of the solution with stakeholders during a Problem Management meeting.	Problem Manager
SO 4.6.2	Solution approved?	If the solution is approved, go to SO 4.6.6. If not, continue with SO 4.6.3.	Problem Manager
SO 4.6.3	Continue with solution investigation?	Determine whether to continue with the solution investigation phase or defer the problem if no effective fix can be provided (for example, due to financial and resource constraints). If you want to continue with the solution investigation phase, go to SO 4.6.4. If not, go to SO 4.6.4.	Problem Manager
SO 4.6.4	Defer known error and explain reasons	The known error and related problem will be deferred for a specified period of time. Update the status (deferred), priority, and schedule of the problem and known error. Determine a date by which the problem and known error must be reviewed for additional actions.	Problem Manager
SO 4.6.5	Update and notify problem coordinator	Update the record with the decision to continue with Solution Investigation and notify the Problem Coordinator. Use Prior Phase to return to “Known Error Investigation” phase.	Problem Manager
SO 4.6.6	RFC required?	Determine whether the solution must be implemented through a formal change procedure. If yes, go to SO 4.6.10. If not, continue with SO 4.6.7.	Problem Manager
SO 4.6.7	Service request required?	Determine whether the solution must be implemented through a standard request fulfillment procedure. If yes, go to SO 4.6.8. If not, continue with SO 4.6.9.	Problem Manager
SO 4.6.8	Determine and inform service requester	Identify the Service Requestor and advise that a Service Request is required to progress the solution.	Problem Manager
SO 4.6.9	Schedule fix and notify problem coordinator	Schedule the implementation of corrective actions to resolve the known error. Assign the known error to the appropriate Problem Coordinator, and then continue with SO 4.7.1.	Problem Manager

**Known Error Solution Acceptance process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SO 4.6.10	Prepare Request for Change (RFC) and update known error record	Prepare for the RFC by collecting details required for completion of the RFC. Follow the procedures, as defined by Change Management, to create the RFC.	Problem Manager

## Known Error Resolution (process SO 4.7)

Known Error Resolution is the process by which stakeholders can ensure that a fix for a known error is implemented. This occurs after a solution for the known error has already been determined by the Problem Analyst, validated by the Problem Coordinator, and approved by the Problem Manager. The determination has been made that the fix can be applied through a change request, service request, or directly by the Problem Analyst.


If a Known Error Resolution is going to be implemented using a change request or service request, the actual deployment is executed by that Service Manager application. Throughout the resolution process, Problem Management should obtain regular reports from Change Management on progress in resolving problems and errors.

A known error should only be closed when a corrective change has been successfully applied, or if the error is no longer applicable (for example, due to a service no longer in use). The steps in the Known Error Resolution process are performed by the following roles:

- Problem Coordinator
- Problem Analyst
- Change Coordinator

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Known Error Resolution workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Known Error Resolution process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 4.7.1	Coordinate corrective actions and assign tasks	Assign tasks to Problem Analysts to execute the resolution tasks to resolve the known error.	Problem Coordinator
S0 4.7.2	Implement corrective actions	The Problem Analyst implements the solution or fix to remove the known error and thus prevent any recurrence of incidents. After completion, the task is closed and the Problem Coordinator is informed.	Problem Analyst
S0 4.7.3	Review task(s) and update known error	Problem Coordinator monitors the progress of tasks and when completed reviews the task details and updates the Known Error record. Go to S0 4.7.4.to determine whether the Known Error has been resolved.	Problem Coordinator
S0 4.7.4	Known error resolved?	Ensure that the known error is resolved. If yes, continue with S0 4.7.5. If not, go S0 4.7.6.	Problem Coordinator
S0 4.7.5	Close known error	Update the known error record (document actions taken), and then close the known error.	Problem Coordinator
S0 4.7.6	Document results and proposed actions	This action is triggered if the applied fix did not resolve the error. Document test results and determine appropriate actions. Inform the Problem Manager to determine next steps.	Problem Coordinator
S0 4.7.7	Inform problem manager	The Problem Manager is informed that the Problem record is ready for review.	Problem Coordinator
S0 4.7.8	Change rejected?	If the change is rejected, go to S0 4.7.9. If not, go to S0 4.7.10.	Change Coordinator
S0 4.7.9	Inform problem manager	The Problem Manager is informed that the Problem record is ready for review.	Change Coordinator



**Known Error Resolution process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SO 4.7.10	Change successful?	If the change is successful go to SO 4.7.11. If not, continue with SO 4.7.9.	Change Coordinator
SO 4.7.11	Inform problem manager	The Problem Manager is informed that the Problem record is ready for review.	Change Coordinator
SO 4.7.12	Close known error and inform Problem Controller	After the Known Error is resolved, The Problem Manager closes the Known Error and informs the Problem Coordinator.	Problem Manager

## Problem Closure and Review (process SO 4.8)

After a known error has been resolved, any related problem(s) are automatically forwarded from the Problem Resolution phase to the Problem Closure and Review phase. In this phase, the problem(s) must be reviewed to determine whether all related errors have been resolved and to validate that the problem is resolved as well.

A process must be in place to close problems, either after confirmation of successful elimination of the known error or after agreement with the business on how to alternatively handle the problem.

A problem review should be scheduled whenever an investigation into unresolved, unusual, or high-impact problems justifies it. The purpose of the problem review is to seek improvements to the process and to prevent recurrence of incidents or mistakes.

Problem reviews typically include the following elements:

- Reviews of individual incident levels and problem status against service levels.
- Management reviews to highlight those problems that require immediate action.

- Management reviews to determine and analyze trends, and to provide input for other processes, such as user education and training.


Problem reviews should include identifying the following elements:

- Trends (for example, recurring problems, recurring incidents, and known errors).
- Recurring problems of a particular classification component or location.
- Deficiencies caused by lack of resources, training, or documentation.
- Non-conformances (for example, against standards, policies, and legislation).
- Known errors in planned releases.
- Staff resource commitment in resolving incidents and problems.
- Recurrence of resolved incidents or problems.

Improvements to the service or the Problem Management process should be recorded and fed into a service improvement plan. The information should be added to the Problem Management knowledgebase. All relevant documentation should be updated (for example, user guides and system documentation).

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Problem Closure and Review workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Problem Closure and Review process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
SO 4.8.1	All associated known errors closed?	Check whether all related known errors are closed or resolved. If all known errors are closed, update the Problem Management phase to Problem Closure and Review, and then continue with SO 4.8.2. If all known errors are not closed, the process ends.	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.8.2	Validate that problem(s) are resolved	Validate whether the problem is resolved and continue with SO 4.8.3. Depending on the nature of the problem, you may be required to keep the problem open for a specified period of time (for example, for an evaluation period). If no incidents reoccur, the problem can be closed.	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.8.3	Problem(s) resolved?	If the problem is resolved, continue with SO 4.8.4. If not, continue with SO 4.2.1. In some cases, it becomes apparent that another error prevents the complete resolution of the problem (for example, when the problem is caused by multiple errors). In this case a new known error may have to be investigated.	Problem Coordinator
SO 4.8.4	Problem review required?	Determine whether a formal problem review is appropriate. If yes, continue with SO 4.8.5. If not, continue to SO 4.8.8.	Problem Manager
SO 4.8.5	Conduct problem review activities	Initiate problem review activities and coordinate the formal review process. Include all parties involved in the Problem Resolution.	Problem Manager
SO 4.8.6	Document lessons learned	Document the problem review results and lessons learned.	Problem Manager
SO	Create	Create a formal problem review report and inform the stakeholders.	Problem

**Problem Closure and Review process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
4.8.7	problem review report		Manager
S0 4.8.8	Close problem(s)	Update the problem prior to closing the record. Ensure all information about the problem is complete and select a closure code.	Problem Manager
S0 4.8.9	Inform stakeholders of closure	Inform stakeholders that the problem is resolved.	Problem Manager

## Problem and Known Error Monitoring (process S0 4.9)


Problem Management monitors the continuing impact of problems and known errors on user services. In the Problem and Known Error Monitoring process, the Problem Manager periodically reviews the problem and known error records, and monitors the progress of activities in those records against the target dates agreed to with stakeholders.

HP Service Manager tracks individual problems and their associated known error activities. The Problem Manager evaluates the progress of those activities against the plans and associated budget. In the event that an impact becomes severe, the Problem Manager escalates the problem. In some cases, the Problem Manager refers the escalated problem to an appropriate board to increase the priority of the request for change or to implement an urgent change, as needed.

The Problem Manager monitors the progress of each Problem Resolution against service level agreements, and periodically informs the stakeholders of that progress.

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Problem and Known Error Monitoring workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Problem and Known Error Monitoring process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
SO 4.9.1	Monitor problems?	The Problem Manager periodically compiles a list/ report of Problem Records for review which includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Active Problem Records to evaluate progress against the planned schedule and associated budget.</li> <li>• Deferred Problem Records to evaluate whether they should remain in deferred status.</li> </ul>	Problem Manager
SO 4.9.2	Action required?	Review each record to determine whether any action is required. If yes, go to SO 4.9.3 to check whether the Problem is related to a Known Error. If no, the Problem and Monitoring Process Ends	Problem Manager
SO 4.9.3	Related to known error?	Review the Problem Record to determine whether the Problem is related to a Known Error Record. If yes, go to SO 4.9.11 to Determine appropriate actions. If no, go to SO 4.9.4 to Determine appropriate actions.	Problem Manager
SO 4.9.4	Determine appropriate actions	The Problem Manager investigates the cause of delay and determines corrective actions (for example, assign additional resources or modify planning).	Problem Manager
SO 4.9.5	Review problem with stakeholders if appropriate	Adjustments to the planning and actions are discussed with stakeholders. The progress is discussed with stakeholders to determine priorities and alternative plans.	Problem Manager
SO 4.9.6	Problem still relevant?	Determine whether the problem is still relevant. If yes, go to SO 4.9.7 to determine whether to continue with the investigation. If no go to SO 4.8.8 to Close the Problem Record.	Problem Manager
SO 4.9.7	Continue with investigation?	Review the Problem and determine whether to continue with Problem Investigation. If yes, go to SO 4.9.10 to update the schedule and assign resources. If no, go to SO 4.9.8 to determine whether to defer the Problem record.	Problem Manager
SO 4.9.8	Defer Problem?	Review the Problem and determine whether further Investigation / Diagnosis should be deferred for a specific period. If yes, go to SO 4.9.9 to Defer the Problem and explain the reasons. If no, go to SO 4.8.8 to	Problem Manager



**Problem and Known Error Monitoring process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
		Close the Problem Record.	
S0 4.9.9	Defer problem and explain reasons	The problem and known error will be deferred for a specified period of time (low priority). After the specified period of time, the problem is reviewed to determine next actions. End of process.	Problem Manager
S0 4.9.10	Update schedule and assign resources	Update the planning and resources assigned to the problem, and then continue with the next problem.	Problem Manager
S0 4.9.11	Determine appropriate actions	Determine appropriate actions. Actions may be identified to revise the planned schedule, review resourcing available, amend the priority or propose that a deferred Problem be re-investigated. The Problem Record is updated with the proposed actions. Go to S0 4.9.5 to discuss with Stakeholders if appropriate.	
S0 4.9.12	Monitor known errors	The Problem Manager periodically reviews the deferred errors to determine whether circumstances have changed that require continuing with the investigation and resolution. The Problem Manager creates a list (or report) of all deferred known errors.	Problem Manager
S0 4.9.13	Review with stakeholders if appropriate	Adjustments to the planning and actions are discussed with stakeholders. The progress is discussed with stakeholders to determine priorities and alternative plans.	Problem Manager
S0 4.9.14	Known error resolved?	Determine whether the known error is resolved (for example, due to an upgrade or change). If the error is resolved, continue with S0 4.9.14 to close the known error. If not, continue with S0 4.9.6 to determine next steps.	Problem Manager
S0 4.9.15	Known error still relevant?	If the known error is resolved or no longer relevant, it can be closed. Continue with S0 4.9.16 to close the problem. If not, go to S0 4.9.17 to determine next steps.	Problem Manager

**Problem and Known Error Monitoring process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
S0 4.9.16	Close known error	Continue with S0 4.8.1 to close the known error.	Problem Manager
S0 4.9.17	Continue with investigation?	Review the record and determine whether to Continue with Investigation. If yes, go to S0 4.9.10 to Update Schedule and assign resources. If no, go to S0 4.9.18 to determine whether to defer the Known Error.	Problem Manager
S0 4.9.18	Defer known error	The known error will be deferred for a specified period of time (low priority). After the specified period of time, the problem is reviewed to determine next actions. End of process.	Problem Manager
S0 4.9.19	Defer problem and explain reasons	The problem will be deferred for a specified period of time (low priority). After the specified period of time, the problem is reviewed to determine next actions. End of process.	Problem Manager

# Chapter 13: Problem Management Details

HPService Manager uses the Problem Management application to enable the Problem Management process. The main function of Problem Management is to identify and resolve problems and known errors.

In Problem Management, the Problem Manager plans and prioritizes problems. The Problem Coordinator manages root cause analysis and resolution, and the Problem Analyst diagnoses the root cause of the problem and proposes and implements solutions for them.

This section describes selected Problem Management fields in the out-of-box Service Manager system.

Topics in this section include:

- ["Problem form after escalation from incident" below](#)
- ["Problem Control form details" on the next page](#)
- ["Problem Management form after escalation to known error" on page 263](#)
- ["Error Control form details" on page 263](#)

## Problem form after escalation from incident

After the incident is escalated, the problem enters the Problem Detection, Logging and Categorization phase.

A new problem form is illustrated in the following screenshot:

▼ Problem Details

Problem ID \* PM10007

Phase \* Problem Detection, Logging and Categorization

Status \* Open

Assignment Group \* Application

Problem Coordinator Problem.Manager

Related Incident Count 4

Service \* Applications

Primary CI Microsoft Office 2007

Affected CI Count 0

SLA Target Date

Root Cause Target Date 08/19/08 18:00:00

Solution Target Date 08/26/08 18:00:00

Resolution Target Date 08/27/08 18:00:00

Category \* problem

Area \* failure

Subarea \* function or feature not working

Impact \* 4 - User

Urgency \* 2 - High

Priority 3 - Average

Title \* Office re-install

Description \* Office components (Word, Excel, Powerpoint, e.g.) are not starting-up.

Root Cause Description

## Problem Control form details

The following table identifies and describes some of the features on the Problem Control forms.

**Problem Management form details**

Label	Description
Problem ID	Specifies the unique ID of the associated problem. This is a system-generated field.
Phase	<p>This is a system-generated field.</p> <p>These phases are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Problem Detection, Logging, and Categorization</li> <li>• Problem Prioritization and Planning</li> <li>• Problem Investigation and Diagnosis</li> <li>• Problem Resolution</li> <li>• Problem Closure and Review</li> </ul>
Status	<p>Specifies the status of the problem. This field is not affected by the phase of the problem. The Problem Phase does not automatically change the status except when you first open a problem. All other status changes must be done manually. There are several reasons to change the status of a problem, for example, when you are waiting for a vendor's information.</p> <p>These status are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Open — The problem has been opened, but it is not currently being worked on.</li> <li>• Accepted — The Problem Coordinator has accepted this record as his or her responsibility.</li> <li>• Work in Progress — The problem is being addressed.</li> <li>• Pending Vendor — The Problem Coordinator contacted the vendor and the vendor has to provide info or send a part.</li> <li>• Pending User — Problem Coordinator contacted the user and needs more information from him the user.</li> <li>• Rejected — The Problem Coordinator has rejected responsibility for this record.</li> <li>• Deferred — Because of several possible constraints, must postpone fixing problem until later release. (This may happen in prioritization and planning, but it can also happen later in the process.)</li> </ul>

**Problem Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
	This is a required field.
Assignment Group	<p>The group assigned to work on the problem. For a description of this field see the Assignment Group field description in ("<a href="#">Incident Management form details</a>" on page 120) as this field functions similarly. The out-of-box data consists of default assignment groups for use as examples of types of assignment groups.</p> <div> <p><b>Tip:</b> You may want to change the sample assignment groups to meet your own needs.</p> </div> <p>These assignment groups are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Application</li> <li>• Email / Webmail</li> <li>• Field Support</li> <li>• Hardware</li> <li>• Intranet / Internet Support</li> <li>• Network</li> <li>• Office Supplies</li> <li>• Office Support</li> <li>• Operating System Support</li> <li>• SAP Support</li> <li>• Service Desk</li> <li>• Service Manager</li> </ul> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Problem Coordinator	<p>The name of the person assigned to coordinate the work on this problem. If the Assignment Group is filled in, the system will populate this field with the pre-defined Problem Coordinator for that group. This person can be changed to any other member of that group using the Fill function. The operator you select should be a member of the assignment group and should have the user role of Problem Coordinator to be assigned Problem Coordinator.</p>

**Problem Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
Service	<p>Specifies the Service affected by the problem. This field is populated with data from the related incident when a problem is created from an incident. For additional field description information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a>.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Primary CI	<p>Specifies the name of the failing Configuration Item (CI). The Primary CI identifies the CI that causes the service to go down or be unavailable. The affected CIs in the related incidents and interactions are all of the CIs affected by the service. It is the primary CI that must be fixed to restore the service. For example, if a mail service goes down because of a disk error on the server, the mail server that is the primary CI. Every CI connecting to the mail service (has Outlook installed) is an affected CI.</p>
Affected CI Count	<p>A system-generated count of the number of CIs affected by the outage. The count does not include the Primary CI. Affected CI count is based on the number of items entered in the Assessment section. It is calculated based on what is in the Assessment section in the Affected CIs table.</p>
Title	<p>A short description summarizing the problem. This field is prepopulated with data from an incident when a user opens a problem from an incident.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Description	<p>A detailed description of the problem. This field is prepopulated with data from the incident when a user creates a problem from an incident.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Root Cause Description	<p>A detailed description of what caused the problem.</p> <p>You can not move on from the Problem Investigation and Diagnosis phase until you have filled in this description. That phase is not complete until the cause of the problem is known.</p>
Category	<p>This field is prepopulated with the value "problem".</p> <p>The out-of-box data is the same as in Interaction Management. For additional information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a> and <a href="#">"Interaction categories" on page 66</a>.</p>
Area	<p>This field is prepopulated with data from an escalated incident.</p> <p>Service Manager displays different lists of areas, depending on the category you selected. For more information on categories, and the areas and subareas</p>

**Problem Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
	<p>associated with them, see <a href="#">"Interaction categories" on page 66</a>.</p> <p>The out-of-box data is the same as in Interaction Management. For additional information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a>.</p>
Subarea	<p>The third level of classification, mainly used for reporting purposes. This field is prepopulated with data from an escalated incident.</p> <p>Service Manager displays different lists of subareas, depending on the area selected. For more information on categories and the areas and subareas associated with them, see <a href="#">"Interaction categories" on page 66</a>.</p> <p>The out-of-box data is the same as in Interaction Management. For additional information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a>.</p>
Impact	<p>This field is prepopulated with data from an incident. It specifies the impact the problem has on the business. The impact and the urgency are used to calculate the priority.</p> <p>These impacts are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1 - Enterprise</li> <li>• 2 - Site/Dept</li> <li>• 3 - Multiple Users</li> <li>• 4 - User</li> </ul> <p>The out-of-box data is the same as Interaction Management and Incident Management.</p>
Urgency	<p>This field is prepopulated with data from the incident. The urgency indicates how pressing the problem is for the organization. The urgency and the impact are used to calculate the priority. For additional information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a>.</p>
Priority	<p>The order in which to address this problem in comparison to others. A priority value calculated using initial impact and urgency. This field only appears for problems being updated or escalated from incidents.</p>
SLA Target Date	<p>This is a system-generated field that displays the date and time of when the next SLO will occur. The SLA Target date is the date when the system generates the alerts because the SLA is breached. For additional information see <a href="#">"Incident Management form details" on page 120</a>.</p>



**Problem Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
Root Cause Target/Identified Date	<p>The field specifies the expected date to find the root cause of the problem. The field label (name) changes to Root Cause Identified Date during the Problem Investigation and Diagnosis phase. You should base the date on the target and identified dates on the SLA. Once the root cause is found, this field becomes the identified date. This field become required during the Prioritization and Planning phase to assist prioritization and planning in Problem Management processing.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Solution Target/Identified Date	<p>The field label (name) changes to Solution Identification Date during the Problem Investigation and Diagnosis phase. The Solution Target date is when you identify the solution. It also becomes required during this phase.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Problem Target/Resolution Date	<p>The Problem Resolution date should be approximately the same as the SLA Target date. The Problem Resolution date is the date when you plan to click the Close button for the record. It should be before the SLA Target date. It has the Problem Management past due alert attached to it. The field label (name) changes to Problem Resolution Date during the Problem Investigation and Diagnosis phase. This field is required during Prioritization and Planning phase.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Related Incident Count	<p>This is a system-generated field. The related incident count is the number of incidents related to problem, as recorded in the screlation table. To relate an incident to a problem, a user clicks <b>More or More Actions</b> icon and then <b>Related &gt; Problems &gt; Associated</b>. This is what populates this field with data.</p>
Closure Code	<p>Uses a pre-defined closure code to specify the way the problem has been solved. This field is enabled and required during Problem Closure and Review phase. The out-of-box data is the same as that for incidents and interactions. For more information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a>.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Workaround	<p>Describes a temporary solution or workaround. This field needs to be filled before a known error can be created.</p>
Assessment > Estimated # of Mandays	<p>Specifies a resource estimate to diagnose and resolve the problem. This data does not drive any action and is not required.</p>
Assessment > Estimated Costs	<p>Provides a resource (cost) estimate to diagnose and resolve the problem. This data does not drive any action and is not required.</p>

**Problem Management form details, continued**

Label	Description
Assessment > Affected CI's table	<p>The affected Configuration Items (CIs) are CIs that will have an issue when the primary CI goes down. These field need to be filled in manually and are for information only. This data does not drive any action and is not required.</p> <p>The data displayed includes the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Configuration Item</li> <li>• Device Type</li> <li>• Assignment Group</li> </ul>
Tasks > Task ID	<p>This section is only enabled for the Problem Investigation and Diagnosis phase. Tasks can only be opened once the planning is complete. Every task has to be finished before the problem moves to the next phase. Click <b>More</b> or the More Actions icon and then select <b>Create a task</b> to add a task in this section. There is a wizard to assist you. The Task ID is system-generated. The Assignee is a person who is part of the assignment group that is defined for the CI. For example, if tasks are assigned to the hardware assignment group, then a person within the group can be assigned to the task</p>
Save	<p>This action creates (or opens) the problem after all of the required fields are complete.</p>
Next Phase	<p>This action ends one phase and proceed to the next phase after all of the required fields are complete</p>
Prior Phase	<p>This action changes the problem from the current phase to the previous phase. You should use this action if something went wrong in your process. For example, when you are in the Problem Investigation and Diagnosis phase, and it turns out that you made mistake in the Problem Prioritization and Planning phase, you have to go back to that phase and begin planning again.</p>
Click <b>More</b> or the More Actions icon > Open Known Error	<p>This action is only available from Problem Investigation and Diagnosis phase or later. The best practice is to create known error at later phases than the Problem Investigation and Diagnosis phase.</p>
Click <b>More</b> or the More Actions icon > Create Task	<p>This action creates or opens a task for the problem. It is only available from the Problem Investigation and Diagnosis phase or later.</p>
Close	<p>This action closes the problem.</p>

# Problem Management form after escalation to known error

Once a workaround has been found, the problem is escalated to a known error.

A new known error form is illustrated in the following screenshot:

Known Error Details

Known Error ID

KE10007

Phase

Known Error Logging and Categorization

Status

Work In Progress

Assignment Group

Application

Problem Coordinator

Related Interaction Count

0

Service

Microsoft Outlook

Primary CI

adv-nam-lapt-107

Affected CI Count

Solution Identified Date

01/08/08 02:43:00

Resolution Date

01/08/08 02:43:00

Category

problem

Area

security

Subarea

security breach

Impact

4 - User

Urgency

1 - Critical

Priority

2 - High

Title

Mailbox runs full with spam

Description

80% of all incoming e-mail is junk mail

Root Cause Description

The current SPAM filter is not update which causes unwanted mails getting thru.

## Error Control form details

The following table identifies and describes some of the features on the known error forms.

Field descriptions for known error forms

Label	Description
Known Error ID	This is a system-generated field.

**Field descriptions for known error forms, continued**

Label	Description
Phase	<p>This is a system-generated field.</p> <p>These phases are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Known Error Logging and Categorization</li> <li>• Known Error Investigation</li> <li>• Known Error Solution Acceptance</li> <li>• Known Error Resolution</li> </ul>
Status	<p>This is a system-generated field.</p> <p>The out-of-box data is the same status data as that of an incident or interaction except that a known error cannot have a status of inactive. The known error process does not automatically change the status of the record. The status can be set independently of the phase and within one phase the status can be set to any of the statuses available because status and phase are independent of each other in the known error process.</p> <p>These statuses are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Open</li> <li>• Accepted</li> <li>• Work in Progress</li> <li>• Pending Vendor</li> <li>• Pending User</li> <li>• Rejected</li> <li>• Deferred</li> </ul> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Assignment Group	<p>The data in this field is inherited from the problem and the field works as described in the Assignment Group field for a problem.</p>
Problem Coordinator	<p>This field is inherited from the problem, and it specifies the person responsible for ensuring that this known error gets resolved. This field can be updated to change the person responsible for the known error.</p>
Service	<p>The data in this field is inherited from the problem and the field works as described in</p>

**Field descriptions for known error forms, continued**

Label	Description
	the Services field for a problem. See <a href="#">"Problem Management form details" on page 257</a> for additional information.
Primary CI	The data in this field is inherited from the problem and the field works as described in the Services field for a problem. See <a href="#">"Problem Management form details" on page 257</a> for additional information.
Affected CI Count	A system-generated count of the number of related CIs affected by the outage. See <a href="#">"Problem Management form details" on page 257</a> for additional information.
Title	A brief description of the known error that is inherited from the problem.  This is a required field.
Description	A detailed description of the known error that is inherited from the problem.  This is a required field.
Root Cause Description	The root cause description explains what caused the known error (problem) described in the description field. This field is inherited from the Root Cause Description in the problem and is a required field because you cannot continue with the problem process without knowing the root cause of the problem.  This is a required field.
Category	This is a system-generated field and for an out-of-box system the category is problem. The category defines the relevant process, and ensures that the correct process assumes control.
Area	This field is inherited from the problem. It provides the same out-of-box data as the interaction record and can be updated. For additional information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a> .  This is a required field.
Subarea	This field is inherited from the problem. It provides the same out-of-box data as the interaction record and can be updated. For additional information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a> .  This is a required field.
Impact	This field is inherited from the problem. It provides the same out-of-box data as the interaction record and can be updated. For additional information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a> .  This is a required field.

**Field descriptions for known error forms, continued**

Label	Description
Urgency	<p>This field is inherited from the problem. It provides the same out-of-box data as the interaction record and can be updated. For additional information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a>.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Priority	<p>This is a system-generated field. For additional information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a>.</p>
Solution Identified Date	<p>This field is inherited from the problem. Typically, the underlying cause has been identified when the known error is opened. The goal of this process is to identify the solution. This date indicates when the solution was found. For additional information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a>.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Resolution Date	<p>The user specifies the date and time when the known error is expected to be resolved. It does not affect any of the other fields.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Related Interaction Count	<p>This field shows how many interactions were closed directly using the workaround of this known error. Interactions can be closed during the escalation process, allowing association to an known error. The count therefore shows the success rate of the workaround.</p>
Closure Code	<p>Specifies a pre-defined closure for describing how the known error has been resolved. This field is enabled and required during the Known Error Resolution phase. The out-of-box data is the same as that for problem, incidents and interactions. For more information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details"</a>.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Workaround	<p>This field describes a workaround that enables users to get round the issue described in the problem.</p>
Solution	<p>This field should describe permanent solution for the known error. This field becomes required on completion of the Known Error Investigation phase.</p>
Assessment > Estimated # of Mandays	<p>Specifies a resource estimate to diagnose and resolve the known error. This data does not drive any action and is not required.</p>
Assessment >	<p>Provides a resource (cost) estimate to diagnose and resolve the problem. This data does not drive any action and is not required.</p>

**Field descriptions for known error forms, continued**

Label	Description
Estimated Costs	
Assessment > Affected CIs	<p>The Affected CIs are CIs that will have an issue when the primary CI goes down. This field is inherited from the problem. These field can be filled in manually and are for information only. This data does not drive any action and is not required.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Configuration Item</li> <li>• Device Type</li> <li>• Assignment Group</li> </ul>
Tasks	<p>This section is only available when the record is in the Known Error Investigation phase.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Task ID</li> <li>• Status</li> <li>• Assignee</li> <li>• Configuration Item</li> </ul>
Save	This action creates (or opens) the record after all of the required fields are complete.
Next Phase	This action ends one phase and proceed to the next phase after all of the required fields are complete
Prior Phase	This action changes the known error from the current phase to the previous phase. You should use this button if something went wrong in your process.
Click <b>More</b> or the More Actions icon > Create Task	This action is only available from the Known Error Investigation phase. Tasks can only be opened so that all investigation and planning is complete before solution is accepted. Every task has to be finished before the known error moves to the next phase.
Close	This action closes the known error record.

# Chapter 14: Change Management Overview

The HP Service Manager Change Management application, referred to as Change Management throughout this chapter, supports the Change Management process. It controls the process to request, manage, approve, and control changes that modify your organization infrastructure. This includes assets, such as network environments, facilities, telephony, and resources. Change Management enables you to control changes to baseline service assets and configuration items across the entire service life cycle.

This section describes how Change Management implements the best practice guidelines for the Change Management processes.

Topics in this section include:

- ["Change Management within the ITIL framework" below](#)
- ["Change Management application" on the next page](#)
- ["Change Management process overview" on page 270](#)
- ["Input and output for Change Management" on page 282](#)
- ["Key performance indicators for Change Management" on page 282](#)
- ["RACI matrix for Change Management" on page 285](#)

## Change Management within the ITIL framework

Change Management is addressed in ITIL's *Service Transition* publication. The document describes Change Management as the process responsible for ensuring that changes are recorded, evaluated, planned, tested, implemented, and reviewed in a controlled manner.

Change Management enables you to meet the following business objectives:

- Use standardized methods and procedures to ensure efficient and prompt handling of all changes.
- Record all changes to service assets and configuration items (CIs) in the Configuration Management System (CMS).
- Optimize overall business risk.



- Respond to customers' changing business requirements maximizes value and reduces incidents, disruptions, and rework.
- Respond to business and IT requests for changes aligns services with business needs.

The ITIL Change Management process model includes

- The steps to take to handle a change
- The order to take those steps in
- Who has responsibility for what part of the process
- Scheduling and planning
- When and how to escalate a change

## Change Management application

The Change Management application supports the Change Management process by which the life cycle of changes is controlled. The primary objective of Change Management is to enable beneficial changes to be made with minimal disruption to IT Services. Changes are recorded, and then evaluated, authorized, prioritized, planned, tested, implemented, documented, and reviewed in a controlled manner. Change Management objectives are achieved by rigorous adherence to the process steps.

The Change Management application incorporates the essential concepts of ITIL to ensure that the best practices of IT service management are applied to Change Management to manage and control IT changes within the organization.

## Differences between Change Management and Request Management

Change Management tracks changes to managed configuration items (CIs) in your infrastructure. Request Management only manages requests for products or services that do not change a managed attribute on a configuration item (CI). For example, a PC is typically a managed configuration item in most business infrastructures. However, the network password someone uses to log in to that PC is not typically a managed CI because it varies for each user.

- You use Change Management to track portions of the PC you want to standardize across your whole infrastructure such as the amount of hard drive space or the amount of RAM available.
- You use Request Management to manage products and services that affect the one person or group who uses the PC, such as a user's network password or desktop theme.

## Change Management process overview

The Change Management process includes the activities necessary to control changes to service assets and configuration items across the entire service life cycle. It provides standard methods and procedures to use when implementing all changes.

The purpose of Change Management is to ensure that:

- Changes follow a set process.
- Appropriate users are notified at key points in the process.
- Progress of a change is monitored and notifications are issued if deadlines are missed.
- Changes are supported the change throughout a simple or complex life cycle.

## Change categories and phases


Change Management uses categories to the classify the type of change requested. Out-of-box, each change type has its own category that defines the workflow and phases needed to satisfy the change request. They are described in detail in the following sections.

As a Service Manager administrator, you can use the default categories shipped with the product, or create new categories to match your business requirements.

- When you create a change request, you must select a category.
- Each category has predefined phases to ensure that the change occurs in an orderly progression. Phases are steps in the life cycle of the change or task. The phase determines which form is used with a record, along with behaviors such as approvals and edit.
- Each phase can optionally have one task, multiple tasks, or no tasks. A task is the work necessary to complete a single change phase.

- Each task also has its own category that is almost identical to the change category, but there are some differences. The task category can have multiple phases, but most often, just one.

A general overview of the Change Management processes and workflows is depicted in the figure below. They are described in detail in "[Change Management Workflows](#)".



## Change Management categories

Service Manager categories classify and define the type of change requested. Each category has its own workflow process. The steps of the workflow are represented by the phases and tasks within the phase. Service Manager requires that every change have a change category and phase, but tasks are optional.

Service Manager provides ten out-of-box categories you can use to classify the changes in your business. The following table describes the out-of-box Change Management categories. Eight of these ten categories are available to regular users; the categories Default and Unplanned Change are assigned when changes are opened from other Service Manager applications.

### Change Management categories available out-of-box

Category	Description
CI Group	Manages Configuration Item Group changes.
Default	Category assigned when the change is created by escalation of a record from the Interaction, Incident, or Problem Management applications. See the <a href="#">"Working with the default change category"</a> section that follows this table for more information.
Hardware	Manages hardware changes.
KM Document	Manages Knowledge Management documents.
Maintenance	Manages maintenance-related changes.
Network	Manages network-related changes.
Release Management	Manages the releases of hardware and software.
Software	Manages software-related changes.
Subscription	Manages changes to business service subscriptions.
Unplanned Change	Category associated with Service Manager integration with HP Universal CMDB (UCMDB). Indicates that an unscheduled change occurred. See the <a href="#">"Working with the unplanned change category"</a> that follows this table for more information.

## Working with the default change category

You should only use the Default change category when creating new changes that result from the escalation of other Service Manager activities, namely Interaction, Incident, or Problem Management. The default category is a temporary one, intended for Service Manager users such as Help Desk agents and Problem Managers who may not know or understand the Change process and its requirements.

The default change category intentionally does not use subcategories to further classify changes. This is done later, when a Change Manager reviews the change and reassigns it to the proper category. The Change Managers uses the information in the change and related records when categorizing the change. Never update a change that has been assigned to another category to use the default category.

## Working with the unplanned change category

The category Unplanned Change is designed to be used as part of Service Manager's integration with the UCMDB. If UCMDB detects a change to a CI, one possible action is to open a change that is then categorized as an Unplanned Change since the change occurred without having been scheduled.

As part of the process, the manager decides if the change to the CI should be approved or not. If it is approved, the CI information in Service Manager is updated to match the change detected by UCMDB. If the change is rejected, a technician needs to change the CI back to its original state to match the CI information in Service Manager.

For more information about UCMDB, see ["HP Universal Configuration Management Database" on page 360](#).

## Change Management phases


Service Manager uses phases to describe the sequential steps needed to complete a change request. The phase also determines the forms users see, the approvals required to advance to the next phase, and the conditions that cause the system to issue alerts. Phases can only be completed in sequence. Use change tasks to complete actions in parallel.

For example, the following screen shows that the CI Group category consists of the following phases in sequence:

1. Designing a CI Group
2. Implementing a CI Group

### 3. Accept a CI Group

Sample phases of the CI Group category are illustrated in the following figure:



## Phases used in the out-of-box categories

The following table lists the phases that the out-of-box categories use to manage a change.

### Change Management phases for out-of-box categories

Category	Phases and workflow
CI Group	1. Change Logging > 2. Implementing a CI Group > 3. Accept a CI Group
Default	1. Change Logging > 2. Change Review (at this point the change should be reclassified into the appropriate category) > 3. Change Evaluation and Closure
Hardware	1. Change Logging > 2. Change Review > 3. Change Assessment and Planning > 4. Prepare for Change Approval > 5. Change Approval > 6. Change Implementation > 7. Change Evaluation and Closure
KM Document	1. Determine how to proceed with a Knowledge Document > 2. Revise a KM Document > 3. View a working copy document and add feedback > 4. Determine whether to Publish, Retire, or Revert a KM Document.
Maintenance	1. Change Logging > 2. Change Review > 3. Change Assessment and Planning > 4. Prepare for Change Approval > 5. Change Approval > 6. Change Implementation > 7. Change Evaluation and Closure
Network	1. Change Logging > 2. Change Review > 3. Change Assessment and Planning > 4. Prepare for Change Approval > 5. Change Approval > 6. Change Implementation > 7. Change Evaluation and Closure
Release Management	1. Assess Release > 2. Release plan and design> 3. Release build and test > 4. Release training (optional, depending on size of change) > 5. Release distribution > 6. Release back out (if verification fails) > 7. Release verification
Software	1. Change Logging > 2. Change Review > 3. Change Assessment and Planning > 4. Prepare for Change Approval > 5. Change Approval > 6. Change Implementation > 7. Change Evaluation and Closure

**Change Management phases for out-of-box categories, continued**

Category	Phases and workflow
Subscription	1. Approve request for subscription or unsubscribing > 2. Implement request for subscription or unsubscribing > 3. Accept request for subscription or unsubscribing
Unplanned Change	1. Discovery Assessment > 2. Discovery Back Out > 3. Discovery Implementation > 4. Discovery Verification

## Phases for changes flagged as Emergency Changes

The Default, Hardware, Maintenance, Network, and Software categories allow an Emergency Change flag to be set. This flag adds Emergency Group Approval to the Change Approval phase. If a change is opened as an emergency, when the Change Logging phase is closed, it goes directly to the Prepare for Change Approval phase, skipping the Change Review and Change Assessment and Planning phases.

When a change is opened as an emergency, the **Activities > Historic Activities** shows the following description: “This change is logged as an Emergency Change.” If a change later becomes an emergency, the activity will say “This change has become an Emergency Change.” When the emergency flag is unchecked, the activity will say “This change has come back to the regular change process.” The Change Manager role is also notified for updates to emergency changes. For example, when the emergency change is opened, updated, or closed.

The following table lists the phases for changes that have been flagged as Emergency Changes.

**Change Management phases emergency changes**

Category	Phases and workflow
CI Group	1. Designing a CI Group > 2. Implementing a CI Group > 3. Accept a CI group
Default	1. Change Logging > 2. Change Review > 3. (At this point the category needs to be changed to one of the others listed in this table)
Hardware	1. Change Logging > 2. Prepare for Change Approval > 3. Change Approval > 4. Change Implementation > 5. Change Evaluation and Closure
Maintenance	1. Change Logging > 2. Prepare for Change Approval > 3. Change Approval > 4. Change Implementation > 5. Change Evaluation and Closure
Network	1. Change Logging > 2. Prepare for Change Approval > 3. Change Approval > 4. Change Implementation > 5. Change Evaluation and Closure
Software	1. Change Logging > 2. Prepare for Change Approval > 3. Change Approval > 4. Change Implementation > 5. Change Evaluation and Closure

**Note:** These phases are in the out-of-box system, but not implemented as part of best practices.

## Change Approvals

Each change phase may have one or more approvals. A change request cannot move to the next phase until all approvals associated with the current phase have been achieved. Adding an approval to a change phase allows a member of an approval group to review the business need behind the change request and approve or deny it. Only system administrators and change implementors can add approvals to a change phase. The following table lists the change phases that require approvals in the out-of-box system.

### Approvals for out-of-box phases

Change phase	Approvals required
Build and Test	Release Build and Test
CIGroupDesign	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CIGroupCAB</li> <li>• CIGroupAdmin</li> <li>• CIGroupTech</li> </ul>
CIGroupImplement	CIGroup
Change Approval	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval</li> <li>• Emergency Group Approval</li> <li>• Approval Depending on RC Risk Value</li> </ul>
Discovery Assessment	Assessment
Distribution and Rollout	Release Distribution and Rollout
Plan and Design	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Release Plan and Design</li> <li>• Approval Depending on RC Risk Value</li> </ul>
Subscription Approval	Subscription Approval
Verification	Release Verification
Change phase	Approvals required



## Approval definitions

Each approval requires an approval definition record. The approval definition record lists the operator or group who can approve or deny the change, the order in which the system requests approval, and the conditions under which the approver's review is required. For example, the picture below illustrates that the Assessment approval requires approval from three different operators. The COORDINATOR group must always approve the change, the Service Desk operator's approval is only necessary if the risk assessment has a value of 3, and the Service Manager operator's approval is only necessary if the risk assessment has a value of 1.

A sample Approval Definition record is illustrated in the following figure:

**Approval Definition**

Name:

Approval Condition:

Approval Type:

☐ Unmerge

Approval Description:

Group/Oper	Sequence	Condition	Description
COORDINATOR	1	true	
Service Desk	2	risk.assessment in \$L.file=="3"	
Service Manager	3	risk.assessment in \$L.file=="1"	

Service Manager has four approval types that determine how many approvers are required to advance a change to the next phase. The following table describes the approval types.

### Approval types

Approval type	Description
All must approve	<p>All Groups/Operators defined in the Approval Definition must issue an approval before the change or task can be approved. If only some (but not all) of the Groups/Operators issues an approval, then Service Manager sets the status of the record to "pending."</p> <p>For example, suppose you have three Groups/Operators in an Approval Definition and only one Group/Operator has approved the change. Service Manager sets the status to pending. The Approval table shows one currently pending approval, one future approval, and one completed approval action.</p>
One must	The change or task can be approved with one approval from any Group/Operator of the

**Approval types, continued**

<b>Approval type</b>	<b>Description</b>
approve	approving group. This is the default value of all Service Manager approvals.
Quorum	The change or task can be approved as soon as a majority of the approving group indicates approval.
All must approve - immediate denial	All Groups/Operators must approve the record. The first denial causes Service Manager to set the status to Deny. All approvers do not need to register their approval action. Otherwise, the record is denied when all Groups/Operators of the approving group issue a denial.

## Approval options

Operators with approval rights are enabled to approve, deny, or retract changes and tasks. The following table explains the approval options.

**Approval options available in Change Management**

<b>Approval option</b>	<b>Description</b>
Approve	The approver accepts the need for the change or task, and approves commitment of the resources required to fulfill the request. When all approvals are complete, work begins. When you choose this option, the change request shifts to browse mode, and the retract option is available. If you are not a member of a group with approval rights to this change request, Change Management generates an error message.
Deny	The approver is unwilling to commit the required resources, or does not consider the change or task to be essential. No further approvals are possible until the denial is retracted. An administrative procedure should be set up to handle a denial. If you select deny, a dialog box opens with a prompt to specify the reason for your action. Type an explanation and click OK.
Retract	The approver accepts the need for the change, but is unwilling to commit the resources or perhaps there are technical incidents at the present time. Retract removes a previous approval or denial and resets the change request to pending approved status, which requires a new approval cycle. If you select retract, a dialog box opens with a prompt to specify the reason for your action. Type an explanation and click OK.

## Approval delegation

Approval delegation is an optional feature that enables users with approval rights to temporarily delegate their approval authority to another qualified operator. Operators with the “Can Delegate Approvals” option enabled in their application roles can delegate some or all of their approvals by using the Approval Delegation wizard.

Using the Approval Delegation wizard, an operator can grant another qualified operator the right to temporarily view and act on items in his or her approval queue. The wizard offers the following delegation options:

- Delegate all approvals to another qualified operator
- Delegate approvals from a particular application to another qualified operator
  - Delegate approvals directly assigned to you as an operator
  - Delegate approvals assigned to you as a member of an approval group
- Delegate approvals from a specified start date to a specified end date

**Note:** You can only delegate to individual operators not groups.

The Approval Delegation wizard enables an operator to create any number of approval delegation combinations, including delegating the same approvals to multiple operators at the same time. Delegators can also update an existing approval delegation to change the delegation start and end dates, as well as change the delegate's name.

**Note:** Service Manager prevents delegators from deleting past delegations for compliance reasons such as Sarbanes Oxley (SOX). Service Manager tracks all changes to approval delegations using the standard field auditing capability.

When delegates log on to Service Manager, they see both their own and any delegated approvals in their approval list. For security reasons, delegates always retain their original application roles and operator records. Service Manager determines what temporary rights delegates have when they view or act on an approval.

## Change Management tasks

Service Manager change tasks describe the work necessary to complete a particular phase. Work cannot proceed to the next phase until all associated tasks of the current phases are complete. Tasks can be either sequential or parallel. For example, suppose you are in the Change Implementation phase of a hardware change to replace a hard drive. You might have change tasks to back up the old drive, remove the old hard drive, install the new hard drive, test the new hard drive, and restore the data on the new hard drive. In this example, the tasks are sequential because you cannot restore data onto a new drive until you first make a back up of the data and install the new hard drive. Parallel tasks might include determining what backup software to use, determining what hard drive vendor to purchase from, and determining how much effort and risk the hard drive change might take.

Tasks typically include a description of the task, the urgency and priority of the task, task scheduling information, and the task assignment.

Change Management tasks include:

- Opening, assigning, and associating a task with a change.
- Searching for a task.
- Managing task categories, environments, and phases.
- Using the task queue.

## Change Management user roles

The following table describes the responsibilities of the Change Management roles.

### Change Management user roles

Role	Responsibilities
Change Analyst	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• May be involved in the Change Assessment and Planning phase to deliver input to the Change Coordinator when assessing the change impact.</li><li>• Verifies that tasks are correctly assigned and rejects tasks if needed.</li><li>• Builds, tests, and implements changes based on the change plan.</li><li>• Executes the backup plan if required.</li></ul>
Change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Approve or deny Change when requested. This can be either electronically by</li></ul>

**Change Management user roles , continued**

<b>Role</b>	<b>Responsibilities</b>
Approver	using the service management tool or by using a Change Advisory Board (CAB) or Emergency-Change Advisory Board (E-CAB) meeting.
Change Coordinator	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Registers changes and applies the correct change model and change detail.</li> <li>Schedules changes according to the plan created previously.</li> <li>Creates the change tasks for building, testing, and implementing a change.</li> <li>Coordinates the assessment phase of the change and creates change planning based upon the assessment information.</li> <li>Verifies that the change passed the test criteria.</li> <li>Verifies that the change is implemented successfully in the production environment.</li> <li>After implementation, evaluates the change handling and closes the change.</li> <li>After or during a change implementation failure, activates the remediation plan to return the system to a pre-change state.</li> </ul>
Change Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reviews all changes after the assessment and planning phase and forwards them the right Change Approver.</li> <li>Organizes Change Advisory Board meeting if necessary.</li> <li>Updates the change after approval is given.</li> <li>Periodically reviews changes in a Post Implementation Review and determines and executes follow-up actions.</li> <li>Coordinates all activities in case the Emergency Change Handling process is triggered.</li> </ul>
E-CAB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Selection of Change Approvers who need to provide approval in case of an Emergency Change.</li> </ul>
Release Packaging and Build Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Change Analyst who transfers the new release from development to test environment or from test to production environment. This role cannot be fulfilled by the Change Analyst who has built the new release.</li> </ul>

## Input and output for Change Management

Changes can be triggered and resolved in several ways. The following table outlines the inputs and outputs for the Change Management process.

### Input and output for Change Management

Input to Change Management	Output from Change Management
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy and strategies for change and release</li> <li>• Request for change</li> <li>• Change proposal</li> <li>• Plans (change, transition, release, deployment, test, evaluation, and rendition)</li> <li>• Current change schedule and projected service outage (PSO)</li> <li>• Current assets or configuration items</li> <li>• As-planned configuration baseline</li> <li>• Test results, test report, and evaluation report.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rejected Request for Changes (RFCs)</li> <li>• Approved RFCs</li> <li>• Change to a service or infrastructure</li> <li>• New, changed, or disposed assets or CIs</li> <li>• Change schedule</li> <li>• Revised PSO</li> <li>• Authorized change plans</li> <li>• Change decisions and actions</li> <li>• Change documents and records</li> <li>• Change Management reports</li> </ul>

## Key performance indicators for Change Management

The Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) in the following table are useful for evaluating your Change Management processes. To visualize trend information, it is useful to graph KPI data periodically. In addition to the data provided by Service Manager, you may need additional tools to report on all of your KPI requirements.

**Key Performance Indicators for Change Management**

<b>Title</b>	<b>Description</b>
% of unauthorized changes	Percentage of unauthorized implemented changes in a given time period. A change in the infrastructure without a registered change request is considered unauthorized.
% of incidents caused by changes	Percentage of incidents caused by the implementation of a change in a given time period.
% of emergency changes	Percentage of the total number of closed changes that were emergency changes in a given time period.
% of successful changes	Percentage of the total number of closed changes successfully implemented in a given time period.
% of backed out changes	Percentage of the total number of closed changes for which a remediation plan is activated in a given time period.
% of rejected changes	Percentage of the total number of closed changes rejected in a given time period.
Average time per phase	Average amount of time spent on each of the distinct change phases in a given time period: Change Review, Change Assessment and Planning, Change Approval, Coordinate Change Implementation, and Change Evaluation and Closure.

For completeness, the ITIL V3 and COBIT 4.1 KPIs are included below.

## ITIL V3 Key Performance Indicators

The following are ITIL V3 KPIs for Change Management:

- Number of changes implemented to services that met customer requirements (for example, quality/cost/time as expressed as a percentage of all changes).
- Benefits of change expressed as the value of improvements made added to the value of negative impacts prevented or terminated as compared with the costs of the change process.
- Reduction in the number of disruptions to services, defects, and rework caused by inaccurate specification, and poor or incomplete impact assessment.

- Reduction in the number of unauthorized changes.
- Reduction in the backlog of change requests.
- Reduction in the number and percentage of unplanned changes and emergency fixes.
- Change success rate (percentage of changes deemed successful at review, that is, the number of RFCs approved).
- Reduction in the number of changes in which remediation is required.
- Reduction in the number of failed changes.
- Average time to implement based on urgency/priority/change type.
- Incidents attributable to changes.
- Percentage accuracy in change estimate.

## COBIT 4.1 Key Performance Indicators

The following are the COBIT 4.1 KPIs for Change Management:

- Number of disruptions or data errors caused by inaccurate specifications or incomplete impact assessment.
- Amount of application rework caused by inadequate change specifications.
- Reduced time and effort required to make changes.
- Percent of total changes that are emergency fixes.
- Percent of unsuccessful changes to the infrastructure due to inadequate change specifications.
- Number of changes not formally tracked, reported, or authorized.
- Number of backlogged change requests.
- Percent of changes recorded and tracked with automated tools.
- Percent of changes that follow formal change control processes.
- Ratio of accepted to refused change requests.



- Number of different versions of each business application or infrastructure being maintained.
- Number and type of emergency changes to the infrastructure components.
- Number and type of patches to the infrastructure components.

## RACI matrix for Change Management

A Responsible, Accountable, Consulted, and Informed (RACI) diagram or RACI matrix is used to describe the roles and responsibilities of various teams or people in delivering a project or operating a process. It is especially useful in clarifying roles and responsibilities in cross-functional/departmental projects and processes. The RACI matrix for Change Management is shown in the following table.

**RACI matrix for Change Management**

Proc ess ID	Activity	Chang e Mana ger	Servi ce Desk Agen t	Incide nt Mana ger	Probl em Mana ger	Relea se Mana ger	Change Coordin ator	Chang e Appro ver (or CAB/ E-CAB	Chan ge Anal yst	Releas e Packag ing and Build Manag er
ST 2.1	Change Logging	A	R	R	R	R	R			
ST 2.2	Change Review	A		I	I	I	R			
ST 2.3	Change Assessment and Planning	A	I	I	I	I	R		C/I	C/I
ST 2.4	Change Approval	R/A	I	I	I	I	I	R		
ST 2.5	Coordinate Change Implement ation	A	I	I	I	I	R		R	R
ST 2.6	Change Evaluation and Closure	R/A	C	C	C	C	R		C	C

**RACI matrix for Change Management, continued**

Proc ess ID	Activity	Chang e Mana ger	Servi ce Desk Agen t	Incide nt Mana ger	Probl em Mana ger	Relea se Mana ger	Change Coordin ator	Chang e Appro ver (or CAB/ E-CAB	Chan ge Anal yst	Releas e Packag ing and Build Manag er
ST 2.7	Emergency Change Handling	R/A		C/I				R	R	R

# Chapter 15: Change Management Workflows

Change Management controls the process to request, manage, approve, and control changes that modify your organization infrastructure. This managed infrastructure includes assets, such as network environments, facilities, telephony, and resources. For user requests for products and services, refer to Request Management.

Change Management automates the approval process and eliminates the need for memos, E-mail, and phone calls.

The Change Management process consists of the following processes, which are included in this chapter:

- ["Change Logging \(process ST 2.1\)" on the next page](#)
- ["Change Review \(process ST 2.2\)" on page 294](#)
- ["Change Assessment and Planning \(process ST 2.3\)" on page 297](#)
- ["Change Approval \(process ST 2.4\)" on page 302](#)
- ["Coordinate Change Implementation \(process ST 2.5\)" on page 306](#)
- ["Change Evaluation and Closure \(process ST 2.6\)" on page 311](#)
- ["Emergency Change Handling \(process ST 2.7\)" on page 315](#)

## Change Logging (process ST 2.1)


An individual or organizational group that requires a change can initiate a Request for Change (RFC). Change requests can be initiated as part of a variety of management processes, including User Interaction Management, Incident Management, Problem Management, and Release Management. Each RFC must be registered in an identifiable way. HP Service Manager provides change templates that standardize and speed up the Change Logging process.

The following user roles can perform Change Logging:

- Service Desk Agent
- Problem Manager
- Change Coordinator
- Release Manager

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Change Logging workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Change Logging process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
ST 2.1.1	Create new change	This procedure starts when the Service Desk Agent is working on an open-idle interaction in the category “Request for Change” and escalates it by creating a change request in the tool.	Service Desk Agent
ST 2.1.2	Complete escalation details	Review and update as appropriate the Location, Assignment group and Requested End date for the change.	Service Desk Agent
ST 2.1.3	Provide interaction number and update user	When a change has been created from an interaction on first intake, the user receives an interaction number and is updated with the actions performed by the Service Desk Agent. When the interaction has been created by using self-service, the user is updated with the interaction status and actions. The change is then sent to the Change Review procedure (ST 2.2.1).	Service Desk Agent
ST 2.1.4	Review returned change	The Change Coordinator has returned the change request when reviewing the content. The Service Desk Agent checks the reason and the actions defined.	Service Desk Agent
ST 2.1.5	Withdraw change?	Based on the rejection reason, it may be decided that the change request is not valid anymore and needs to be withdrawn (for example, if it is not feasible to deliver the requested information). If the change is withdrawn, the Change Review and closure process is started (ST 2.6.2). If the change is not withdrawn, go to ST 2.1.6.	Service Desk Agent
ST 2.1.6	Information complete?	Is the change request rejected because it did not contain all necessary information? If yes, continue with ST 2.1.8. If not, go to ST 2.1.7.	Service Desk Agent
ST 2.1.7	Gather required information	The Service Desk Agent contacts the change initiator and gathers and records the required information.	Service Desk Agent

**Change Logging process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
ST 2.1.8	Assign change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Problem Manager escalates a known error to a change request</li> <li>The Release Manager creates a new change request to implement a new release</li> <li>The Change Coordinator creates a new change request based on the direct request of an IT specialist from operations or development.</li> </ul> <p>If known, the correct change model can immediately be selected. If unknown, choose the “Default Change” Change Model.</p>	Problem Manager/Release Manager/Change Coordinator
ST 2.1.9	Create new change	A Change request may be created in response to an escalation from another process eg. To implement a solution to a Known error. Create a new Change request, If known, the correct Change category can be selected. If unknown, choose the “Default Change” Change category. Complete the Required fields on the Change Record (some fields may have been pre populated if the change has been escalated from another record).	Incident/Problem/Release Managers/Change Coordinator/Service Level /Service Request Manager
ST 2.1.10	Select change template (if appropriate)	If a change template exists to quickly populate the change form, select the template which will enter predefined information for the change. Go to ST 2.1.11 to follow change template instructions.	Incident/Problem/Release Managers/Change Coordinator/Service Level /Service Request Manager
ST 2.1.11	Follow change template instructions	Follow the template instructions to complete the remaining fields. Go to ST 2.1.12 to assign the change to a group.	Incident/Problem/Release Managers/Change Coordinator/Service Level /Service Request Manager
ST	Assign	When the RFC is complete, update with the Assignment Group and Change Coordinator. Go	Incident/Problem/Release



**Change Logging process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
2.1.12	change to group	to ST 2.2.1 in order for the Change Coordinator to review the Change record.	Managers/Change Coordinator/Service Level /Service Request Manager
ST 2.1.13	Review returned Change	Review the returned Change to determine if more information can be gathered or if the Change should be withdrawn. Go to ST 2.1.14 to determine whether to withdraw the Change.	Incident/Problem/Release Managers/Change Coordinator/Service Level /Service Request Manager
ST 2.1.14	Withdraw change	Based on the rejection reason, it may be decided that the change request is not valid anymore and needs to be withdrawn (for example, if it is not feasible to deliver the requested information). If the change is withdrawn, the Change Review and closure process is started (ST 2.6.2). If the change is not withdrawn, go to ST 2.1.12.	Incident/Problem/Release Managers/Change Coordinator/Service Level /Service Request Manager
ST 2.1.15	Incomplete information?	Determine whether the details held within the change record are complete. If yes, go to ST 2.1.12 to assign the Change to the correct group. If no, go to ST 2.1.16 to gather required information.	Incident/Problem/Release Managers/Change Coordinator/Service Level /Service Request Manager
ST 2.1.16	Gather required information	Contact the Change initiator to gather and record the required information. Go to ST 2.1.15 to determine whether the change record information is complete.	Incident Manager Problem Manager/ Release Manager/ Change Coordinator/Service Level Manager/Service Request

**Change Logging process, continued**


Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role

## Change Review (process ST 2.2)

After a change request is logged, the Change Coordinator verifies that the request is logical, feasible, necessary, and complete. If more information is needed, the Change Coordinator will request that the initiator update the request. The Change Coordinator also checks to see if the change has already been previously submitted and rejected. If a requested change does not meet the requirements, the Change Coordinator rejects the change and communicates the reason for the rejection to the change initiator. The Change Review process is performed by the Change Coordinator.

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Change Review workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Change Review process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
ST 2.2.1	Review the change	The Change Coordinator selects a change from the new change request queue and starts reviewing the change information.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.2.2	Information complete and assigned to correct group?	The Change Coordinator verifies that the required information in the change is available and that the change has been assigned to the correct support group. If yes, continue with ST 2.2.7. If no, go to ST 2.2.3.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.2.3	Update change	The Change Coordinator updates the change and states the reason that the change is returned to the request initiator.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.2.4	Change originated from interaction?	The Change Coordinator determines if the change request was created from an interaction or from a problem. If it was created from an interaction record, the rejected change request is sent back to the Service Desk (ST 2.2.5). If it was created from a problem, the rejected change is sent back to the Problem Manager (ST 2.2.6).	Change Coordinator
ST 2.2.5	Notify Service Desk	The Change Coordinator notifies the Service Desk of the reason that the change is returned, including any required actions.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.2.6	Notify Change Initiator	The Change Coordinator notifies the Initiator of the reason that the change is returned, including any required actions.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.2.7	Service Request?	The Change Coordinator verifies if the request could be handled through a Service Request. If yes, go to ST 2.2.8 to reject the Change. If no, go to ST 2.2.9 to verify the validity of the Change.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.2.8	Reject change	The Change Coordinator rejects the change and updates the record with a rejection reason. The change is then sent to the Change Evaluation and Closure process (ST 2.6.2).	Change Coordinator
ST 2.2.9	Verify change validity	The Change Coordinator verifies that the change is logical, feasible, and necessary, and makes sure that it does not contradict company standards and policies and that it has not previously been	Change Coordinator

**Change Review process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
		initiated and rejected.	
ST 2.2.10	Verification approved?	If the change passes the validity criteria, continue with ST 2.2.12. If not, go to ST 2.2.11.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.2.11	Select change category	The change request has initially been created from a default category. The Change Coordinator now selects the appropriate change category.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.2.12	Select/Verify change template (if appropriate)	Apply a Change Template if available or verify that the correct template has been selected and is appropriate. This will pre populate fields in the change record.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.2.13	Follow change template instructions	Follow the Change Template instructions.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.2.14	Provide change details	The change is completed with other information that was not automatically provided from the change category.	Change Coordinator

## Change Assessment and Planning (process ST 2.3)

For all normal changes, the Change Coordinator assesses the need for a change based on answers to the following questions:


- Who is the requestor that initiated the need for the change?
- What is the reason for the change?

- What is the result required from the change?
- What are the risks involved in the change?
- What resources are required to deliver the change?
- Who is responsible for the build, test, and implementation of the change?
- What is the relationship between this change and other changes?

Based on the answers to these questions, the change is categorized, prioritized, and planned, and then a remediation plan is developed.

The Change Review process is performed by the Change Coordinator.

The Change Assessment and Planning workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Change Assessment and Planning process**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
ST 2.3.1	Assess change impact and determine risk category	<p>When conducting the impact and resource assessment for changes, the Change Coordinator considers the following relevant items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Impact that the change will make on the customer's business operation</li> <li>• Effect on the infrastructure and customer service</li> <li>• Impact on other services that run on the same infrastructure (or on projects)</li> <li>• Impact on non-IT infrastructures within the organization</li> <li>• Effect of not implementing the change</li> <li>• The IT, business, and other resources required to implement the change including the likely costs, the number and availability of people required, the elapsed time, and any new infrastructure elements required</li> <li>• The current change schedule (CS) and projected service outage (PSO)</li> <li>• Additional ongoing resources required if the change is implemented</li> <li>• Impact on the continuity plan, capacity plan, security plan, regression test scripts, data and test environment, and Service Operations practices.</li> </ul> <p>If needed, the Change Coordinator can include business owners and technical analysts' requirements and probability of risk. The appropriate risk level can then be calculated or measured and included in the process and decision of making the change. Based on the impact and the probability of the change to occur, the risk category is determined.</p>	Change Coordinator
ST 2.3.2	Evaluate	The Change Coordinator contacts the Change Analysts (for example, IT specialists, security officer,	Change



**Change Assessment and Planning process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
	change	System Administrator) after the change assessment. The Change Analysts evaluate the information and indicate whether they support approval of the change.	Coordinator
ST 2.3.3	Change Approval supported?	Based on the change evaluation, the Change Coordinator determines whether the change is supported for approval or not. If no, continue with ST 2.3.4. If yes, continue with ST 2.3.6.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.3.4	Impact and risk categorization unsatisfactory?	Has the change not been approved because the impact and risk categorization is not satisfactory? If yes, go back to ST 2.3.1. If no, continue with ST 2.3.5.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.3.5	Reject change	The Change Coordinator rejects the change and updates the change with a rejection reason. The change is then sent for the Change Evaluation and Closure process (ST 2.6.2).	Change Coordinator
ST 2.3.6	Review priority and update if appropriate	Review the priority (which has been calculated based on the impact and urgency of the Change) and update the impact and/ or urgency, if required, to revise the priority. The priority establishes the order in which Changes are processed. Go to ST 2.3.7 to determine whether the Change is related to the creation/amendment of a Service?	Change Coordinator
ST 2.3.7	Create or amend service?	Is the Change related to the creation or amendment a Service? If yes, go to Service Level Management (SD 2.2.1) to create or amend Services. If no, go to ST 2.3.8 to plan and schedule the Change.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.3.8	Plan and schedule change	<p>The Change Coordinator carefully plans and schedules the change. A detailed change plan is created, which indicates the activities that will need to be performed to implement the change. The change plan can be visualized in change tasks. If a very detailed plan is created, it might be more appropriate to attach the plan to the change as an attachment.</p> <p>The Planned Change Start and End Date need to be filled in to publish the change on the change calendar. Before scheduling the change, the change calendar should be checked to verify that there</p>	Change Coordinator

**Change Assessment and Planning process, continued**


Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
		are no conflicting changes in the scheduled period. If possible, the change should be scheduled in the maintenance window for the impacted service(s), as agreed in the SLA.	
ST 2.3.9	Develop remediation plan	The Change Coordinator develops a remediation plan that contains an alternate remediation scenario that describes how to undo the change.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.3.10	Notify Change Manager	Notify the Change Manager and “Close Phase” to update the status of the Change.	Change Coordinator

## Change Approval (process ST 2.4)

Every change requires a formal authorization from a change authority, which may be a role, person, or group of people. The levels of authorization for a particular type of change are judged by the type, size, or risk of the change.

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Change Approval workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Change Approval process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
ST 2.4.1	Review change	The Change Manager verifies that the change is logical, feasible, and necessary. The Change Manager also makes sure that the change does not conflict with company standards and policies, and checks to see if the proposed change has been proposed and rejected in the past. This verification step is typically also performed by the Change Coordinator at an earlier step in the process. However, for segregation of duties reasons, be sure that changes are validated again by the Change Manager.	Change Manager
ST 2.4.2	Change valid?	If yes, go to ST 2.4.4. If no, go to ST 2.4.3.	Change Manager
ST 2.4.3	Reject change	If the change is invalid, the change is rejected by the Change Manager and is input for the Change Evaluation and Closure process.	Change Manager
ST 2.4.4	Impact and risk analysis satisfactory?	If yes (that is, assessment of change impact and analysis and determination of risk category is satisfactory), go to ST 2.4.6. If no, go to ST 2.4.5.	Change Manager
ST 2.4.5	Update change	Update the change with the remarks about the impact and risk analysis, and then request that the Change Coordinator update the change.	Change Manager
ST 2.4.6	Planning and scheduling satisfactory?	If yes, go to ST 2.4.8. If no, go to ST 2.4.7.	Change Manager
ST 2.4.7	Update change	Update the change with the remarks about the planning and scheduling, and then request that the Change Coordinator update the change.	Change Manager
ST 2.4.8	Update change and request approvals	Approvers will have been identified following the selection of the Change category. Update the change record and “Close Phase” to update the status of the Change and submit requests for approval to the identified Approvers. Go to ST 2.4.9 to schedule a CAB meeting if appropriate.	Change Manager

**Change Approval process, continued**


<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
ST 2.4.9	Schedule CAB meeting if appropriate	The Change Manager determines whether a CAB meeting should be scheduled to discuss the change approval, or if instead the change can be authorized via E-mail or the Change Management registration system.	Change Manager
ST 2.4.10	Approve change	The Change Approver selects the change that he or she must approve, checks the change content, and then either approves or denies the change. If the Change Approver has questions to ask prior to granting approval, the Change Approver directs questions to the Change Coordinator. If the change is denied, the Change Approver must fill in a denial reason.	Change Approver
ST 2.4.11	Approved by all approvers?	When all approvers have authorized the change, the Change Manager verifies that the change has been approved by all. If yes, continue with ST 2.4.12. If no, go to ST 2.4.13.	Change Manager
ST 2.4.12	Update change	The Change Manager updates the change with the approval information and passes the change to the Change Coordinator for implementation.	Change Manager
ST 2.4.13	Review denial reason	Review the reasons that a Change Approver has denied authorization for the change.	Change Manager
ST 2.4.14	Denial due to an impact, risk, planning, or scheduling issue?	If yes, go to ST 2.4.4. If no, go to ST 2.4.15.	Change Manager
ST 2.4.15	Reject change	The Change Manager rejects the change based on approval results. The Change Manager fills in a rejection reason and the change is sent to the Change Evaluation and Closure process.	Change Manager

## Coordinate Change Implementation (process ST 2.5)

Authorized change requests should be passed to the relevant technical groups for building, testing, and implementing the change. The Change Coordinator schedules tasks for the build, test, and implementation phases and assigns those tasks to the responsible Change Analysts. Change Management is responsible for ensuring that changes are implemented as scheduled. The actual implementation of authorized changes is performed by Change Analysts in the specialist groups.

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Coordinate Change Implementation workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Coordinate Change Implementation process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
ST 2.5.1	Schedule implementation	The Change Coordinator schedules managing the change, according to the plan created previously.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.5.2	Change raised by SLM?	<p>Determine whether the Change was raised by SLM and requires an update to the Service Catalogue and/or Service Definition Document (SDD).</p> <p>If yes, go to Service Level Management (SD 2.1.6) to maintain the Service Catalogue. Once completed the change process continues as ST 2.5.4. If no, go to ST 2.5.3 to determine whether a DML update is required.</p>	Change Coordinator
ST 2.5.3	Definitive Media Library change needed?	<p>Does this particular change require a change in the Definitive Media Library (for example, changes related to software development or a new type of hardware)?</p> <p>If no, continue with ST 2.5.3. If yes, continue to the Definitive Media Library to make the change, and then forward the change to the release and deployment process where the following activities will be executed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Plan the release</li> <li>• Update the Definitive Media Library</li> <li>• Communicate with stakeholders</li> <li>• Build release</li> <li>• Test release</li> <li>• Document release</li> </ul> <p>After release and deployment management has finished the release package, the change is returned to the Change Management process.</p>	Change Coordinator



**Coordinate Change Implementation process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
ST 2.5.4	Create and monitor tasks for build, test, and implementation	The Change Coordinator creates the change tasks for building, testing, and implementing the change. All tasks are scheduled and assigned to the scheduled Change Analyst. Then the Change Coordinator monitors the progress of the change tasks and the change.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.5.5	Validate task	The Change Analyst verifies that the change task has been correctly assigned and that the information is complete to execute the change task.	Change Analyst
ST 2.5.6	Assignment incorrect or information incomplete?	If the assignment is incorrect or information incomplete, go to ST 2.5.9. If not, go to ST 2.5.7.	Change Analyst
ST 2.5.7	Build, document, and update task	The Change Analyst builds or configures the change, as scheduled. It is important that all changes in the infrastructure are well documented. When finished building the change, the Change Analyst sends the change for testing.	Change Analyst
ST 2.5.8	Test, document, and update change	All hardware changes, software changes, and new releases must be tested before implementing them in production. Test plans must be available to support the test activities, and the test results must be documented.	Change Analyst
ST 2.5.9	Reject task	The change task is rejected and returned to the Change Coordinator.	Change Analyst
ST 2.5.10	Passed test?	The Change Coordinator verifies that the change has passed the test criteria. If yes, the change is authorized to be implemented in the production environment, go to ST 2.5.12. If not, go to ST 2.5.11.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.5.11	Continue with build?	The Change Coordinator reviews the reasons why the Change has not been successfully tested to determine whether it is feasible to continue the build. If yes, go to ST 2.5.4 Create and monitor Tasks	Change Analyst

**Coordinate Change Implementation process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
		for build/test/ implementation. If no, amend the phase to “Assessment and Planning” and go to ST 2.3.1 to Assess Change Impact and determine Risk Category.	
ST 2.5.12	Implement change	The Change Analyst implements the change in the production environment, according to the change implementation schedule.	Change Analyst
ST 2.5.13	Perform production test	Immediately after implementing the change in the production environment, perform verification tests to determine if the change implementation was successful.	Change Analyst
ST 2.5.14	Implementation successful?	<p>The Change Coordinator verifies whether the Change has been successfully implemented in the production environment. If remediation activities have been executed the Change Coordinator verifies that the expected results have been achieved as described in the remediation plan.</p> <p>Verify and review all related Tasks and check for completeness. If the Change remediation plan was executed, ensure that the Change remediation scenario and tasks were handled correctly and that the administration of the Change remediation is complete.</p> <p>If yes, Close Phase and go to ST 2.6.1 to evaluate Change handling. If yes, go to the Configuration Management Planning process (ST 3.1.3) in order for the Configuration Manager to review the Configuration Management System (CMS) Change Task. A Change cannot be closed until all Changes for the involved CIs have been registered in the CMS.</p> <p>If yes, go to the Request Fulfilment Management process (SO 3 5 14) to notify the appropriate audience of the successful creation, update or retirement of a Service Catalogue Item. (if appropriate). If no, go to ST 2.5.15 to review the remediation plan.</p>	Change Coordinator
ST 2.5.15	Review remediation plan	The Change Coordinator reviews the remediation plan to determine whether to activate the plan. This may require consultation with Technical and Business Stakeholders to agree the next steps. Go to 2.5.16 to (Re) Activate the remediation plan.	Change Coordinator

**Coordinate Change Implementation process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
ST 2.5.16	(Re)Activate remediation plan?	The Change Coordinator assesses whether to activate (or if an attempt has already been made whether to re-activate) remediation plan to return the production environment to an agreed state.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.5.17	Create and monitor tasks for remediation	Create tasks as directed in the remediation plan and assign to Change Analyst(s). Monitor the progress of tasks. Go to ST 2.5.18 for Change Analyst to execute remediation activities.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.5.18	Execute remediation activities	The Change Analyst is the expert who executes remediation activities as directed in the task(s). Go to ST 2.5.19 to perform remediation verification tests.	Change Analyst
ST 2.5.19	Perform remediation verification test	Immediately after implementing the remediation activities in the production environment, perform verification tests to determine if the remediation was successful. Update the task with the results and close the task with an appropriate closure code. Go to ST 2.5.14 in order for the Change Coordinator to determine whether remediation was successful.	Change Analyst

## Change Evaluation and Closure (process ST 2.6)

After a change is completed, the results must be reported for evaluation to those responsible for managing changes, and then presented for stakeholder agreement. This process includes the closing of related user interactions, incidents, and known errors.

A change evaluation (for example, a post-implementation review, or PIR) is performed to confirm that:


- the change meets its objectives
- the change initiator and stakeholders are satisfied with the results

- unanticipated effects have been avoided.
- Lessons learned are incorporated into future changes.

The Change Review process is performed by the Change Coordinator and the Change Manager.

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Change Evaluation and Closure workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Change Evaluation and Closure process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
ST 2.6.1	Evaluate change handling	After implementation of the change, the Change Coordinator verifies that the change was handled correctly and that the administration of the change is complete. The Change Coordinator also reviews change handling to verify that all related records are still correct.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.6.2	Close change	The Change Coordinator updates the change request and closes the change. The change request is now closed and all change initiators receive a notification that the related change is successfully implemented.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.6.3	Potential for service disruption?	The Change Coordinator reviews whether there is potential for Service Disruption. This may occur if the change has failed or remediation activities have taken place. If yes, go to SO 2.1.12 to Create a new Incident. If no, the Change Evaluation and Closure Process ends	Change Coordinator
ST 2.6.4	Generate periodic overview of closed changes	The Change Coordinator generates an overview of all changes that have been closed since the last review.	Change Coordinator
ST 2.6.5	Determine which changes to review	The Change Manager then narrows the overview to a list of changes that require reviewing.	Change Manager
ST 2.6.6	Post Implementation Review (PIR)	Change Manager must review certain changes after a predefined period. This process involves CAB members and is part of the CAB agenda. The purpose of the review is to establish the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change has had the desired effect and met its objectives.</li> <li>• Users, customers, and other stakeholders are satisfied with the results, and any shortcomings are identified.</li> <li>• There are no unanticipated or undesirable side effects to functionality, service levels, or</li> </ul>	Change Manager

**Change Evaluation and Closure process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
		warranties (for example, availability, capacity, security, performance, and costs). <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Resources used to implement the change were as planned.</li><li>• Release and deployment plan worked correctly (recorded information includes comments from the implementers).</li><li>• Change was implemented on time and to cost.</li><li>• Remediation plan functioned correctly, if required.</li></ul>	
ST 2.6.7	Determine and execute follow-up action	Based on the outcome of the Post Implementation Review, the Change Manager defines an action list and starts the execution of defined actions.	Change Manager

## Emergency Change Handling (process ST 2.7)

Emergency changes can only be initiated from within the Incident Management process. They should be used only to repair an IT service error that is negatively impacting the business at a high level of severity. Changes that are intended to make an immediately required business improvement are handled as normal changes, although they may be assigned a high priority based on the urgency of the required business improvement.

The emergency change process follows the normal change process, except for the following:

- Approval is given by the Emergency Change Approval Board (E-CAB) rather than waiting for a regular CAB meeting.
- Testing may be reduced, or in extreme cases eliminated, if doing so is considered necessary to deliver the change immediately.

- Updating of the change request and configuration data may be deferred, typically until normal working hours.

If the E-CAB decides that an emergency change can be handled as a normal change, the emergency change is recategorized and implemented by using the normal change process.


The following user roles are involved in Emergency Change Handling:

- Change Manager
- Change Analyst
- E-CAB
- Release Packaging and Build Manager

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.



The Emergency Change Handling workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Emergency Change Handling process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
ST 2.7.1	Discuss and determine change requirements	The Change Manager discusses the requirements for the emergency change in cooperation with the Incident Manager.	Change Manager
ST 2.7.2	Determine change impact and risk category	The change impact and risk category is determined the same way as a normal change request, except that it is designated as high priority.	Change Manager
ST 2.7.3	Organize emergency CAB meeting	The Change Manager calls the Emergency CAB (E-CAB) to authorize the change. The E-CAB consists of members authorized to make decisions about high impact emergency changes.	Change Manager
ST 2.7.4	Authorize change	The E-CAB members authorize the change.	E-CAB
ST 2.7.5	Approved by E-CAB?	Has the emergency change been approved by the E-CAB members? If yes, continue with ST 2.7.6. If no, go to ST 2.7.17.	Change Manager
ST 2.7.6	Handle as emergency change?	Has the E-CAB decided to handle this change as an emergency change? If yes, go to ST 2.7.7. If no, go to ST 2.7.13.	Change Manager
ST 2.7.7	Definitive Media Library change needed?	Does this emergency change require a change in the Definitive Media Library (DML)? If yes, go to ST 4. If no, continue with step ST 2.7.8.	Change Manager
ST 2.7.8	Implement	The Change Analyst implements the change in the production environment with the highest priority.	Change

**Emergency Change Handling process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
	change		Analyst
ST 2.7.9	Perform test	After implementing the emergency change in production, the Change Analyst performs a quick test to verify that the error has been resolved and has not triggered any other errors.	Change Analyst
ST 2.7.10	Change successful?	Determine whether the Emergency Change was successful. If yes, go to ST 2.7.12 to inform the Change Manager. If no, go to ST 2.7.11 to roll-back the Emergency Change.	Change Analyst
ST 2.7.11	Roll-back change	The Change Analyst follows the remediation plan to restore the production environment to its pre-Change state. Go to ST 2.7.12 to inform the Change Manager.	Change Analyst
ST 2.7.12	Inform Change Manager	The Change Analyst informs the Change Manager whether the Emergency Change was successfully implemented. or if the change had to be rolled back. Go to ST 2.7.13 to determine if the change was initiated from an Incident.	Change Analyst
ST 2.7.13	Initiated from Incident	Was the request for an Emergency Change initiated from an Incident? If yes, go to ST 2.7.14 to inform Incident Manager of the status. If no, go to ST 2.7.15 to determine whether to close change.	Change Manager
ST 2.7.14	Inform Incident Manager	The Change Manager informs the Incident Manager if the E-CAB has approved the change but determined that the change does not meet the criteria to be handled as an Emergency Change and agrees how to proceed with the change request. (The Incident Manager will determine and execute escalation actions if required in Incident Escalation (SO 2.6.7)).  If the Change has been handled as an Emergency Change the Incident manager is advised whether the Emergency Change was successfully implemented or if the change had to be rolled back. Go to ST 2.7.15 to determine whether to close the Change.	Change Manager
ST 2.7.15	Close change?	Determine whether the change record should be closed.  If yes, (no further actions are required for the change) go to ST 2.7.16 Handle Emergency Change record.	Change Manager

**Emergency Change Handling process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
		If no, go to ST 2 Change Management return the change to the most appropriate phase, uncheck the “Emergency Change” field and continue with the change process.	
ST 2.7.16	Handle emergency change record	The Change Manager updates the emergency change record with all relevant information and closes the change phases where appropriate and assigns the change tasks to update the Definitive Media Library/CMS or to have the change activities registered. Then the emergency change is passed to the Change Evaluation and Closure process. Typically this comes after the change implementation.	Change Manager
ST 2.7.17	Additional requirements set by E-CAB?	The Change Manager notes whether E-CAB denies a proposed emergency change due to extra requirements for the Change Management process. If there are extra requirements, go to ST 2.7.1. If no, go to ST 2.7.18.	Change Manager
ST 2.7.18	Indicated from Incident	Was the Emergency Change initiated from an Incident? If yes, go to ST 2.7.19 to inform Incident Manager of the status. If no, go to ST 2.7.20 to reject the change.	Change Manager
ST 2.7.19	Inform Incident Manager	The Change Manager informs the Incident Manager that the Emergency Change has been rejected by E-CAB and will be closed. (The Incident Manager will determine and execute escalation actions if required in Incident Escalation (SO 2.6.7)). Go to ST 2.7.20 to reject the change.	Change Manager
ST 2.7.20	Reject change	The Change Manager rejects the Emergency Change. Go to ST 2.6.2 Close Change.	Change Manager

## Chapter 16: Change Management Details

HP Service Manager uses the Change Management application to enable the Change Management process. The main function of Change Management is to standardize the methods and processes a business organization uses to plan and implement changes. Change Management records all changes to service assets and configuration items in the Configuration Management System (CMS).

In Change Management, the Change Manager sends the change requests to the correct approvers and coordinates Emergency Change Handling, the Change Approver approves or denies the change request, the Change Coordinator plans the implementation of the change and verifies that the change has been completed satisfactorily, and the Change Analyst implements the change.

This section describes selected Change Management fields in the out-of-box Service Manager system.

Topics in this section include:

- ["Change Management form after escalation from a known error" below](#)
- ["Change Management form details" on the next page](#)

### Change Management form after escalation from a known error

The following figure shows a new change request escalated from a known error record in Problem Management. As with any new change, you must provide the required fields before you can save it. See ["Change Management form details" on the next page](#) for a list and description of the fields on this form.

▼ Change Details

Change ID

C10010

Phase

Change Logging

Status

initial

Approval Status

approved

Assignment Group

Network

Change Coordinator

Initiated by

DAILY, MICHELLE

Service

E-mail / Webmail (North America)

Affected CI

adv-nam-server-mail

Location

Requested End Date

12/19/07 20:44:00

Alert Stage

Planned Start

Planned End

Scheduled Downtime Start

Scheduled Downtime End

☐ Configuration Item(s) Down

Category

Software

Subcategory

Configure Software

☐ Emergency Change

☐ Release Management

Impact

4 - User

Urgency

1 - Critical

Priority

2 - High

Risk Assessment

1 - Low Risk

☐ Time Period Conflict

Ext. Project Ref.

Title

Allow bigger mails to be sent

Description

Configure mail account to allow bigger mails to be sent / received

# Change Management form details

The following table identifies and describes some of the features on the Change Management forms.

Change Management field descriptions

Label	Description
Change ID	This is a system-generated field assigned when the change is opened.
Phase	<div>This is a system-generated field that specifies the name of the current phase of the change.</div> <div>See "Change Management phases" on page 273 for a list of the phases associated with the various categories.</div>

**Change Management field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
Status	<p>This is a system-generated field that specifies the status of the change with the phase.</p> <p>These statuses are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Initial - the change request is open</li> <li>• Waiting - the previous change phase has been closed and the next phase is waiting to be opened</li> <li>• Reopened - the change was previously closed and then reopened</li> <li>• Closed - the change request has been closed</li> </ul>
Approval Status	<p>This is a system-generated field that defines the global approval status for the change, not for a single approval. The system sets this field depending on current approvals and the approval type defined for the module.</p> <p>These approval statuses are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pending</li> <li>• Approved</li> <li>• Denied</li> </ul>
Initiated by	<p>The name of the user requesting the change.</p> <p>This is a required field. This field includes a hover-over form that displays full name, telephone, and email address if available for the user requesting the change.</p>
Assignment Group	<p>The group assigned to work on the change. For a description of this field see the Assignment Group field description in ("<a href="#">Incident Management form details</a>" on page 120) as this field functions similarly. The out-of-box data consists of default assignment groups for use as examples of types of assignment groups.</p> <div> <p><b>Tip:</b> You may want to change the sample assignment groups to meet your own needs.</p> </div> <p>These assignment groups are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Application</li> </ul>



**Change Management field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Email / Webmail</li> <li>• Field Support</li> <li>• Hardware</li> <li>• Intranet / Internet Support</li> <li>• Network</li> <li>• Office Supplies</li> <li>• Office Support</li> <li>• Operating System Support</li> <li>• SAP Support</li> <li>• Service Desk</li> <li>• Service Manager</li> </ul> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Change Coordinator	<p>The name of the person responsible for coordinating the implementation of the change. Each Change Coordinator may belong to several assignment groups. Each group can have just one Change Coordinator.</p>
Service	<p>Specifies the service affected by the change. This is a system-generated field and is prepopulated when a change request is created from an interaction.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Affected CI	<p>The list of Configuration Items (CIs) affected by the change. The system prepopulates this field when a change request is created from an incident or known error. Users can add additional CIs. This field includes a hover-over form that displays check boxes for Critical CI and Pending Change.</p>
Location	<p>Specifies the location for the change. The system prepopulates this field the change is created by escalating an interaction.</p>
Title	<p>Provides a short description of the change.</p>

**Change Management field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
	This is a required field.
Description	<p>Provides a more detailed description of the change.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Category	<p>This is a system-generated field that classifies the type of change. The Default and Unplanned Change categories are used for changes opened in the background; they are available to Change Managers and System Administrators, but not to regular users.</p> <p>The out-of-box categories are described in <a href="#">"Change Management categories" on page 272</a>.</p>
Emergency Change	<p>When checked, the system handles the change according to the emergency change process. The system adds the ECAB approval group requirement and this allows the change to skip some approvals and phases to make the change happen sooner. An emergency change skips the Change Review and Change Assessment and Planning phases after the phase Change Logging closes. Emergency changes go directly to the phase Prepare for Change Approval. The system also adds the Emergency Group Approval to the Change Approval phase and creates an activity record that shows "This change is logged as an Emergency Change" in the <b>Activities &gt; Historic Activities</b> section.</p> <p>If a change later becomes an emergency, the activity records notes that "This change has become an Emergency Change." There are also notifications to the Change Manager every time there is an activity (open, update or closure of an emergency change)</p> <div> <p><b>Note:</b> An Emergency Change is not the same as an Unplanned Change.</p> </div>
Release Management	When checked, the system manages this change with the Release Management module.
Impact	<p>This field is prepopulated with data from an incident when a change is created from an incident. It specifies the impact the problem has on the business. The impact and the urgency are used to calculate the priority.</p> <p>These impacts are available out-of-box:</p>

**Change Management field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1 - Enterprise</li> <li>• 2 - Site/Dept</li> <li>• 3 - Multiple Users</li> <li>• 4 - User</li> </ul> <p>The out-of-box data is the same as Interaction Management, Problem Management, and Incident Management.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Urgency	<p>The urgency indicates how pressing the change is for the organization. The urgency and the impact are used to calculate the priority. This field functions similarly to the same field for interaction, incident, and problems. For additional information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a>.</p> <p>This is a required field.</p>
Priority	<p>This is a system-generated field using the urgency and impact of the change. This field functions similarly to the same field for interaction, incident, and problems. For additional information, see <a href="#">"User Interaction Management form details" on page 58</a>.</p>
Risk Assessment	<p>Specifies a code that indicates the risk incurred with the implementation of the change. This field becomes required in the Change Assessment and Planning phase.</p> <p>These risk assessments are available out-of-box:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 0 - No Risk</li> <li>• 1 - Low Risk</li> <li>• 2 - Some Risk</li> <li>• 3 - Moderate Risk</li> <li>• 4 - High Risk</li> <li>• 5 - Very High Risk</li> </ul> <p>After a user selects this field, the change may require additional approvals based on the risk. The approval is based on the risk</p>

**Change Management field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
	number in the assessment approval record. This is a required field.
Requested End Date	The system prepopulates this field if the change request is created from an interaction escalation. This is the date the change initiator requests the implementation of the change. This is a required field if not prepopulated.
Alert Stage	This is a system-generated field that lists the current Alert Stage of this request. Change Management updates this field automatically when processing alerts against this change. Do not update it manually. The alerts are processed against a change by using the Phase definition. This field is not active in an out-of-box system and must be manually enabled.
Planned Start	This field specifies the date and time that the work to implement the change should start. This field becomes required in the Change Assessment and Planning phase.
Planned End	This field specifies the date and time that the work to implement the change should end. This field becomes required in the Change Assessment and Planning phase.
Scheduled Downtime Start	The date and time when the change is scheduled to begin. Scheduled downtime only needs to be filled when the service is down, while implementing the change.
Scheduled Downtime End	The date and time when the change is scheduled to end. Scheduled downtime only needs to be filled when the service is down, while implementing the change.
Configuration Item(s) Down	If selected (set to true), indicates that the Configuration Items (CIs) are currently not operational and the downtime is scheduled. The fields Scheduled Downtime Start and Scheduled Downtime End are used along with the field Configuration Item(s) Down to indicate the scheduled time to bring the CI down. These fields are never required and should only be populated if you plan to bring down the CIs as part of the change. The interval selected applies to all the CIs of the change and cannot be specified by individual CI. When the change is closed, you may get the form confirming the outage times, and when you actually close the change, the CIs will be set as Up in Configuration Management.
Ex. Project Ref.	This field references an external project number.

**Change Management field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
Associated CIs section>Completed/Cancelled CMDB Modifications	The data in this section is used by the UCMDB integration whenever there are past changes to the values registered for the CI.
Affected Services section>Affected Services	This provides a list of affected services. When a configuration item for an incident is added or updated, a schedule record is created that runs a routine to update the list of affected services.
Approvals section>Current Approvals>	<p>This section provides an overview of the current approvals related to any changes for the CI as well as important information such as approval status, approvers. This includes a list of groups or operators who must acknowledge or accept the risk, cost, and so on associated with the implementation of a Change request or task. Approvals give controlling authorities the ability to stop work and to control when certain work activities can proceed.</p> <p>The data displayed includes the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval Type</li> <li>• Approval Status</li> <li>• # Approved</li> <li>• # Denied</li> <li>• # Pending</li> </ul>
Approvals section>Approval Log>	<p>This subsection provides an overview of past approvals related to the changes for the CI as well as important information such as approval status and approvers.</p> <p>The data displayed includes the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Action</li> <li>• Approver/Operator</li> <li>• By</li> <li>• Date/Time</li> <li>• Phase</li> </ul>
Approval section>Pending Reviews	The name(s) of the groups or operator IDs that should review the change for the CI after it has been approved.

**Change Management field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
Tasks	<p>Whenever a change is in a phase where the user can generate tasks, Service Manager allows user a quick view of some of the most important fields in the task in the Tasks section.</p> <p>The data displayed includes the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Task No</li><li>• Status</li><li>• Approval Status</li><li>• Assigned To</li><li>• Description</li><li>• Category</li></ul>
Backout Method	<p>Provides a detailed method for backing out the change if there is a problem implementing the change. This is a required entry for an changes in the Unplanned Change category. It is also required in the Discovery Back Out phase and for the Release Management category in order to close the Release plan and design phase.</p>

# Chapter 17: Knowledge Management Overview

The HP Knowledge Management application, referred to as Knowledge Management throughout this chapter, supports the Knowledge Management process.

Knowledge Management provides the framework to help you manage information throughout your IT Service Management life cycle. The primary purpose of Knowledge Management is to improve efficiency by reducing the need to rediscover knowledge.

Knowledge Management processes can interact with other Service Manager processes (in particular, Interaction Management, Incident management, and Problem management). The scope of this document is limited to the Knowledge Library and its contents.

Topics in this section include:

- ["Knowledge Management Within The ITIL Framework" below](#)
- ["Knowledge Management Application" on the next page](#)
- ["Knowledge Management Process Overview" on page 336](#)
- ["Key Interfaces with Other Processes" on page 339](#)
- ["Key performance indicators for Knowledge Management" on page 339](#)
- ["RACI Matrix For Knowledge Management" on page 340](#)

## Knowledge Management Within The ITIL Framework

Knowledge Management (KM) was added to ITIL v3 as part of Service Transition – the ITIL process that addresses the development and deployment of new or changed services. Prior to ITIL v3, the Incident and Problem Management processes were responsible for addressing the management of knowledge. But with the development of a specific Knowledge Management process, ITIL v3 now provides a detailed set of guidelines and workflows for the management of all knowledge in the Service Management life cycle. ITIL v3 also calls for integration of the Knowledge Management process with Service Desk (Interaction Management), Incident Management, and Problem Management.

The goal of Knowledge Management is to enable your organization to efficiently access, update, and share all knowledge that pertains to the Service Management life cycle. Benefits of Knowledge Management include, but are not limited to, the following:

- more efficient handling of knowledge
- the reduced likelihood that multiple stakeholders will attempt to solve the same problem in isolation without first sharing knowledge
- the ability to control the access of sensitive information to certain people

Central to Knowledge Management is a repository known as the Service Knowledge Management System (SKMS). A typical SKMS stores all knowledge in a Knowledge Base. The items in the Knowledge Base are referred to as Knowledge Documents, which can also include a variety of attachment types such as text files and graphics files. It also provides tools for handling important tasks such as generating reports.

HP Service Manager not only acts as the SKMS, it goes one step further by providing Knowledge Center Support (KCS) methodologies out of box. KCS methodologies specify ways to capture information from incidents to be used by analysts in problem solving. It also specifies that knowledge must by definition evolve over time as additional stakeholders review and modify knowledge content.

This *Processes and Best Practices Guide* describes Knowledge Management as the process responsible for providing knowledge to all other IT Service Management processes. Because the number of processes involved is very large, the scope of this document is restricted to those processes related to storing new KM documents and updating, archiving, and retiring existing KM documents.

## Knowledge Management Application

The Service Manager Knowledge Management application helps you manage all knowledge related to Interactions, Incidents, and Problems.

## Types of Knowledge Documents in Service Manager

Document types are templates for documents in Knowledge Management. Knowledge Management includes several default document types:

- Question/Answer
- Problem-Solution
- Reference



- Error Message/Cause
- External

## Knowledge Management Categories

All documents in the knowledge documents knowledge base are assigned to a document category. Categories for knowledge documents are ordered into hierarchies. The document categories order documents into top-level categories and subcategories. Each subcategory has a parent category that is the category immediately above it in the ordered list of categories. A top-level category is also a parent category of the subcategory immediately below it in the ordered list of categories.

## Knowledge Groups

Knowledge groups enable you to collect users into groups that have access to work with the same set of documents. A knowledge group might be the user for a department, or a group of document authors, or a special group of users within your organization such as subject matter experts.

You associate a knowledge group with at least one document category or subcategory to give the members of a knowledge group access to documents in the category or subcategory and all subcategories below it. Access privileges can range from search and view to publishing privileges depending upon what knowledge profile(s) you assign to the category.

## Knowledge Management Profiles

The Knowledge Management profiles control access to knowledge documents as well as rights for creating, editing, and administering documents.

Within each security profile, there is a direct association between a defined (named) profile and the categories and sub-categories for which the selected capabilities apply. Each security profile maps to a document category or sub-category. A user with that profile has access to the documents specified by the profile-to-category mapping and all subcategories in that branch of the category tree.

Profiles can be modified to select only some of the available capabilities for a profile, effectively creating sub-profiles. For example, some users may not be allowed to publish knowledge documents externally as well as internally.

The out-of-box KM security profiles are briefly described in the following table:

**Contribute And Approve Knowledge/Change Request Process**

<b>KM Profile</b>	<b>Privileges</b>
DEFAULT	With this profile, a user can search and view externally published knowledge documents for those documents in categories to which this profile has access. They can also submit feedback on these documents.
INTERNAL USER	With this profile, a user can search and view externally published knowledge documents for those documents in categories to which this profile has access. They can also search and view internally approved knowledge documents for those documents in categories to which this profile has access. They can also submit feedback on these documents.
KCS I	Author and contribute knowledge documents.
KCS EDITOR	Editor Author knowledge documents and edit working copy documents in the workflow for those categories to which this profile has access.
KCS II	Author knowledge documents, contribute knowledge documents, edit published documents without placing them in workflow (edit in place), and publish documents internally only. Also, view adaptive learning data when adaptive learning is enabled in the system.
KCS III	Author knowledge documents, contribute knowledge documents, edit published documents without placing them in workflow (edit in place), and publish documents internally and externally. Also, view adaptive learning data when adaptive learning is enabled in the system.
KM ADMIN	Author knowledge documents, contribute knowledge documents, edit published documents without placing them in workflow (edit in place), and publish documents internally and externally. Also, view adaptive learning data when adaptive learning is enabled in the system.

## Generic OOB Knowledge Management Document Phases

KM documents pass through the following generic out-of-box phases:

### 1. **Draft**

A Knowledge Contributor creates a draft document by first selecting one of the following document types:

- **Error Message/Cause:** a specific error message a user encountered and what the user was doing when the error occurred

- **External:** files, such as text or graphic files, that can be associated with incidents and problems
- **Question/Answer:** a general question and its corresponding answer
- **Problem/Solution:** a specific problem, including its probable cause, and a corresponding solution

- **Reference:** general information

Once a draft is created, the Knowledge Document is said to be a **Working copy**. Note that in the Service Manager Knowledge Management application, a working copy of a published document has an "R" appended to the document ID. A new document submitted to be published is also considered a working copy while it is in workflow waiting to be published, but there is no "R" appended to the document ID.

## 2. Triage

After the contributor enters the relevant details and submits the draft document, it enters the Triage state. The purpose of the Triage state is to allow a KM analyst to review the submission and determine if it would make an appropriate document. The analyst can also perform minor modifications of the content to ensure stylistic quality. If the document is acceptable, the analyst submits it to a specific KM expert for revision.

## 3. Revise

The purpose of the Revise state is to give a subject matter expert the chance to add or modify content that would improve the document. After revising the document, the KM expert submits the document for review to the KM team.

## 4. Review

The purpose of the Review state is to give the KM team a chance to finally decide if the document is ready for publication. The KM team can send the document back for further revision or to accept the document.

## 5. Conclude

**Note:** This is the Publish phase in the out-of-box KM workflow.

The purpose of the Conclude stage is to allow the assigned owner on the KM team to determine what to do with the document. The owner has the following options:


- If the document is new, the owner can approve and publish the submission, or terminate the KM record to prevent publication of the document.

- If the document already exists and was resubmitted for revision and review, the owner can publish the revised version, revert to the original version, or retire the document.

Documents can also be retired when they are no longer useful. A retired document is a knowledge document that is no longer searched during a knowledge base search.

## Knowledge Management Process Overview

A general overview of the Knowledge Management processes and workflows is depicted in the following figure. Workflows are described in detail in ["Knowledge Management Workflows"](#).



## Knowledge Management User Roles

The following table describes the responsibilities of the Knowledge Management roles.

### Knowledge Management User Roles

Role	Responsibilities
Knowledge Management Process Owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accountable for the definition, management, governance and improvement of the KM Process</li> <li>• Ensures that the KM process and working practices are effective and efficient</li> <li>• Ensures that all stakeholders are sufficiently involved in the KM process</li> <li>• Ensures that (business) management is sufficiently informed as to the volume, impact and cost of Knowledge</li> <li>• Ensures tight linkage between the KM process and other related processes</li> </ul>
Knowledge Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implementation and ongoing management of the Knowledge Management process</li> <li>• Championing the Knowledge Management process with people at all levels</li> <li>• Management of Knowledge Analysts</li> <li>• Ensuring process efficiency and consistency</li> <li>• Final QA and approval of all Knowledge Submissions</li> <li>• Deciding the scope of publishing (external and / or internal)</li> <li>• Continual improvement of the Knowledge Library and process</li> <li>• Fast tracking urgent 'Hot News' notices through the KM process</li> <li>• Reviewing KM reports</li> <li>• Identifying, allocating and tracking continual improvement actions and maintenance activities</li> </ul>
Knowledge Expert	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reviewing and amending Knowledge Submissions</li> <li>• Rejecting Knowledge Submissions based on technical content</li> </ul>

**Knowledge Management User Roles, continued**

Role	Responsibilities
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assisting with reviews of Knowledge Documents for currency and relevance</li> </ul>
Knowledge Analyst	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Administering the KM process</li> <li>Reviewing Knowledge Candidates for basic content, spelling, format, readability, duplication and editing to achieve a consistent quality level</li> <li>Rejecting inappropriate or duplicated Knowledge Submissions</li> <li>Coordinating Knowledge Expert reviews and reviewing Knowledge Document feedback and identifying improvements</li> <li>Producing and distributing KM reports</li> <li>Driving periodic reviews of Knowledge and co-ordinating and / or performing improvement actions</li> <li>Retiring Knowledge Documents no longer deemed relevant</li> </ul>
Knowledge Contributor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identifying Knowledge Submissions</li> <li>Identifying changes required to existing Knowledge Documents</li> <li>Submitting new or revised Knowledge Submissions</li> <li>Assisting with reviews of Knowledge Documents for currency and relevance</li> </ul>
Knowledge User	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Maintaining awareness of the KM facilities</li> <li>Searching the Knowledge Base for solutions</li> <li>Providing feedback on Knowledge Documents</li> </ul> <div> <p><b>Note:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Self-Service will only access the Knowledge Library</li> <li>Operator record search will access Interaction, Incident, Known Error and Knowledge libraries</li> </ul> </div>

## Key Interfaces with Other Processes

The following table shows how Knowledge Management is linked with other Service Manager processes.

### Input and output for Knowledge Management

Process	Description
SO 0 – Interaction Management	Knowledge documents may be used in the resolution of Incidents, either via the Service Desk or self-service. Feedback on the knowledge documents will feed in to the Knowledge Management process.
SO 2 – Incident Management	During the Incident resolution process, KM documents can be submitted that specify how an analyst found a resolution or workaround.
SO 4 – Problem Management	Information on Known Errors and workarounds may be used to create Knowledge Documents.

## Key performance indicators for Knowledge Management

The Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) in the following table are useful for evaluating your Knowledge Management processes. To visualize trend information, it is useful to graph KPI data periodically. In addition to the data provided by Knowledge Management, you may need additional tools to report on all of your KPI requirements.

### Key Performance Indicators for Knowledge Management

Title	Description
Number of KM documents created	The total number of KM documents in your organization will grow over time; however, older KM documents will gradually become outdated and should be retired. Monitor the number of newly created documents to determine the optimal rate of growth over a period of time.
Number of times a KM document is accessed	A useful document is accessed frequently. Use this indicator to figure out which documents are the most and least useful.

**Key Performance Indicators for Knowledge Management, continued**

Title	Description
Number of KM documents used to resolve Interactions	KM documents should ultimately lead to resolutions. Monitor the number of KM documents that lead to resolutions of Interactions to help determine how successfully your Service Desk is able to access and reuse knowledge.
Number of KM documents used to resolve Incidents	Monitor the number of KM documents that lead to resolutions of Incidents to help determine how successfully KM documents help resolve serious issues that have been escalated to Incidents.
Number of KM documents with an expired review date	To ensure the efficiency of the document publication process, monitor the number of documents that have not been reviewed in a timely manner.

## RACI Matrix For Knowledge Management

A Responsible, Accountable, Consulted, and Informed (RACI) diagram or RACI matrix is used to describe the roles and responsibilities of various teams or people in delivering a project or operating a process. It is especially useful in clarifying roles and responsibilities in cross-functional/departmental projects and processes. The RACI matrix for Knowledge Management is shown in the following table.

**RACI Matrix For Knowledge Management**

Process ID	Activity	Knowledge Contributor	Knowledge Analyst	Knowledge Expert	Knowledge Manager	Knowledge User
ST 7.1	Contribute and Approve Knowledge	R	R	C	A/R	
ST 7.2	Search, View and Utilise Knowledge				A	R
ST 7.3	Maintain and Continually Improve Knowledge	R	R	R	A/R	



# Chapter 18: Knowledge Management Workflows

The Knowledge Management process collects, organizes, structures and distributes knowledge for ongoing use. It is responsible for ensuring that an organization can archive and retrieve knowledge in an efficient manner.

The Knowledge Management process consists of the following processes, which are included in this chapter:


- ["Contribute and Approve Knowledge Document \(process ST 7.1\)" on the next page](#)
- ["Search, View and Utilize Knowledge Document \(Process ST 7.2\)" on page 347](#)
- ["Maintain and Continually Improve Knowledge \(Process ST 7.3\)" on page 349](#)

## Contribute and Approve Knowledge Document (process ST 7.1)

The Contribute and Approve Knowledge Document process starts with the submission of a KM document. You can contribute a knowledge document by authoring knowledge articles or using external documents that are uploaded into a knowledge base. You can use the rich-text editor to author documents, and you can add documents as attachments that can include images, text files, Word files, or PDFs.

- The process begins when a contributor creates a new submission or revising an existing knowledge document. The contributor selects a documentation type, enters basic details, selects a category type, and submits the draft for review by a Knowledge Analyst.
- The analyst can edit, accept, or reject the document. If necessary, the document can be passed to a Knowledge Expert, who can also edit, accept, or reject it. The Knowledge Expert is typically a subject-matter expert with more in depth understand of a give topic and the ability to determine the accuracy and currency of the KM document.
- The Knowledge Manager makes the final decision about whether to accept the document or return it for further revision. If the document meets all KM publication criteria, the Knowledge Manager accepts and publishes the document.

The Contribute And Approve KM Doc/Change Request Workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Contribute And Approve Knowledge/Change Request Process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
ST 7.1.1	Populate Knowledge details	Enter details of the Knowledge Submission into Service Manager. Suggestions for new or improved KM documents can be received from a number of areas including Incident and Problem Management. Go to ST 7.1.2 to select the appropriate Knowledge category.	Knowledge Contributor
ST 7.1.2	Select Knowledge category	Select the appropriate category from those available within Service Manager. Go to ST 7.1.3 to submit the Knowledge Submission.	Knowledge Contributor
ST 7.1.3	Submit for approval	Submit the Knowledge Submission for approval by the Knowledge team.  Go to ST 7.1.4 in order for the Knowledge Analyst to perform an initial review and check for duplicates.	Knowledge Contributor
ST 7.1.4	Initial review and duplicates check	The Knowledge Submission is reviewed to ensure all required information has been provided and in the correct format. A check is also done against other recent Knowledge Submissions to avoid duplication of effort. Go to ST 7.1.5 to determine whether to reject the Knowledge Submission.	Knowledge Analyst
ST 7.1.5	Reject Knowledge Submission?	The Knowledge Submission will be rejected if the Knowledge Analyst feels it is not valid or if it is a duplication of another existing proposal. If yes, go to ST 7.1.6 to inform the Knowledge Contributor of the reasons for rejection. If no, go to ST 7.1.7 to edit the Knowledge Submission.	Knowledge Analyst
ST 7.1.6	Retire Knowledge Submission and inform Contributor of reason(s)	Inform the Knowledge Contributor of the reasons for the Knowledge Submission being rejected. The 'Contribute and Approve Knowledge' process ends.	Knowledge Analyst
ST 7.1.7	Edit Knowledge Submission and notify Contributor	Add any comments / additional information to the Knowledge Submission ready for further review and approval, and notify the Knowledge Contributor. Go to ST 7.1.8 to determine whether an expert review is required.	Knowledge Analyst

**Contribute And Approve Knowledge/Change Request Process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
ST 7.1.8	Expert Review Required?	Some Knowledge Submissions can be managed by the Knowledge team only. If the Knowledge Submission refers to a specialised area, an expert will be asked to review and approve it. If yes, go to ST 7.1.9 for the Knowledge Expert to review the Knowledge Submission. If no, go to ST 7.1.12 for the Knowledge Manager to perform a QA review.	Knowledge Analyst
ST 7.1.9	Perform Expert review	Review the Knowledge Submission. Go to ST 7.1.10 to determine whether to reject the Knowledge Submission.	Knowledge Expert
ST 7.1.10	Reject Knowledge Submission?	If the Knowledge Expert believes the Knowledge Submission is not valid, they can decide to reject it and provide reasons which will be provided to the Knowledge Contributor. If yes, go to ST 7.1.6 to inform the Knowledge Contributor of the rejection reason. If no, go to ST 7.1.11 to edit the Knowledge Submission.	Knowledge Expert
ST 7.1.11	Edit Knowledge Submission and notify Contributor	Add comments / additional information to the Knowledge Submission ready for further review and approval. Notify the Knowledge Contributor if any amendments have been made. Go to ST 7.1.12 in order for the Knowledge Manager to perform a QA review.	Knowledge Expert
ST 7.1.12	Perform QA Review	The Knowledge Manager performs a final review of the Knowledge Submission to ensure that it is consistent with the quality and format of the rest of the Knowledge Documents. Go to ST 7.1.13 to decide whether or not to approve the Knowledge Submission for publication.	Knowledge Manager
ST 7.1.13	Approve Publication?	Once the Knowledge Manager is sure that the Knowledge Submission has had the necessary checks and approvals, they will decide whether or not to publish it. If yes, go to ST 7.1.14 to confirm the correct audience & dates for publication. If no, go to ST 7.1.6 for the Knowledge Analyst to inform the Knowledge Contributor of the rejection reason.	Knowledge Manager
ST 7.1.14	Confirm publication audience and	Confirm the appropriate audience for the Knowledge Submission and if there are any restrictions or deadlines associated with its publication. Go to ST 7.1.15 to publish.	Knowledge Manager

**Contribute And Approve Knowledge/Change Request Process, continued**


<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
	dates		
ST 7.1.15	Publish	Publish the Knowledge Submission to the Knowledge Base. The 'Contribute and Approve Knowledge' process ends.	Knowledge Manager

# Search, View and Utilize Knowledge Document (Process ST 7.2)

The Knowledge Base is the central repository of the Knowledge Management system. Service Manager provides powerful search and retrieval functionality for accessing, retrieving, and displaying knowledge documents. Searches can be performed using Filters and even Boolean searches are allowed.

Each type of Knowledge Bases has different fields that are indexed for searching, so specific search parameters that match the fields in the knowledge base must be provided. For example, the knowledge articles have a title and author field. When you view an incident, the out-of-box system displays the incident number, incident description, and solution for closed incidents.

Search, View and Utilize Knowledge process is illustrated in the following figure:



**Search, View And Utilize Knowledge Process**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
ST 7.2.1	Search Knowledge Base	Enter search criteria into the tool. Search queries can be entered by users through the Self Service functionality or by Operators from the Interaction, Incident and Problem Management modules (this is shown in grey on the process flow as they are not always present when this process is followed.). Go to ST 7.2.2 to review items found.	Knowledge User
ST 7.2.2	Review Items Found	Review search results and determine whether any are appropriate. Go to ST 7.2.3 to refine or amend the search, if necessary.	Knowledge User
ST 7.2.3	Refine or change search	If appropriate Knowledge Documents have not been returned, the search criteria can be amended to obtain better results. If yes, go to ST 7.2.1 to search the Knowledge Base again. If no, go to ST 7.2.4 to determine whether relevant Knowledge has been found.	Knowledge User
ST 7.2.4	Relevant Knowledge Found?	Has a Knowledge Document been returned that is helpful and relevant to the query? If yes, go to ST 7.2.6 to Utilize Knowledge where appropriate. If no, go to ST 7.2.5 to determine whether to log an Interaction.	Knowledge User
ST 7.2.5	Log Interaction?	If a suitable Knowledge Document was not found, an Interaction may need to be raised to deal with the issue that was being researched. If yes, go to Interaction Management (SO 0.1.1). If no, the 'Search, View and Utilize Knowledge' process ends.	Knowledge User
ST 7.2.6	Utilize Knowledge (where appropriate)	Apply the Knowledge where appropriate. Go to ST 7.2.7 to determine whether to provide feedback on the Knowledge Document.	Knowledge User
ST 7.2.7	Provide feedback on Knowledge Use?	Feedback is monitored for key aspects such as quality and availability of the Knowledge Documents. If yes, go to ST 7.2.8 to populate Knowledge feedback.  If no, the 'Search, View and Utilize Knowledge' process ends.	Knowledge User
ST 7.2.8	Populate Knowledge feedback	Populate feedback on the Knowledge Document used. The 'Search, View and Utilize Knowledge' process ends.	Knowledge User



# Maintain and Continually Improve Knowledge (Process ST 7.3)


The Maintain And Continually Improve Knowledge process:

- Records the details of new knowledge (documents)
- Updates existing knowledge (documents) where there is any inaccuracy or incompleteness
- Removes obsolete knowledge (documents)

The process is performed by the Knowledge Contributor, Knowledge Analyst, Knowledge Expert, or Knowledge Manager.

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Maintain and Continually Improve Knowledge Workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



**Maintain and Continually Approve Knowledge**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
ST 7.3.1	Produce / distribute KM reports	Produce and distribute KM reports to the Knowledge Manager. Go to ST 7.3.2 for the Knowledge Manager to review the KM reports.	Knowledge Analyst
ST 7.3.2	Review KM reports	Review the reports for any issues with the tool or process. Go to ST 7.3.3 to determine required actions.	Knowledge Manager
ST 7.3.3	Actions required?	Identify whether any additional actions need to be taken. If yes, go to ST 7.3.4 to co-ordinate / perform actions. If no, the 'Maintain and Continually Improve Knowledge' process ends.	Knowledge Manager
ST 7.3.4	Co-ordinate / perform actions	Review the identified actions and resolve any that do not need Knowledge Contributor or Knowledge Expert involvement. Go to ST 7.3.5 to determine whether action is required by the Knowledge Contributor.	Knowledge Analyst
ST 7.3.5	Contributor action required?	If yes, go to ST 7.3.6 for the Knowledge Contributor to perform the required actions. If no, go to ST 7.3.7 to determine whether action is required by a Knowledge Expert.	Knowledge Analyst
ST 7.3.6	Perform required actions	Implement appropriate actions to resolve the issue identified in the KM report. Go to ST 7.3.7 to determine whether action is required by a Knowledge Expert.	Knowledge Contributor
ST 7.3.7	Expert action required?	If yes, go to ST 7.3.8 for the Knowledge Expert to perform the required actions. If no, go to ST 7.3.9 to determine whether to revise the Knowledge Document.	Knowledge Analyst
ST 7.3.8	Perform required actions	Implement appropriate actions to resolve the issue identified in the KM report. Go to ST 7.3.9 to determine whether to revise the Knowledge Document.	Knowledge Expert
ST 7.3.9	Revise Knowledge Document?	If yes, go to ST 7.1.1 for the Knowledge Contributor to populate Knowledge details. Note: The Knowledge Analyst is the Knowledge Contributors role in this scenario. If no, go to ST 7.3.10 to determine whether to retire the Knowledge Document.	Knowledge Analyst
ST 7.3.10	Archive Knowledge Document?	If yes, go to ST 7.3.11 to retire the Knowledge Document. If no, go to ST 7.3.12 to report on progress against actions.	Knowledge Analyst

**Maintain and Continually Approve Knowledge, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
ST 7.3.11	Retire Knowledge Document	Archive the Knowledge Document in order for it to be no longer available in searches of the Knowledge Base. Go to ST 7.3.12 to report on progress.	Knowledge Analyst
ST 7.3.12	Report on progress	Provide the Knowledge Manager with a progress report on any outstanding actions. Go to ST 7.3.13 in order for the Knowledge Manager to review progress/success.	Knowledge Analyst
ST 7.3.13	Review progress/success	Review progress on actions identified and determine whether any additional actions are required. Go to ST 7.3.3 determine whether additional actions are required.	Knowledge Manager



**Contribute Knowledge form field descriptions**

Label	Description
Title	The title of the document. The title should accurately describe the content of the document.
Summary	A summary of the document.
Expiration date	The date that the KM document will automatically expire.
Document ID	Service Manager creates the ID of the document automatically.
Document type	There are five Document Types: Error Message/Cause; External; Question/Answer; Problem/Solution; and Reference.
Status	The KM document's current state in the KM workflow.
Locale	The language used by the search engine when searching and indexing. The default locale is English.

## Knowledge Management Form - Search Knowledgebase (Advanced Search)

The following figure shows the Search Knowledgebase (Advanced Search) form. This form enables users to search for knowledge documents from these knowledgebases: Knowledge Library, Known Errors, Problems, Incidents, and Interactions. To access this form, navigate to **Knowledge Management > Search Knowledgebase**, and then click the **Advanced...** button.

The Search Knowledgebase (Advanced Search) form is illustrated in the following screenshot:

The following table describes the fields on this form.

#### Contribute Knowledge form field descriptions

Label	Description
Clear	This button clears existing search criteria. If you return to the simple search form without clicking this button, existing search criteria will remain.
Search for	The text string to search for.
Query Language	Indicates the dictionary that the Search Engine will use for Natural Language breakdown, thesaurus, and stemming. The default language is English. Normally this should be the language of the text string in the <b>Search for</b> text box.  <b>Note:</b> Only languages with the Active for Knowledge Management option enabled in their Language Identification record are available from the Query Language list.
Filter by	Use this section to narrow down your search. Note that all “Filter by” words/phrases are not highlighted in search results.  <b>All of these words:</b> Search for documents that contain every one of these words.

**Contribute Knowledge form field descriptions , continued**

Label	Description
	<p><b>This exact phrase:</b> Search for documents that contain this phrase exactly. These words are not expanded with a thesaurus.</p> <p><b>Any of these words:</b> Search for documents that contain any one of these words.</p> <p><b>None of these words:</b> Search for documents that do not contain any of these words.</p>
Search in	This section specifies the knowledgebases to search: Knowledge Library, Known Errors, Problems, Incidents, and Interactions.
Knowledge Library	<p>This section contains fields from the Contribute Knowledge form that you can use as a filter when searching for knowledge documents stored in the kmdocument table.</p> <div style="background-color: #f0f0f0; padding: 10px;"> <p><b>Note:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Only languages with the <b>Active for Knowledge Management</b> option enabled in their Language Identification record are available from the Locale list. Use the Locale field in combination with the Query Language field. For example, if you want to search for a Japanese document (whose Locale is Japanese) that contains a French word, specify Query Language as French, and Locale as Japanese.</li> <li>Use the <b>Add</b> and <b>Remove</b> buttons to add and remove Categories as needed.</li> <li>Administrators can also use the <b>HP Service Manager Table Query</b> field in the Knowledge_Library knowledgebase to limit end user's searches to partial KM documents. Out-of-the-box, the query is: <code>status ~= "draft"</code> and <code>status ~= "retired"</code>, which means users can only search for KM documents whose status is not Draft or Retired.</li> </ul> </div>
Known Errors	This section contains fields from the knownerror table that you can use as a filter when searching for Known Error knowledge records.
Problems	This section contains fields from the rootcause table that you can use as a filter when searching for Problem knowledge records.
Incidents	This section contains fields from the probsummary table that you can use as a filter when searching for Incident knowledge records.
Interactions	This section contains fields from the incidents table that you can use as a filter when searching for Interaction knowledge records.



# Chapter 20: Configuration Management

## Overview

The HP Service Manager Configuration Management application, referred to as Configuration Management throughout this chapter, supports the Configuration Management process. It enables you to define and control the components of services and infrastructure, and to maintain accurate configuration information about the historical, planned, and current state of services and infrastructure.

Configuration Management ensures that you identify, baseline, and maintain selected components of a complete IT service, system, or product as Configuration Items and that you control changes to them by requiring formal approvals. Configuration Management also ensures that you control releases into your business environments.

This section describes how Configuration Management implements the best practice guidelines for the Configuration Management processes.

Topics in this section include:

- ["Configuration Management within the ITIL framework" below](#)
- ["Configuration Management application " on the next page](#)
- ["HP Universal Configuration Management Database"](#)
- ["Configuration Management process overview" on page 364](#)
- ["Input and output for Configuration Management" on page 368](#)
- ["Key performance indicators for Configuration Management" on page 368](#)
- ["RACI matrix for Configuration Management" on page 371](#)

## Configuration Management within the ITIL framework

Configuration Management is addressed in ITIL's *Service Transition* publication. The document describes Configuration Management as the process responsible for managing services and assets to support the other Service Management processes.

Configuration Management is planned and implemented in conjunction with Change Management and Release Management to ensure that the service provider can manage its IT assets and configurations effectively. Configuration Management enables enterprises to efficiently identify, control, maintain, and verify the versions of CIs that exist in their infrastructure. Planning is an important part of Configuration Management, because planning ahead enables you to understand the impact that an incident or change could have on your infrastructure.

Responsibility for implementing controls can be delegated, but accountability remains with the responsible manager. Those authorizing the change should provide the manager with information on the cost, risks, and impact of a proposed change and a list of resources required for its implementation.

Configuration Management defines and controls the components of services and infrastructure and maintains accurate configuration information about the historical, planned, and current state of services and infrastructure.

Effective Configuration Management provides the following benefits:

- Accommodates changes to and reuse of standards and best practices.
- Significantly reduces incident resolution time by using a central repository for critical infrastructure data that can be accessed by other applications.
- Includes configuration grouping and business relationships.
- Enables you to meet business and customer control objectives and requirements.
- Provides accurate configuration information to enable people to make decisions at the right time. For example, to authorize changes and releases or to resolve incidents and problems faster.
- Minimizes the number of quality and compliance issues caused by improper configuration of services and assets.
- Optimizes the use of service assets, IT configurations, capabilities, and resources.

## Configuration Management application

The Configuration Management application identifies, defines, and tracks an organization's CIs by creating and managing records for those items. Other Service Manager applications can then access these records from a central repository. For example, when you create an incident, you can access the hardware component details from Configuration Management and populate the new incident with that information. Access to Configuration Management significantly reduces the time spent to resolve the

incident, as well as alerts you to other potential incidents due to component relationships and dependencies defined in the database.

Configuration Management assures you that releases into controlled environments and operational use are performed on the basis of formal approvals. Configuration Management also provides a configuration model of services, assets, and infrastructure by recording relationships between service assets and configuration items.

All CIs are defined in the device file, the foundation of Configuration Management. Each CI record can include contact, location, vendor, and outage history. Other Service Manager applications, such as Incident Management and Change Management, access Configuration Management to populate fields on forms through the use of link records.

Configuration Management enables you to do the following:

- Identify, control, record, report, audit, and verify service assets and CIs, including versions, baselines, constituent components, and their attributes and relationships.
- Account for, manage, and protect the integrity of service assets and CIs throughout the service lifecycle by ensuring that only authorized components are used and only authorized changes are made.

As new and updated services and systems are released and distributed, accurate configuration information must be available to support the planning and control of changes. Service Manager's out-of-box Configuration Management workflow tracks the IT assets and configurations that make up the infrastructure. These assets can be hardware, software, and associated documentation. The inter-relationships between these components are also monitored. Effective results integrate the service provider's configuration information processes and those of its customers and suppliers. All major assets and configurations must be accounted for and have a responsible manager who ensures that protection and control is maintained.

User profiles determine the access level within Configuration Management. Depending on your access level, you can do the following:

- Add, edit, and save CI records.
- Manage CIs using predefined views to find CIs quickly.
- View and modify software installation information.
- View the maintenance schedule for a CI.

- View and modify SLA information.
- Add CIs to a contract and manage existing contracts.

## HP Universal Configuration Management Database

An integration between HP Universal CMDB (UCMDB) and HPService Manager enables you to share information about the actual state of a configuration item (CI) between your UCMDB system and Service Manager. Any organization that wants to implement the best practices Configuration Management and Change Management ITIL processes can use this integration to verify that CIs actually have the attribute values the organization has agreed to support.

**Note:** A UCMDB is optional. Service Manager 7.10 Change Management and Configuration Management will work without it.

Service Manager allows you to programmatically define what actions you want to take whenever a CI's actual state does not match the expected state as defined in the CI record. For example, you can use this integration to automate the creation of Service Manager change or incidents to update or rollback CIs that have unexpected attribute values.

The integration offers several different ways for users to view CI actual state information:

- By default, the integration automatically updates the managed fields of Service Manager CI records as part of the regular UCMDB synchronization schedule. You can choose the option to configure the integration to automatically create change or incidents instead.
- You can view the current actual state of a CI by looking at the Actual State section in the Service Manager CI record. For more information see ["Baselines" on the next page](#), ["Managed state" on page 362](#) and ["Actual state" on page 363](#).
- You can use the Service Manager View in UCMDB option to log in to the UCMDB system and view the current CI attributes from UCMDB. A Service Manager user must have a valid UCMDB user name and password to log in to the UCMDB system.

You can specify CI relationships directly in Service Manager or define them in UCMDB and push them to Service Manager like any other asset, by using web services. You can also create UCMDB CI relationships from Service Manager CIs.

## Baselines

Baselines are an optional feature of Configuration Management that allow you to define a set of attributes that all instances of a configuration item (CI) should have. A baseline is a template CI that defines the expected or authorized attributes of a CI. Typically, a baseline only describes the attributes that you expect CIs to share in common and does not include attributes that you expect to vary. For example, a baseline describing PCs might require that all PC CIs be assigned the same model number and operating system version but not the same owner or serial number. In this example, the model number and the operating system would be authorized attributes of the baseline, while the owner and the serial number would be individually-managed attributes.

**Note:** Baseline records replace baseline configuration item groups from previous versions of Service Manager. The upgrade process converts existing baseline configuration item groups to query groups.

Baseline records are separate from the CI records they manage. You must first create a baseline record before you can associate it with one or more CIs. All baseline records must have a name, a list of authorized attributes, and a state. Baseline records can optionally have a version number, which administrators can configure from the Configuration Management environment record. A baseline record's status determines whether you can add or edit attributes, and whether you can associate CIs to the baseline. After you authorize a baseline record, its attributes are locked and you can only associate or remove CIs from the baseline.

It is up to a Configuration Management manager to determine whether a CI that is out of compliance with its baseline is acceptable or requires a change. Keep in mind that both the CI record and the baseline record describe the expected or managed state of a CI. A baseline record is intended to describe the expected state across many similar items. A CI record describes the expected state of an individual item.

There may be cases where it is acceptable for an individual CI to have a different managed state than other CIs in the same baseline. For example, you might have a baseline requiring that all application servers have 8 GB of RAM. However, you may also want one of your application servers, the Web server, to have 16 GB of RAM. You may want to authorize this exception to the baseline rather than creating a new baseline record to describe just one CI.

Baselines only check for compliance against the managed state of the CI. The actual state of the CI is irrelevant to a baseline compliance check. Continuing the example above, the Web server CI record might list 16 GB of RAM as the managed state. This makes it out of compliance with the baseline that requires all application servers to have 8 GB of RAM. If a discovery process later reveals that the Web server actually only has 12 GB of RAM, this might cause Service Manager to open an unplanned change,

but it will not cause a new violation of the baseline. Only differences between the CI's managed state (16 GB of RAM) and the baseline (8 GB of RAM) matter.

## Baseline section

Each CI record has a baseline section that lists details about the baseline, if any, that is currently managing the CI. The baseline section lists the name of the managing baseline, its version, and a list of the attribute names and attribute values the baseline expects. If the CI has a value other than the baseline value, Service Manager displays a warning message stating that the Configuration Item is out of compliance with Baseline.

## Managed state

In Service Manager, the managed state is the subset of CI attributes that have been defined as critical enough to be closely managed by a formal change process and have been approved by that process. You may add managed state information for a CI in several ways:

- Automatically add CI attributes from an integration to HP Universal CMDB
- Automatically add CI attributes from an integration to Connect-It and HP Universal CMDB
- Manually add CI attributes

After you add the managed state information to a CI, any changes to the CI attributes must go through a Change Management process.

Service Manager owns the managed state of a CI and acts as the definitive source of what the CI attributes should be. The actual state of the CI may differ from the managed state and may trigger actions in Service Manager such as an out of compliance with baseline warning message or the opening of an unplanned change.

## Managed State section

The Managed State section uses subsections to display data about each CI. There are three subsections for this purpose, The Network subsection and the Additional subsection are used for all CI types. The third subsection depends upon the CI and CI type selected. For example, the Adobe Reader is an application CI type and therefore includes the Application subsection in the Managed State section.

## Actual state

The actual state of a CI is the current list of CI attributes. By default, Service Manager only stores and displays the expected or managed state of CIs. Service Manager can only receive actual state information if you set up an integration to HP Universal CMDB. Service Manager uses the actual state to determine if a CI is in compliance with its managed state. Service Manager compares the managed attribute values listed in the CI record to the attributes values listed in HP Universal CMDB. If any of the managed attribute values differ from the managed state, Service Manager takes action as defined in the Discovery Event Manager (DEM) settings. By default, Service Manager opens an unplanned change whenever the actual state of a CI attribute differs from the managed state.

## Actual State section

The Actual State section displays the list of CI attributes passed from an HP Universal CMDB integration. The list of CI attributes varies from CI to CI and may not match your list of managed attributes. That is, the Actual State section displays all the CI attributes it receives from the HP Universal CMDB integration whether they are managed fields in Service Manager or not.

To view the actual state of the CI, you must first create an integration to an HP Universal CMDB server. The HP Universal CMDB server periodically discovers the actual state of CIs and records the actual state in the Configuration Management database. Service Manager accesses the actual state information by using a Web services connection. Service Manager sends the `ucmdb.id` value of the CI to the HP Universal CMDB server and receives a full list of the attributes for that CI. Service Manager displays the CI attributes in the Actual State section of the Configuration Management form.

If a Service Manager CI does not have a matching CI in the HP Universal CMDB server, then Service Manager does not display the Actual State section. For example, you may track office furnishing CIs in Service Manager that cannot be discovered and tracked in the HP Universal CMDB.

**Note:** Starting with Service Manager version 9.41, the UCMDB Id field is available in the Actual State section. This field stores the CI's global ID value that is pushed back from UCMDB.

## CI relationships

Service Manager tracks upstream and downstream relationships between CIs. A relationship between CIs means that there is some dependency between CIs. If an upstream CI has an interruption of service, Service Manager assumes that all CIs with a downstream relationship to the affected CI also have an

interruption of service. For example, if a network router has an interruption of service, then all servers and PCs that connect to that router also have an interruption of service.

Any given CI typically has one upstream relationship and one or more downstream relationships. CIs can have logical or physical relationships based on the logical name of the configuration item. CI relationships are independent of baseline, actual or managed states.

## CI Relationship section (CI visualization)

Each CI record has a section that graphically displays relationships between CIs and the current state of each item in the configuration. (UCMDB has a similar relationship diagram.) Service Manager gathers information from all available applications to determine the current state of a CI. You can view, add, or update relationships using the graphical interface. Service Manager uses smart indicators to tell you if there are any current issues, related records, or breaches to availability SLAs for the CI.

## Configuration Management process overview

The Configuration Management process ensures that selected components of a complete IT service, system, or product (the Configuration Item) are identified, baselined, and maintained and that changes to them are controlled. It provides a Configuration model of the services, assets, and infrastructure by recording the relationships between service assets and Configuration Items. It also ensures that releases into controlled environments and operational use are completed on the basis of formal approvals. It provides a configuration model of the services, assets, and infrastructure by recording the relationships between service assets and Configuration Items (CIs).

Configuration Management may cover non-IT assets, work products used to develop the services, and Configuration Items required to support the services that are not formally classified as assets. Any component that requires management to deliver an IT Service is considered part of the scope of Configuration Management.

The asset management portion of this process manages service assets across the whole service life cycle, from acquisition to disposal. It also provides a complete inventory of assets and the associated owners responsible for their control.

The Configuration Management portion of this process maintains information about any CI required to deliver an IT service, including its relationships. This information is managed throughout the life cycle of the CI. The objective of Configuration Management is to define and control the components of an IT service and its infrastructure, and to maintain accurate configuration information.




The Configuration Management process manages service assets to support other Service Management processes. Effective Configuration Management facilitates greater system availability, minimizes production issues, and resolves issues more efficiently.

The Configuration Management process ensures that selected components of a complete IT service, system, or product (the Configuration Item) are identified, baselined, and maintained and that changes to them are controlled. It also ensures that releases into controlled environments and operational use are completed on the basis of formal approvals.

Configuration Management comprises five basic activities. The Configuration Management process encompasses all of these activities and ensures that assets are tracked and monitored effectively. The basic activities within the scope of Configuration Management are:

- ["Configuration Management Planning \(process ST 3.1\)" on page 373](#) — includes the activities that enable you to plan the function, scope, and objectives of Service Manager for your organization.
- ["Configuration Identification \(process ST 3.2\)" on page 379](#) — includes the activities that enable you to identify and label all of your company's existing IT components. The information you track includes asset identification, contact, asset network relationship, and model or version data. Enter this information into the database.
- *Inventory maintenance*
  - ["Configuration Control \(process ST 3.3\)" on page 385](#) — includes the activities that enable you to ensure that all information regarding your IT components is kept up to date and accurate. Components can be added, modified, or removed only through controlling documentation, such as an approved Request for Change (RFC).
  - ["Master data management \(process ST 3.6\)" on page 401](#) — includes the activities that enable you to reconcile master reference data managed in other administrations.
- ["Configuration Status Accounting and Reporting \(process ST 3.4\)" on page 389](#) — includes the activities that enable you to run reports of the current and historical data that is concerned with each IT component throughout its life cycle. Status accounting makes changes to components that can be tracked.
- ["Configuration Verification and Audit \(process ST 3.5\)" on page 395](#) — includes the activities that enable you to check and verify physical existence of IT components and ensure that they are correctly recorded in the database.

A general overview of the Configuration Management processes and workflows is depicted in the figure below. They are described in detail in ["Configuration Management Workflows"](#).



## Configuration Management user roles

The following figure describes the responsibilities of the Configuration Management user roles.

### Configuration Management user roles

Role	Responsibilities
Configuration Administrator	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reviews proposed updates to the Configuration Management system (CMS)</li> <li>• Evaluates the pre-modification and post-modification configuration states.</li> <li>• Verifies that CI information is correct and complete and contains a description of attributes to be modified.</li> <li>• Verifies that proposed modifications comply with Configuration Management policies.</li> <li>• Verifies that Configuration details are updated in the Configuration Management database.</li> </ul>
Configuration Auditor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reviews and validates CMS updates and creates exception reports, if needed.</li> <li>• Conducts configuration audits and performs appropriate actions, if an unregistered component is detected or if a component is missing.</li> <li>• Ensures that information in Configuration Management is correct and that all CIs are accurately and completely recorded.</li> </ul>
Configuration Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Manages the Configuration Management plan and policies.</li> <li>• Evaluates any task that requests a change to the CMS data model before the manager releases the task for implementation. For example, the introduction of a new CI into the IT infrastructure would require a request for change and a review of that request prior to implementation of the change.</li> <li>• Verifies that there is no existing CI type that meets the needs of the change and that the proposed data model change does not conflict with other parts of the model.</li> </ul>
CMS/Tools Administrator	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Configures the data model, policies, and CI types in Configuration Management.</li> </ul>

## Input and output for Configuration Management

Configuration activities can be triggered and resolved in several ways. The following table outlines the inputs and outputs for the Configuration Management process.

### Input and output for Configuration Management

Input to Configuration Management	Output from Configuration Management
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Changes required in the Configuration Management System (CMS)</li> <li>Tasks initiated from changes or service requests to create or modify Configurations Items (CIs) and relationships</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Configuration Management plan</li> <li>Configuration Management policies</li> <li>Configuration Management data model (defining CI types and attributes)</li> <li>Configuration reports (for example, overview of CIs, subscriptions, license reports, stock reports, or configuration utilization reports)               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Configuration audit report</li> </ul> </li> <li>Incidents reported due to discrepancies or unauthorized changes detected</li> <li>Creation and modification of CIs and configuration data</li> </ul>

## Key performance indicators for Configuration Management

The Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) in the following table are useful for evaluating your Configuration Management processes. To visualize trend information, it is useful to graph KPI data periodically. Note that some KPIs cannot be reported by using only the data from Service Manager.

### Key Performance Indicators for Configuration Management

Title	Description
% of CIs related to Services	Number of CIs that are related to one or more IT services as a percentage of the total number of registered CIs that can be related to IT services, in a given time period.

**Key Performance Indicators for Configuration Management, continued**

Title	Description
% of CIs related to other CIs	Number of CIs related to one or more other CIs as a percentage of the total number of registered CIs that can be related to other CIs, in a given time period.
% of inaccurate CIs	Number of CIs in the CMS that are registered with inaccurate information as a percentage of the total number of registered CIs, in a given time period.

For completeness, the ITIL V3 and COBIT 4.1 KPIs are included below.

## ITIL V3 key performance indicators

The following are ITIL V3 KPIs for Configuration Management:

- Percentage improvement in maintenance scheduling over the life of an asset
- Degree of alignment between provided maintenance and business support
- Assets identified as the cause of service failures
- Improved speed for Incident Management to identify faulty CIs and restore service
- Impact of incidents and errors affecting particular CI types, for example, from particular suppliers or development groups, for use in improving the IT service
- Percentage reuse and redistribution of under-utilized resources and assets
- Degree of alignment of insurance premiums with business needs
- Ratio of used licenses against paid for licenses (should be close to 100%)
- Average cost per user for licenses (that is, more effective charging options achieved)
- Achieved accuracy in budgets and charges for the assets utilized by each customer or business unit
- Percentage reduction in business impact of outages and incidents caused by Configuration Management
- Improved audit compliance

## COBIT 4.1 key performance indicators

The following are the COBIT 4.1 KPIs for Configuration Management:

- Number of business compliance issues caused by improper configuration of assets
- Number of deviations identified between the configuration repository and actual asset configurations
- Percent of licenses purchased and not accounted for in the repository
- Average lag time period between identifying a discrepancy and rectifying it
- Number of discrepancies relating to incomplete or missing configuration information
- Percent of configuration items meeting specified service levels for performance, security, and availability

## RACI matrix for Configuration Management

A Responsible, Accountable, Consulted, and Informed (RACI) diagram or RACI matrix is used to describe the roles and responsibilities of various teams or people in delivering a project or operating a process. It is especially useful in clarifying roles and responsibilities in cross-functional/departmental projects and processes. The RACI matrix for Configuration Management is shown in the following table.

**RACI matrix for Configuration Management**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Activity</b>	<b>Configuration Manager</b>	<b>CMS/Tools Administrator</b>	<b>Configuration Administrator</b>	<b>Configuration Auditor</b>	<b>Change Coordinator</b>
ST 3.1	Configuration Management Planning	A/R	R			
ST 3.2	Configuration Identification	A/C		R		C/I
ST 3.3	Configuration Control	A/C		R		C/I
ST 3.4	Configuration Status Accounting and Reporting	A/I		R	R	
ST 3.5	Configuration Verification and Audit	A/C		R	R	
ST 3.6	Manage Master Data	A		R		

# Chapter 21: Configuration Management Workflows

The Configuration Management process manages service assets to support other Service Management processes. Effective Configuration Management facilitates greater system availability, minimizes production issues, and resolves issues more efficiently.

The Configuration Management process consists of the following processes, which are included in this chapter:

- ["Configuration Management Planning \(process ST 3.1\)" on the next page](#)
- ["Configuration Identification \(process ST 3.2\)" on page 379](#)
- ["Configuration Control \(process ST 3.3\)" on page 385](#)
- ["Configuration Status Accounting and Reporting \(process ST 3.4\)" on page 389](#)
- ["Configuration Verification and Audit \(process ST 3.5\)" on page 395](#)
- ["Master data management \(process ST 3.6\)" on page 401](#)




## Configuration Management Planning (process ST 3.1)

Infrastructure and services should have an up-to-date Configuration Management plan, which can stand alone or form part of other planning documents. The Configuration Management plan should include or describe the following:

- Scope, objectives, policies, standards, roles, and responsibilities
- Configuration Management processes to provide the following services:
  - Define the Configuration Items that comprise related service(s) and infrastructure
  - Control changes to configurations
  - Record and report the status of Configuration Items
  - Verify the completeness and correctness of Configuration Items according to the requirements for accountability, traceability, and auditability
- Configuration Control (access, protection, version, build, and release controls)
- Interface control process for identifying, recording, and managing CIs and information at the common boundary of two or more organizations (for example, system interfaces and releases)
- Planning and establishing the resources to bring assets and configurations under control and maintain the Configuration Management system (for example, training)
- Management of suppliers and subcontractors performing Configuration Management

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Configuration Management Planning workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



### Configuration Management Planning process

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
ST 3.1.1	Maintain Configuration Management plan	The Configuration Manager maintains the Configuration Management policies, objectives, scope, and principles. Periodically, this plan is reviewed to determine improvements. The Configuration Management plan (ACM plan) also defines the scope and level of detail of Configuration Item (CI) data to be maintained in the CMS. A Configuration Management plan provides the guidelines for documenting and modeling IT services in the CMS (identification of CIs).	Configuration Manager
ST 3.1.2	Configuration model update required?	Determine whether the Configuration model should be updated. If yes, go to ST 3.1.4. If no, go to ST 3.1.9.	Configuration Manager
ST 3.1.3	Review CMS change task	The Configuration Manager receives a task from Configuration Management to update the CMS data model (for example, when a new type of CI is introduced in the IT infrastructure as a result of a release).	Configuration Manager
ST 3.1.4	Update CMS data model	<p>The data model defines the structure and information model of the CMS. This includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Model of IT services (breakdown of services into service components)</li> <li>• CI relationships types</li> <li>• Definition of CI types</li> <li>• Definition of CI attributes</li> <li>• Identification of data sources (such as HR-system or ERP)</li> </ul> <p>The Configuration Manager determines the type of modification that is required for the CMS model.</p>	CMS/Tools Administrator
ST 3.1.5	New CI type	If a new CI type is needed, go to ST 3.1.7. If not, continue with ST 3.1.6.	CMS/Tools

**Configuration Management Planning process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
	needed?		Administrator
ST 3.1.6	Modification of CI type required?	If a modification of the CI type is required, go to ST 3.1.8. If not, continue with ST 3.1.9.	CMS/Tools Administrator
ST 3.1.7	Create new CI type	The CMS/Tools Administrator adds a new CI type (device type). This includes the definition of CI attributes and screen design.	CMS/Tools Administrator
ST 3.1.8	Configure CI types	Create or modify the definition of the CI type. This includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CI subtypes</li> <li>• Attribute definitions</li> <li>• Screen design</li> <li>• CI relationships types</li> <li>• Naming conventions</li> <li>• Business rules on required fields</li> </ul>	CMS/Tools Administrator
ST 3.1.9	Configuration Management policies update required?	The Configuration Administrator determines whether the Configuration Management policies must be updated (to reflect the SCAM plan). If so, go to ST 3.1.12.	Configuration Manager
ST 3.1.10	Maintain	The Configuration Manager maintains the Configuration Management policies. Policies may be	Configuration Manager

### Configuration Management Planning process, continued

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
	Configuration Management policies	<p>applicable for specific asset types (or CI Types) or services. Policies may include business rules and requirements for specific information to be maintained in the CMS (for example, for compliance purposes or to monitor contracts). Policies determine how often a configuration audit is required. Policies also designate which data in a CI may be updated by inventory tools, as well as what actions must be performed if unauthorized software is detected. Other items covered by policies and business rules include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Naming conventions</li> <li>• Labeling rules</li> <li>• Asset capitalization rules (for example, to set the depreciation start date)</li> <li>• Procedures for lost or stolen items</li> </ul>	
ST 3.1.11	Configure Configuration Management policies	Configuration Management policies and requirements are translated into tool settings (for example, required fields, schedule for automated inventory and discovery, and reconciliation rules).	CMS/Tools Administrator
ST 3.1.12	CMS update?	If yes, go to ST 3.3.1. If not, the process is finished.	Configuration Manager

## Configuration Identification (process ST 3.2)

In the Configuration Identification process, the Configuration Administrator selects Configuration Items (CIs), records their identifying characteristics, and assigns unique identifiers to the selected items. This process helps to ensure efficient data storage and retrieval.

Configuration Identification process is enables you to do the following:


- Identify and register CIs
- Assign unique labels
- Record relationship information

Configuration Identification is responsible for collecting information about CIs and their relationships, and for loading this information into Configuration Management. Configuration Identification is also responsible for labeling the CIs, which enables the corresponding configuration records to be found.

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.



The Configuration Identification workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



### Configuration Identification process

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
ST 3.2.1	Validate task for CI creation	The Configuration Administrator reviews the task to verify that all required information to create a new configuration item is complete and correct. Configuration describes a group of CIs that work together to deliver an IT Service, or a recognizable part of an IT Service. The term configuration can also refer to the parameter settings for one or more CIs.	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.2.2	Information correct and complete?	If the information is correct and complete, continue with ST 3.2.3. If not, go to ST 3.2.15 (reject task).	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.2.3	Determine CI type(s) of configuration	Determine the CI type(s) needed to register the CIs. A CI type is used as a template to document the CI, including, attributes and required fields.	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.2.4	Appropriate CI type(s) exist?	A CI can only be registered if the CI type is known and a Configuration Management policy is available for these types. Existing types must match the attributes that need to be managed and allow designation of a person who is responsible for maintaining the CI.  CIs of a registered type can be used as templates for new CIs. If there are existing CI types, continue with ST 3.2.5. If not, go to ST 3.2.11.	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.2.5	Existing reference data?	Verify that the reference data (the product definition from the manufacturer or supplier) for the configuration exist. If there is no reference data, go to ST 3.2.6. If yes, continue with ST 3.2.7.	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.2.6	Create new reference data	Create a reference data.	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.2.7	Create new CI	Create the CIs part of the configuration. One or more CIs can be created. Select the CI type (template). Select the model.	Configuration Administrator

**Configuration Identification process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
ST 3.2.8	Populate configuration details	<p>Enter the required CI attributes, according to the Configuration Management policies. Capture relationships and dependencies between the CIs. Depending upon the CI type and business rules, examples of details include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Serial number location (for example, on stock)</li> <li>• Purchase order number</li> <li>• Receipt date warranty conditions and warranty end date</li> <li>• CI specific attributes</li> </ul>	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.2.9	Register ownership and support groups	<p>All CIs must be assigned to an owner (that is, a reference to an organizational entity such as a cost center) and an administrator (the group responsible for managing the CI during its life cycle). Activities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assign owner</li> <li>• Assign Configuration Administrator (group)</li> <li>• Assign support group for incident assignment (for example, if needed for automated assignment in case of events detected on the device)</li> </ul>	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.2.10	Relate to contract?	<p>Determine related contracts for the components, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Maintenance or support contracts</li> <li>• Financial contracts (for example, lease or rental)</li> <li>• License contract or service contracts (for example, SLA, UC, and OLA)</li> </ul>	Configuration Administrator

**Configuration Identification process, continued**


Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
		If no contracts are relevant for this Configuration, go to ST 3.2.12. If yes, continue with ST 3.2.11 to link the items to the contract.	
ST 3.2.11	Match and relate to contract(s)	Link the CIs to one or more contracts. Capture the inclusion date of the CI to the contract. If needed, inform the Contract Manager of the new items attached to the contract.	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.2.12	Labeling of CI required?	Determine whether CIs need to be labeled according to the Configuration Management policies. If not, go to ST 3.2.14. If yes, continue with ST 3.2.13.	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.2.13	Create and attach label	Create and print a label. Physically attach the label to the CI.	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.2.14	Close Configuration Management task	After completion, the task can be closed. Update closure code.	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.2.15	Reject task	If the task cannot be completed, reject the task. Update the task with reasons and details of any issues found.	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.2.16	Assess reason for rejection	The Change Coordinator assesses the reason for the rejection.	Change Coordinator
ST 3.2.17	Gather and document required details	The Change Coordinator documents the details related to the rejected task.	Change Coordinator

## Configuration Control (process ST 3.3)

In the Configuration Control process, the Configuration Administrator reviews the Configuration Management task for updating the Configuration Management system (CMS) and evaluates the configuration in its premodification and postmodification state. The Configuration Administrator verifies the information is correct and complete, and contains a description of attributes to be modified; the proposed modifications comply with Configuration Management policies; and that the configuration details are updated in the Configuration Management database.

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Configuration Control workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



### Configuration Control process

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
ST 3.3.1	Review CMS Change task	The Configuration Administrator reviews the task for updating the Configuration Management System (CMS).	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.3.2	New CI?	If the task refers to the creation of one or more new CIs, go to ST 3.2.1 and follow the procedure to validate a task for CI creation. If the task is related to the modification of an existing CI, continue with ST 3.3.3.	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.3.3	Information complete and correct?	Verify that all information to update the CIs is available and correct. The task should refer to at least one CI that must be updated. The task contains a description of the attributes to be modified. If not all information is complete and correct, go to ST 3.3.4 (reject task). If yes, continue with ST 3.3.7.	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.3.4	Reject task	If the configuration update cannot be completed, the task is rejected. A reason and recommended actions must be provided.	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.3.5	Assess reason for rejection	The Change Coordinator assesses the reason for the rejection.	Change Coordinator
ST 3.3.6	Gather and document required details	The Change Coordinator documents the details related to the rejected task.	Change Coordinator
ST 3.3.7	Adjust CI details	Modify the configuration details in the Configuration Management database. Configuration modifications can include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Status (items transferred from test to production or to retirement)</li> </ul>	Configuration Administrator



#### Configuration Control process, continued

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Location (moves)</li><li>• Relationships and dependencies</li><li>• Installation of software on the item</li><li>• Transfer of ownership</li><li>• Assign contract to a CI</li></ul>	
ST 3.3.8	Close CMS task	After completion of the configuration updates, the task can be closed.	Configuration Administrator

## Configuration Status Accounting and Reporting (process ST 3.4)

Configuration Status Accounting and Reporting ensures that all configuration data and documentation are recorded as each CI progresses through its life cycle from test to production to retirement. Configuration information should be kept current and made available for planning, decision making, and managing changes to the defined configurations.

Configuration Status Accounting and Reporting keeps track of the following CI status changes:

- New items received (as evidenced by a goods receipt procedure or from development)
- Installation of items
- Transition from test to production

- System down (based upon events)
- Retired or disposed items
- Lost or stolen items
- Unauthorized CIs and Version changes of CIs


Current and accurate configuration records should be maintained to reflect changes in the status, location, and versions of CIs. The history of each CI must be maintained. Changes to CIs are tracked through various states, such as ordered, received, in acceptance test, live, under change, withdrawn, or disposed.

Where required, configuration information should be accessible to users, customers, suppliers, and partners to assist them in their planning and decision making. For example, an external service provider may make configuration information accessible to the customer and other parties to support the other service management processes in an end-to-end service. Archiving procedures should be defined for data related to retired or disposed CIs.

Configuration Management reports should be available to all relevant parties. The reports should cover the identification and status of the CIs, including their versions and associated documentation. A large set of different reports are needed for the different stakeholders (for example, audit reports, software compliance reports, and charge back reports).

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Configuration Status Accounting and Reporting workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



### Configuration Status Accounting and Reporting process

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
ST 3.4.1	Review CI update	<p>Modifications of key attributes of the CI are logged in the history log and verified. During Configuration Identification and control activities, configuration status records are created. These records enable key changes to be visible and traceable. CI attributes that can be logged include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• status (for example, system down)</li> <li>• version number</li> <li>• serial number</li> <li>• installation date</li> <li>• audit status (for example, missing or lost)</li> <li>• removed from a contract</li> </ul> <p>Critical CI changes are logged with entries for reason, date stamp, time stamp, and person recording the status change.</p>	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.4.2	Key policy change?	Determine whether the policy must be reviewed or validated, based on the documented Configuration Management policies (and policies related to finance, procurement, Contract Management, and security).	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.4.3	Inform stakeholders of policy change?	<p>Specific changes must be reported to the stakeholders. These include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Procurement</li> <li>• Finance (for example, by linking to the general ledger)</li> <li>• Contract Manager</li> </ul>	Configuration Auditor

**Configuration Status Accounting and Reporting process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
		Verify that the event must be reported. If not, go to ST 3.4.5. If yes, continue with ST 3.4.4.	
ST 3.4.4	Inform stakeholders	<p>Inform stakeholders of the event (for example, the Contract Manager when an asset is included in the contract, or procurement when an item is received). Examples of events that should trigger stakeholder notification include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Received and accepted items</li> <li>• Installation of the asset (for example, for depreciation start date)</li> <li>• Lost or stolen item</li> <li>• Retirement or disposal of an item (for finance)</li> </ul>	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.4.5	Validate CI update	<p>Confirm that all relevant status data documented in the CI is complete and correct, according to Configuration Management policies derived from agreements, relevant legislation, and standards.</p> <p>Ensure that the status change or version update is a result of an authorized change.</p>	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.4.6	Exception detected?	If the CI update or CI details are not correct or complete according to the Configuration policies, continue with S03.4.7.	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.4.7	Create exception report	Create a new incident (see S0 2.1.11).	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.4.8	Review report request	The Configuration Administrator reviews the request for Configuration Management information.	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.4.9	Standard	Configuration Management has defined a number of standard reports (for example, overview of CIs in	Configuration

**Configuration Status Accounting and Reporting process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
	report?	stock or by status). If this is a standard report, continue with ST 3.4.12. If not, go to ST 3.4.11.	Administrator
ST 3.4.10	Collect data for status reports	Periodically, Configuration Management procedures provide reports for the different stakeholders, such as financial asset managers, contract managers, or procurement.	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.4.11	Configure CI report	If a standard report does not exist, the Configuration Administrator creates a query to select the data to display from the CMS.	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.4.12	Run CI report	The report or query is run against the database. The data is collected in a standard format.	Configuration Administrator
ST 3.4.13	Distribute report to stakeholders	Provide the requested data to the stakeholders. Close the request (if applicable).	Configuration Administrator

## Configuration Verification and Audit (process ST 3.5)

Verification and auditing is responsible for ensuring that information in Configuration Management is accurate and that all Configuration Items (CIs) are identified and recorded in Configuration Management. The process can be conducted manually, or by using automated inventory and discovery tools.

Verification includes routine checks that are part of other processes (for example, verifying the serial number of a desktop PC when a user logs an incident). Audit is a periodic, formal check. You should verify and audit your configurations regularly to ensure proper functioning of the entire Configuration Management process, and for related IT service management processes.

The objective of verification and auditing for Configuration Management is to detect and manage all exceptions to configuration policies, processes, and procedures, including security and license use rights. The verification process ensures that configuration records are accurate and complete, and that any recorded changes are approved. Configuration audits help to maintain the integrity of the Configuration Management System (CMS).

Also included in the configuration and audit process is the periodic review of installed software against the policy for software usage to identify personal or unlicensed software or any software instances in excess of current license agreements.

Configuration Verification and Audit activities include:

- Make sure that baselines and standards match the actual components in the IT environment
- Verify that services and products are built and documented, according to documented requirements, standards, or contractual agreements
- Verify that the correct and authorized versions of any CI exists and is correctly identified and described
- Verify the physical existence of CIs (for example, in the organization, in the Definitive Media Library, or in stock)
- Check that release documentation and configuration administration are present before making a release
- Confirm that the current environment is as expected and documented in the CMS, and that any Change requests are resolved
- Check that configuration modifications are implemented through authorized changes
- Validate the existence of a SLA against each CI
- Verify that CI specifications are compliant with defined configuration policies and baselines
- Validate that all required documentation for each CI is available (for example, maintenance contracts, license records, or warranties)
- Check data quality for accuracy and completeness
- Initiate an incident for discovered unauthorized changes



The following are examples of discrepancies:


- Unauthorized software installed
- Unauthorized access to resources and services (for example, access rights not reflected in subscriptions)
- Discrepancy of status or configuration details, as registered in the CMS, compared with the actual status.

Configuration Verification and Audit processes, both physical and functional, should be scheduled and a check performed to ensure that adequate processes and resources are in place. Benefits of this process include:

- Protection of the physical configurations and the intellectual capital of the organization
- Verification that the service provider is in control of its configurations, master copies, and licenses
- Confidence that configuration information is accurate, controlled, and visible
- Conformance of changes, releases, systems, and IT environments to contracted or specified requirements.
- Accuracy and completeness of configuration records

Configuration audits should be carried out regularly, before and after a major change (or release), after a disaster, and at random intervals. Deficiencies and nonconformities should be recorded, assessed and corrective action initiated, acted on, and reported back to the relevant parties and plan for improving the service. Unauthorized and unregistered items that are discovered during the audit should be investigated and corrective action taken to address possible issues with procedures and the behavior of personnel. All exceptions are logged and reported as incidents. Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

The Configuration Verification and Audit workflow is illustrated in the following figure:



### Configuration Verification and Audit process

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
ST 3.5.1	Audit required?	Configuration audits should be considered before and after a major change or release.	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.5.2	Conduct CI audit	Configuration audits (manual or automated) are scheduled periodically. The audit verifies each individual CI. It uses an automated inventory tool that scans the system. Another method is to scan the IT environment and discover the component connected to the enterprise. New components may be discovered, requiring management in the CMS.	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.5.3	Reconcile and verify data	Collected data from the audit must be reconciled and compared with the data already stored in the CMS. Different reconciliation keys and rules can be applied to match the discovered item with the CI in the CMS.	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.5.4	Unregistered component detected?	An unregistered component may be detected in cases where the item cannot be matched and found in the CMS. If an unregistered component is detected, go to ST 3.5.5. If not, continue with ST 3.5.8.	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.5.5	Component needs to be managed?	Determine whether the new component needs to be registered in the CMS, based on the scope of the CMS. If yes, continue with ST 3.5.6. If no, go to ST 3.5.13.	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.5.6	Determine CI type	The CI type is selected, based on the properties of the discovered component (for example, model name or type of device).	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.5.7	Register new CI	Create a new CI. Enter the additional attributes of the CI, based on the audit data. Go to ST 3.5.13.	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.5.8	Component missing?	If a component cannot be discovered during an audit, it may be lost or stolen (for example, the CI has not been connected to the network for some period of time). The audit status is updated to Lost. If yes, continue with ST 3.5.13. If no, continue with ST 3.5.9.	Configuration Auditor

**Configuration Verification and Audit process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
ST 3.5.9	Discrepancy found?	Based upon the comparison between the CMS administration and the actual data from the audit, one or more discrepancies may be detected. If yes, continue with ST 3.5.10. If not, continue with ST 3.5.15.	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.5.10	Investigate discrepancy	The mismatch between the CMS administration and the actual configuration is investigated in more detail. For each discrepancy, attribute differences and relationships are investigated.	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.5.11	Update CI allowed?	To reduce the number of manual activities, some fields are populated by discovery and auditing tools. These attributes will not be maintained manually. Determine whether the differences can be updated directly without a formal change procedure. If yes, continue with ST 3.6.12. If no, go to ST 3.5.13.	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.5.12	Update CI details	The configuration details are updated, based on the audit date to ensure that the administration is correctly reflecting the actual situation.	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.5.13	Unauthorized change and/or needs investigation?	Determine whether the mismatch between the audit and the CMS administration requires further investigation (for example, detection of unauthorized software). If yes, go to ST 3.5.14. If no, continue with ST 3.5.15.	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.5.14	Determine corrective action	Document the discrepancy and determine the appropriate actions (for example, additional investigation is needed). An incident must be created and assigned to the person responsible for executing the actions. Follow SO 2.1.11 to create a new incident.	Configuration Auditor
ST 3.5.15	Update audit log	The CI is updated with the audit status and last audit date.	Configuration Auditor

## Master data management (process ST 3.6)


Master reference data is key data that the Configuration Management System (CMS) depends on and is often provided by different organizational functions, such as human resources management, finance, and facilities. For example, master data can include details about organization units, cost centers, employee data, and locations.

The objective of the Master data management process is to reconcile master reference data managed in other administrations. Modification of this reference data is processed in the (CMS).

Changes in organizational structures, locations, and employee data might result in exceptions or incidents, because existing Configuration Items (CIs) and contracts remain associated with these entities (for example, the retirement of an employee who still has a laptop or mobile phone assigned). Modification of this data must be reviewed and appropriate actions should be initiated.

Details for this process can be seen in the following figure and table.

Master data management workflows is illustrated in the following figure:



### Master data management process

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
ST 3.6.1	Validate data sets	Periodically data sets are received from trusted sources. The Configuration Administrator checks the format and content against the defined specifications.	System Administrator Configuration Administrator
ST 3.6.2	Import location data?	If you want to import location data, continue with ST 3.6.3. If not, go to ST 3.6.4.	System Administrator Configuration Administrator
ST 3.6.3	Reconcile location data	Import and load location data into the CMS.	System Administrator Configuration Administrator
ST 3.6.4	Import organizational data?	If you want to import organizational data, continue with ST 3.6.5. If not, go to ST 3.6.6.	System Administrator Configuration Administrator
ST 3.6.5	Reconcile organizational data	Import and load organizational data into the CMS.	System Administrator Configuration Administrator
ST 3.6.6	Import	If you want to import employee data, continue with ST 3.6.7. If not, stop.	System

**Master data management process, continued**

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
	employee data?		Administrator Configuration Administrator
ST 3.6.7	Reconcile employee data	Import and load employee data into the CMS.	System Administrator Configuration Administrator
ST 3.6.8	Item retired or obsolete?	Verify that one or more items in the data set are retired or no longer present. Make sure to update the status of items in the CMS.	System Administrator Configuration Administrator
ST 3.6.9	Check related CIs	<p>Verify that one or more CIs are still related to retired items in the modified master data record. For example, a retired user may still have one or more subscriptions or CIs for which that user is responsible. Updates of interest include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Status updates (for example, retirement)</li> <li>• Job profile changes (for validating access rights and related current subscriptions)</li> <li>• Reorganizations (for example, merge or split of departments)</li> <li>• Cost center changes</li> </ul> <p>Master data modifications must be verified to ensure that these updates do not conflict with configuration administration.</p>	System Administrator Configuration Administrator



**Master data management process, continued**

<b>Process ID</b>	<b>Procedure or Decision</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Role</b>
ST 3.6.10	Related active CI?	If there is a related active CI, continue with ST 3.6.11. If not, go to ST 3.6.12.	System Administrator Configuration Administrator
ST 3.6.11	Determine corrective actions	Follow the procedure to create a new incident (see SO 2.1.11).	System Administrator Configuration Administrator
ST 3.6.12	Create data reconciliation report	Create a report with a summary of data modifications and reconciliation errors, which includes statistics of the number of modifications (for example, new items and retired items).	System Administrator Configuration Administrator

## Chapter 22: Configuration Management Details

HP Service Manager uses the Configuration Management application to enable the Configuration Management process. The main function of Configuration Management is to identify, baseline, and maintain the Configuration Items (CIs) and to control changes to them. It also ensures that formal approvals guide releases into controlled environments and operational uses.

This section explains to the administrator or developer how selected Configuration Management fields are implemented in the out-of-box Service Manager system.

Topics in this section include:

- ["MyDevices configuration item form" below](#)
- ["Configuration Management form details" on the next page](#)

### MyDevices configuration item form

The Configuration Manager can view and edit details about a CI on the Configuration item form.

The MyDevices configuration item form is illustrated in the following screenshot:

▼ Configuration Item Details

CI Name

CI10013

CI Identifier

\* MyDevices

Asset Tag

Status

\*

Owner

Config admin group

\* Hardware

Support Groups

Support Remarks

Part Number

Service Contract

Manufacturer

Model

Version

Serial Number

CI Type

bizservice

CI Subtype

Business Service

Environment

Security classification

SOX classification

Export control classification

IT service continuity plan enabled

Critical CI

Priority

Default Impact

User Base

System Down

Pending Change

Allow Subscribe

Calculate Related Record Count

Title

Description

# Configuration Management form details

The following table identifies and describes the fields on the Configuration Management forms.

**Configuration Management field descriptions**

Label	Description
CI Identifier	The name of the CI. This is a required field.
CI Name	System-generated field that specifies the unique ID of the configuration item (CI).

**Configuration Management field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
Asset Tag	This is a legacy field intended for customers migrating from previous versions of Service Manager to track the label or tag placed on physical assets, such as for example, a bar code.
Status	<p>This field specifies the status of the CI. The out-of-box data is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Available</li> <li>• Planned/On order</li> <li>• Received</li> <li>• In Stock</li> <li>• Reserved</li> <li>• In use</li> <li>• Maintenance</li> <li>• Disposed/Retired</li> <li>• Installed</li> </ul> <p>The field is updated manually to reflect the current status of the CI. This is a required field. The Installed status is the default status.</p>
Owner	This field identifies the department that owns the CI, for example, the HR department could own the laptops that its employees use.
Config admin group	This field identifies the group responsible for supporting the CI while the Owner identifies the department that owns the CI. For example, a PC is owned by the HR department, but IT is the Config admin group responsible for supporting the CI. It is the assignment group responsible for handling interactions or incidents for the CI. This is a required field.
Support Groups	This field identifies what assignment groups receive when this CI is part of an interaction as well as when escalating to an incident.
Support Remarks	This field is a comment field intended to describe or provide notes for the support groups.
Part Number	This field specifies the inventory component number for the CI as defined by the company-defined CI inventory number in the model table. The system uses this number to provide data on the Manufacturer, Model, and Version fields if

**Configuration Management field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
	available.
Service Contract	This field specifies the service contract covering the CI.
Manufacturer	This is a system-generated field that specifies the manufacturer of the CI if one is associated with the Part Number. This field along with model and serial number uniquely identify the CI.
Model	This is a system-generated field that specifies the manufacturer's model if one is associated with the Part Number. This field along with manufacturer and serial number uniquely identify the item.
Version	This field specifies the manufacturer's version number for the CI.
Serial Number	This field specifies the manufacturer's serial number for the CI.
Title	This field specifies the title of the CI owner; for example Mr. or Mrs.
Description	This field is a free-form text field to add additional information about the CI.
CI Type	<p>This field identifies the type of CI. The out-of-box data is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Application</li> <li>• Business Service</li> <li>• CI Group</li> <li>• Computer</li> <li>• Display Device</li> <li>• Example</li> <li>• Furnishings</li> <li>• Hand Held Devices</li> <li>• Mainframe</li> <li>• Network Components</li> <li>• Office Electronics</li> <li>• Software License</li> <li>• Storage</li> </ul>

**Configuration Management field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Telecommunications</li> </ul> <p>The Managed State section displays different fields depending on the CI type selected.</p>
CI Subtype	<p>This field identifies the subtype of CI. The list of available subtypes depends upon the CI Type the user selected. For more information, see the <a href="#">"Configuration Item types and subtypes" on page 416</a> table.</p>
Environment	<p>This field specifies if a CI belongs to a particular environment. The out-of-box data is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Development</li> <li>• Test</li> <li>• Production</li> <li>• Failover</li> <li>• None</li> </ul>
Security classification	<p>This field specifies if the CI has any security restrictions. The out-of-box data is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Unrestricted</li> <li>• Restricted</li> <li>• Confidential</li> <li>• Most Confidential</li> </ul>
SOX classification	<p>This field specifies if the CI has a Sarbanes Oxley (SOX) classification that applies to the CI. The out-of-box data is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Critical</li> <li>• Non Critical</li> </ul>
Export control classification	<p>This field specifies if the CI has an Export Control classification. The out-of-box data is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EAR99 (Non Controlled)</li> <li>• 4D994</li> <li>• 5D991</li> </ul>

**Configuration Management field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5D002</li> <li>• 5D992</li> </ul>
IT service continuity plan enabled	This field specifies if the CI has an IT service continuity plan enabled for it.
Critical CI	This field specifies if the CI is critical for day-to-day operation, such as an E-mail server or RDBMS server. If you open an incident on a critical CI, the incident indicates that this is a critical CI.
Priority	<p>This field specifies the default priority of any related records opened against the CI. The information in this field is used to prepopulate the priority in an incident or interaction. When a user selects the CI in an incident or interaction, it populates the incident or interaction priority based on the CI priority field. The out-of-box data is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1 - Critical</li> <li>• 2 - High</li> <li>• 3 - Average</li> <li>• 4 - Low</li> </ul> <p>For additional information, see the <a href="#">"Incident Management form details" on page 121</a> table.</p>
Default Impact	<p>This field specifies the default impact of any related record opened against the CI. The information in this field is used to prepopulate the impact in an incident or interaction. When a user selects the CI in an incident or the interaction, it populates the incident or interaction impact based on the CI Default Impact field. The out-of-box data is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1 - Enterprise</li> <li>• 2 - Site/Dept</li> <li>• 3 - Multiple Users</li> <li>• 4 - User</li> </ul> <p>For additional information, see the <a href="#">"Incident Management form details" on page 121</a> table.</p>

**Configuration Management field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
Calculate Related Record Counts	Clicking this button displays a count of related incidents, problems, known errors, and changes that were opened against this CI.
User Base	This field displays a count of the number of users who use the CI.
System Down	This field indicates whether the CI is currently operational or has an open incident related to it causing it to be non-operational. When you close the incident for the CI, this action clears the flag. The CI is no longer marked as down.
Pending Change	This field indicates whether or not there are any changes pending against this CI. When you close or open a change for the CI, this action sets or clears the flag.
Allow Subscribe	This field determines if the CI is available for subscriptions from the Service Catalog.
Baseline > Baseline	This field indicates if the CI has an associated baseline and if the CI is in compliance.
Baseline > Baseline Version	This field indicates the baseline version that the CI is tracked against. Baseline Versions enable you to have CIs with the same baseline configuration but slight differences. You can have several versions of that baseline, or if you have updates for a new version of a software installed, then you can select a specific version of a baseline for a CI.
Managed State	This section lists the expected values of CI attributes. All changes to fields in the Managed State section require a Change Management record. See the <a href="#">"Managed State subsections" on page 419</a> table for the Managed State subsection field descriptions.
Actual State	This section lists the actual values of CI attributes if the Service Manager system has an integration to HP Universal CMDB. It shows the latest discovered information from the UCMDB or its sources.
CI Changes > Pending Attribute Changes	This field lists the attributes that are waiting to be changed through a Change Management record or changes requested through an Unplanned Change (requires an HP Universal CMDB integration). The data in this field can only be modified through Change Management. Each CI has a set of managed attributes that can be changed through Change Management.
CI Changes > Historic Attribute Changes	This field lists the attributes that have already been changed through a Change Management record or changes requested through an Unplanned Change (requires an HP Universal CMDB integration).



**Configuration Management field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
Relationships > Upstream Relationships > Upstream Configuration Item, Relationship Name, Relationship Type, Relationship Subtype	This field shows information about which upstream CIs are dependent on the selected CI. Upstream CIs depend on the current CI. For example, the upstream E-mail service depends on the downstream E-mail server, the network, and your E-mail program.
Relationships > Upstream Relationships > Add	This option links to the add a new CI relationship record that enables you to add a new upstream relationship to this CI.
Relationships > Upstream Relationships > View Relationship Type (All, Logical, Physical)	<p>This option provides different views of upstream CI relationships for the specified CI.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All: displays all upstream CI relationships for this CI that are either physical or logical.</li> <li>• Logical: displays all upstream logical CI relationships for the specified CI. A logical connection means that you can access the CI but there is no direct physical connections to other CIs. For example, a network printer that you use.</li> <li>• Physical: displays all upstream physical CI relationships for the specified CI. A physical connection is when a CI is directly attached to another device. For example, a PC connected to a dedicated printer with printer cable.</li> </ul> <p>To view ALL/Logical/Physical upstream relationships of the specified CI, select an option in the View Relationship Type field and then click <b>Filter</b>. A list of CI relationship records displays. Click <b>Cancel</b> in a CI relationship record to return to the specified CI.</p>
Relationships > Downstream Relationships > Relationship Name, Relationship	This option shows the CIs that have a downstream dependency on this CI. For example, the upstream E-mail service depends on the downstream E-mail server, the network, and your E-mail program.

**Configuration Management field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
Type, Relationship Subtype	
Relationships > Downstream Relationships > Add	This option links to the add a new CI relationship record that enables you to add a new downstream relationship to this CI.
Relationships > Downstream Relationships > View Relationship Type (All, Logical, Physical)	<p>This option provides different views of downstream CI relationships for the specified CI.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All: displays all downstream CI relationships for this CI that are either physical or logical.</li> <li>• Logical: displays all downstream logical CI relationships for the specified CI. A logical connection means that you can access the CI but there is no direct physical connections to other CIs. For example, a network printer that you use.</li> <li>• Physical: displays all downstream physical CI relationships for the specified CI. A physical connection is when a CI is directly attached to another device. For example, a PC connected to a dedicated printer with printer cable.</li> </ul> <p>To view ALL/Logical/Physical downstream relationships of the specified CI, select an option in the View Relationship Type field and then click <b>Filter</b>. A list of CI relationship records displays. Click <b>Cancel</b> in a CI relationship record to return to the specified CI.</p>
Relationship Graph	This section displays a graphical representation of the upstream and downstream relationships for the CI.
Software > Applications & Drivers	This section displays information about the software and drivers installed on the CI. For example, a PC might list Microsoft Office and Adobe Reader along with the version, install date, and license ID for each. An Administrator enters this data using the Managed Software menu.
CI Owner > Primary Contact & Support Contacts	This field displays the CI owner who is the person assigned the CI and uses it on a day-to-day basis. Support contacts are secondary contacts who may have access to the CI. For example, a subscriber would be a department for a printer, but the users would be all the people who use the printer to print. The CI owner is the person who is responsible for the printer, such as the department manager.
Subscribers > Subscriber, Type,	This is a system-generated section that shows all the subscriptions (people or departments) made against the CI, and the status of the subscription. Example:

**Configuration Management field descriptions, continued**

Label	Description
Status	People and departments can subscribe to Services or CIs. When looking at an interaction, the Service Desk Agent views a list of all the CIs the caller is subscribed to, and their current status.
Location > Location Information & Location Comments	This section describes the physical location of the CI and may include information such as special access requirements (for example, you may require badge access or you may need to be accompanied by authorized personnel in some locations). For example, the location information might contain, Australia, Home Site, main building, second floor, room 3.
Vendor > Vendor Information & Contract and Response Information	This section provides Vendor Information and Contract and Response Information about the CI for support and maintenance. When the user enters the vendor name, the system automatically provides the additional details.
Audit > Audit Policy, Audit Status, Audit Discrepancy, Last Audit Date, Next Scheduled Audit, Last Audited By	These fields display auditing information and are only enabled for those users who have the capability to audit CIs. The user role is Configuration Auditor.
Metrics > Outage History, Uptime Objectives, Max Duration Objectives	This section displays information related to the SLA and SLO availability data for the CI.
Financial > Contracts, Expense Lines, Labor, Parts	This section displays information for the service contracts, parts, labor, and expenses for the CI.
Attachments	This section displays the Filename and Size of each attachment of the CI record. Users can add new attachments using the <b>Add File</b> button and remove any existing attachments by clicking the remove links.

## Configuration Item types and subtypes

The following table lists the types and subtypes available for the out-of box Configuration Item (CI) Names.

### Configuration Item types and subtypes

CI Name	CI Type	CI Subtype
Application	application	Anti-Virus / Security Back-up Business Development Tools Entertainment Graphics Internet/Web Networking Operating System Reference Other
Business Service	bizservice	Business Service Application Service Infrastructure Service
CI Group	cigroup	Ad Hoc Baseline
Computer	computer	Desktop Dumb Terminal Laptop  Tower MAC Server Host VAX Windows Unix Mainframe Logical Partition Terminal Server
Display Device	displaydevice	Monitor Projector

**Configuration Item types and subtypes, continued**

CI Name	CI Type	CI Subtype
Example	example	
Furnishings	furnishings	Artwork Armoire Bookcase Chair Computer Desk Desk Collection File Cabinet Meeting Table
Hand Held Devices	handhelds	PDA Cell Phone Pager Blackberry Device GPS Device
Mainframe	mainframe	Controller Host CPU FEP NCP LPAR
Network Components	networkcomponents	Router Hub Switch Modem Network Interface Card Gateway Firewall Network Component ATM Switch RAS LB Concentrator Net Device Switch Router
Office Electronics	officeelectronics	Copy Machine Printer Fax Machine Paper Shredder

**Configuration Item types and subtypes, continued**

CI Name	CI Type	CI Subtype
		Camera Speaker Calculator Multifunction Word Processor Typewriter VCR Television UPS Net Printer
Software License	softwarelicense	DBMS License Development Tool License Enterprise Management License Operating System License Outlook Productivity Tools License Project Management License Utility Software License
Storage	storage	CDRW Direct Attached Storage (DAS) HDD Network Attached Storage (NAS) Storage Area Network (SAN) ZIP CD Burner
Telecommunications	telcom	Desk Phone Flush Wall Mount Headsets & Accessories NBX PBX Paging Solution Surface Mount

## Managed State subsections

The Managed State section uses subsections to display data about each CI. There are three subsections for this purpose. The Network subsection and the Additional subsection are used for all CI types. The third subsection depends upon the CI and CI type selected. For example, the Adobe Reader is an application CI type and therefore includes the Application subsection in the Managed State section.

The following table outlines the subsections and fields available for the different CI types.

### Managed State subsections

Sub-Tab	Visible Condition	Field Label	Field Name
Hardware	Type: computer or Type: networkcomponents or Type: officeelectronics	Machine Name Primary MAC Address Additional MAC Addresses OS Name OS Manufacturer OS Version Bios ID Bios Manufacturer Bios Model Physical Memory (Kb)	machine.name mac.address addlMacAddress operating.system os.manufacturer os.version bios.id bios.manufacturer bios.model physical.mem.total
Network	true	Network Name Primary IP Address Subnet Mask Default Gateway Configuration File Addl IP Address Addl Subnet Mask	network.name ip.address subnet.mask default.gateway config.file addlIPAddress addlSubnet
Application	Type: application	Application Name Administration URL/Port Business Import Level Disaster/Recovery Coverage Disaster/Recovery Tier Primary Directory Path Data Classification Product Version License Type Service Hours Notification Group	ci.name admin.urlport business.import. level disaster.coverage recovery.tier primary.path data.classification product.version license.type service.hours notification.groups

**Managed State subsections, continued**

Sub-Tab	Visible Condition	Field Label	Field Name
Database	Type: database	Data Privacy Data Classification Port Number Disaster/Recovery Coverage Disaster/Recovery Tier Administration URL/Port Product Version Listener Access Port Notification Group	data.privacy recorvery.tier port.number NULL recorvery.tier admin.urlport product.version listener.port notification.group
Telecom	Type: telecom	Admin ID Admin Password Remote Access Phone Remote Access IP Voice type Disaster/Recovery Coverage Disaster/Recovery Tier Grid Login Server Name Monitored	admin.id admin.password remote.phone remote.ip NULL disaster.recovery recorvery.tier grid login.server.name monitored
Service	Type: bizservice	Service Name Service Type Service Status Allow Subscriptions Administration URL/Port Business Import Level Disaster/Recovery Coverage Disaster/Recovery Tier Primary Directory Path	ci.name subtype service.status allowSubscription admin.urlport NULL NULL recorvery.tier primary.path
Additional	true	Manufacturer Name Type Description	addl.manufacturer addl.name addl.type addl.description



# Chapter 25: Service Level Management Overview

You can use Service Level Management (SLM) to improve the quality of services that you provide to customers. You can also use Service Level Management to quantify the financial benefits in reduced incidents, outages, and time invested in system failures and downtime. Service Level Management collects performance information automatically to track service guarantees. Service Level Management enables you to achieve the following results:

- Ensure compliance with service and process targets set in the Service Level Agreement
- Report performance information to track the effectiveness and efficiency of managed services
- Detect and track failures of service guarantees
- Quantify costs associated with planned and unplanned service outages

Service Level Management uses the Service Level Targets (SLTs) to measure operational activities in other HP Service Manager applications .

This section describes how Service Level Management implements the best practice guidelines for the Service Level Management processes.

Topics in this section include:

["Service Level Management within the ITIL Framework" below](#)

["Service Level Management Application" on the next page](#)

["Input and output for Service Level Management" on the next page](#)

["Key performance indicators for Service Level Management" on page 424](#)

["Service Level Management Roles" on page 425](#)

["RACI matrix for Service Level Management" on page 427](#)

## Service Level Management within the ITIL Framework

The Service Level Management process is described in ITIL's Service Design publication.

The document describes Service Level Management as a vital process for every IT Service to document Service Level Targets and responsibilities within Service Agreements. The purpose of the SLM process is to ensure that all IT Services are delivered to agreed and achievable targets.

By using Service Level Management in HP Service Manager each IT Service Provider has a consistent interface to the business for all service level related data. The business gets up to date information about performance against the agreed service level targets. The operational teams are aligned to the agreed service targets, which will help to reduce breached targets. For breached targets, SLM provides the underlying data to facilitate the analysis of the cause of breaches. SLM provides the required tools to facilitate the prevention of breaches from re-occurrence. Furthermore, SLM provides reliable documentation features to efficiently communicate with the business .

## Service Level Management Application

The impetus for a robust Service Level Management system is delivering value to the business by improving the quality of service , reduced number of incidents, and increased customer satisfaction. Over time, you can quantify the financial benefits in reduced incidents, outages, and time invested in system failures and downtime. The time and money recovered can be invested in improved customer relationships, more sophisticated monitoring, better training, and improved business efficiency.

Service management best practices describe the goals for Service Level Management (SLM) as follows:

The goal for SLM is to maintain and improve IT Service quality, through a constant cycle of agreeing, monitoring and reporting upon IT Service achievements and instigation of actions to eradicate poor service—in line with business or cost justification. Through these methods, a better relationship between IT and its customers can be developed.

HP Service Manager supports these goals by providing a service management best practices compliant application framework with a built-in workflow. The primary Service Level Management goals are the following:

- Tracking of Service Targets for Service and CIs, which includes planned and unplanned outages
- Proactive tracking of Process Targets, which tracks the amount of time it takes for the incident, service desk interaction, or change request to advance to the next state (For example, the amount of time required for an incident record status to change from Open to Work in Progress.)

Configuring Service Level Targets within SLA, OLAs or UCs, are the supporting elements which help Service Level Management to accomplish these goals.

## Input and output for Service Level Management

A number of sources are relevant for the Service Level Management process.

Input	Output
Business information: from the organization's business strategy, plans, and financial plans and information on their current and future requirements	Service reports: providing details of the service levels achieved in relation to the targets contained within SLAs. These reports should contain details of all aspects of the service and its delivery, including current and historical performance, breaches and weaknesses, major events, changes planned, current and predicted workloads, customer feedback, and improvement plans and activities
Business Impact Analysis: providing information on the impact, priority, risk and number of users associated with each service	Service Improvement Plan (SIP): an overall program or plan of prioritized improvement actions, encompassing all services and all processes, together with associated impacts and risks
Business requirements: details of any agreed, new or changed business requirements	The Service Quality Plan (SQP): documenting and planning the overall improvement of service quality
The strategies, policies and constraints from Service Strategy	Service Level Agreements (SLAs): a set of targets and responsibilities should be documented and agreed within an SLA for each operational service
The Service Portfolio and Service Catalog	Service Level Requirements (SLRs): a set of targets and responsibilities should be documented and agreed within an SLR for each proposed new or changed service.
Change information: from the Change Management process with a forward schedule of changes and a need to assess all changes for their impact on all services	Operational Level Agreements (OLAs): a set of targets and responsibilities should be documented and agreed within an OLA for each internal support team
CMS: containing information on the relationships between the business services, the supporting services and the technology	Reports on OLAs and underpinning contracts
Customer and user feedback, complaints and compliments	Service review meeting minutes and actions: all meetings should be scheduled on a regular basis, with planned agendas and their discussions and actions recorded and progressed
Information and input from any of the other processes (for example, Incident Management, Capacity Management, and Availability Management),	SLA review and service scope review meeting minutes: summarizing agreed actions and revisions to SLAs and service scope

Input	Output
together with the existing SLAs, SLRs, and OLAs and past service reports on the quality of service delivered.	Revised contracts: changes to SLAs or new SLRs may require existing underpinning contracts to be changed, or new contracts to be negotiated and agreed.

## Key performance indicators for Service Level Management

The Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) in the following table are useful for evaluating your indicators for Service Level Management. To visualize trend information, it is useful to graph KPI data periodically. In addition to the data provided by HP Service Manager, you may need additional tools to report on all of your KPI requirements.

Title	Description
% of SLAs / OLAs / UCs that meet expectations	This KPI gives the user the ability to review the SLAs, OLAs and UCs, which met their target.
% of breached SLAs / OLAs / UCs	This KPI gives the user the ability to review the SLAs, OLAs and UCs, which did not met their target.

## ITIL recommended KPIs

- Percentage reduction in SLA targets missed
- Percentage reduction in SLA targets threatened
- Percentage increase in customer perception and Satisfaction of SLA achievements, via service reviews and Customer Satisfaction Survey responses
- Percentage reduction in SLA breaches caused because of third-party support contracts (underpinning contracts)
- Percentage reduction in SLA breaches caused because of internal Operational Level Agreements (OLAs).

Deliver service as previously agreed at affordable costs:

- Total number and percentage increase in fully documented SLAs in place
- Percentage increase in SLAs agreed against operational services being run
- Percentage reduction in the costs associated with service provision
- Percentage reduction in the cost of monitoring and reporting of SLAs
- Percentage increase in the speed of developing and agreeing appropriate SLAs
- Frequency of service review meetings.

Manage business interface:

- Increased percentage of services covered by SLAs
- Documented and agreed SLM processes and procedures are in place
- Reduction in the time taken to respond to and implement SLA requests
- Increased percentage of SLA reviews completed on time
- Reduction in the percentage of outstanding SLAs for annual renegotiation
- Reduction in the percentage of SLAs requiring corrective changes (for example, targets not attainable; changes in usage levels). Care needs to be taken when using this KPI
- Percentage increase in the coverage of OLAs and third-party contracts in place, whilst possibly reducing the actual number of agreements (consolidation and centralization)
- Documentary evidence that issues raised at service and SLA reviews are being followed up and resolved
- Reduction in the number and severity of SLA breaches
- Effective review and follow-up of all SLA, OLA and underpinning contract breaches.

## Service Level Management Roles

Role	Responsibilities
Service Level Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Accountable for the definition, management, governance and improvement of the Service Level Management Process</li></ul>

Role	Responsibilities
Process Owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensures that the Service Level Management process and working practices are effective and efficient</li> <li>Ensures that all stakeholders are sufficiently involved in the Service Level Management process</li> <li>Ensures that (business) management is sufficiently informed as to the volume, impact and cost of SLAs, OLAs and UCs</li> <li>Ensures tight linkage between the Service Level Management process and other related processes</li> </ul>
Service Level Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensures that the customer's current and future Service requirements are identified, understood and documented in SLAs and SLRs</li> <li>Drafts and ensures sign off of the SDD</li> <li>Negotiates and agrees on the levels of Service to be delivered with the customer (either internal or external); formally documenting these levels of Service in SLAs</li> <li>Negotiates and agrees to OLAs and, in some cases, other SLAs and agreements that underpin the SLAs</li> <li>Ensures that targets agreed to within Underpinning Contracts are aligned with SLA and SLR targets</li> <li>Ensures that Service Performance Reviews are regularly performed, and any required actions are performed</li> <li>Ensures that improvement initiatives identified in Service Reviews are acted on and progress reports are provided to customers</li> </ul>
Service Owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides the correct relationship information for the Services and CIs in the Service Catalog</li> <li>Reports any discrepancies in the Service Catalog details and the actual environment into the Service Catalog maintenance process</li> <li>Provides input for Service Catalog maintenance process improvement</li> </ul>
Supplier Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Produces requests for proposal in cooperation with all the stakeholders (Service Level Manager, Business Manager, etc)</li> <li>Selects suppliers based on the evaluation of proposal they supply and</li> </ul>

Role	Responsibilities
	<p>feedback from stakeholders</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drafts and negotiates SLAs, Contracts, Agreements or any other documents for Third-Party Suppliers</li> <li>• Ensures the targets agreed within contracts are aligned with SLR targets</li> <li>• Ensures that SLA breaches of each supplier are highlighted, investigated and action(s) taken to prevent their recurrence</li> </ul>
Service Level Analyst	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensures that Service reports are produced for each customer Service</li> <li>• Performs gap analysis to highlight breaches of SLA targets, investigating reasons and recommending actions to prevent their recurrence</li> <li>• Plans and schedules the Service Performance Review meetings and document the results and actions</li> </ul>
Support Groups	Implement improvement initiatives identified in Service Reviews
Customer	Responsible for the purchase of goods or Services
User	Consumes goods or services

## RACI matrix for Service Level Management

A Responsible, Accountable, Consulted, and Informed (RACI) diagram or RACI matrix is used to describe the roles and responsibilities of various teams or people in delivering a project or operating a process. The RACI matrix for Service Level Management is shown in the table below.

Process ID	Activity	Service Level Manager	Supplier Manager	Service Owner	Customer	Service Level Analyst	Resolver Groups
SD 2.1	Maintain the Service Catalog	A/R		C	C		
SD 2.2	Create or Amend IT Services	A/R	R	R	C		
SD 2.3	Draft Supporting Agreements	A/R	R	R			
SD 2.4	Finalise SLAs /	A/R	R	R	C/I		

Process ID	Activity	Service Level Manager	Supplier Manager	Service Owner	Customer	Service Level Analyst	Resolver Groups
	OLAs / UCs						
SD 2.5	Monitor Service Levels	A/R	I	I	C		
SD 2.6	Service Level Reporting	A/R				R	
SD 2.7	Perform Service Reviews	A/R			C	R	
SD 2.8	Service Improvement Programme	A/R		R	C		R
SD 2.9	Review / Revise SLA / OLA / UC	A/R				R	



# Chapter 26: Service Level Management

## Workflow and Workflow Interpretations

The Service Level Management process includes all necessary steps to create and maintain Service offerings including the management of

- Service Level Agreements between business and IT
- Operational Level Agreements between IT and IT
- Underpinning contracts between IT and external providers.

In addition Service Level management process includes the activities like

- Service Level Reporting, incl. measurement of the service performance
- Production of service reports
- Conduction of service reviews with identification of improvement opportunities documented in the SIP or SQP
- The assessment of customer satisfaction with logging of complaints and compliments

The Service Level Management process can consist of following activities:

["Updating the Service Catalog \(SD 2.1\)" on page 431](#)

["Create or Amend IT Services \(SD 2.2\)" on page 432](#)

["SLRs and draft OLAs and UCs \(SD 2.3\)" on page 434](#)

["Finalize SLRs/OLAs/UCs \(SD 2.4\)" on page 437](#)


["Monitor Service Levels \(SD 2.5\)" on page 439](#)

["Service Level Reporting \(SD 2.6\)" on page 442](#)

["Perform Service Reviews \(SD 2.7\)" on page 443](#)

["Service Improvement Plan \(SD 2.8\)" on page 446](#)


["Review and Revise SLAs/OLAs/UCs \(SD 2.9\)" on page 449](#)



## Updating the Service Catalog (SD 2.1)

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SD 2.1.1	Evaluate request to review the Service Catalog	The Service Level Manager reviews the request and any relevant information to determine whether or not the request is valid.  Go to SD 2.1.2 to determine whether the request is valid.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.1.2	Is the request valid?	If yes, go to SD 2.1.3 to review the Service Catalog. If no, go to SD 2.1.9 to feedback to the Customer.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.1.3	Review Service Catalog	Determine what changes may be required to the Service Catalog and SDD. The Service Owner and Customer may be involved in the review.  Go to SD 2.1.4 to collate updates to the SDD and Service Catalog.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.1.4	Collate updates to SDD and Service Catalog	Compile updates to the SDD and Service Catalog.  Go to SD 2.1.5 to determine whether an RFC exists.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.1.5	Does an RFC exist to update the Service Catalog?	If yes, go to SD 2.1.6 to determine whether the SLA / OLA / UC need to be revised or reviewed.  If no, go to Change Logging (ST 2.1.9) to create a new Change record.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.1.6	SLA / OLA / UC Revision or review needed?	If yes, go to SD 2.9.1 to identify any agreements to be reviewed and/or revised.  If no, go to SD 2.1.7 to update the Service Catalog review date.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.1.7	Update Service Catalog review date	Update the Service Catalog to show that it has been reviewed and record the next scheduled review date.  Go to SD 2.1.8 to distribute documentation.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.1.8	Distribute documentation	Once the RFC to change the Service Catalog has been confirmed as successful, the new documents (including the SDD and Service Catalog) are circulated to the relevant	Service Level Manager

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
		stakeholders.  The Maintain the Service Catalog process ends.	
SD 2.1.9	Feedback to Customer	The customer is advised that the request to amend the Service Catalog is not valid and provided with the reason.  The Maintain the Service Catalog process ends.	Service Level Manager




## Create or Amend IT Services (SD 2.2)

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SD 2.2.1	Define SLRs	Once a request is received for a new or amended IT Service, determine and agree the main requirements of the business.  Go to SD 2.2.2 to conduct a feasibility study for the Service	Service Level Manager

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
		Level Requirements.	
SD 2.2.2	Conduct feasibility study for SLRs	Initiate a feasibility study, ensuring that any existing OLAs and UCs are taken into consideration. The Supplier Manager may be involved with Service Providers if necessary. The study must consider all elements including technology, cost and Serviceability.  Go to SD 2.2.3 to feedback to the Customer.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.2.3	Feedback to Customer	The results of the feasibility study are fed back to the Customer.  Go to SD 2.2.4 to determine whether to continue with the Service creation or amendments.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.2.4	Continue with Service creation or amendments?	If yes, go to SD 2.2.5 to draft the SDD.  If no, go to Change Evaluation and Closure (ST 2.6.2) to close the Change.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.2.5	Draft the SDD	Draft the SDD based on the data gathered and validated in the requirements definition and feasibility study. The SDD covers: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a description of the business process concerned</li> <li>• a detailed description of the technology and support platforms</li> <li>• links to related policies and Service Management procedures</li> <li>• plans to monitor and measure the Service</li> </ul> Go to SD 2.2.6 to determine whether a Service Catalog update is required.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.2.6	Service Catalog update required?	If yes, go to SD 2.1.10 to review the Service Catalog.  If no, go to SD 2.2.7 to sign-off the SDD.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.2.7	SDD sign-off	Validate the SDD with the Customer and then sign-off with the Service Owner and Service Level Manager. Once signed off, update the Service Catalog to reflect the new or amended	Service Level Manager

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
		Service.  Go to SD 2.2.8 to finalize the SLRs.	
SD 2.2.8	Create SLRs	The SLRs are created by the Service Level Manager and the Customer and are then collated into the SLR document.	Service Level Manager




## SLRs and draft OLAs and UCs (SD 2.3)

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SD 2.3.1	Collate documentation	Compile supporting documentation such as existing OLAs and UCs, the Service Catalog, the SDD and SLRs documents.  Go to SD 2.3.2 to determine whether the Service Provider is	Service Level Manager

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
		required to support the Service.	
SD 2.3.2	External Service Provider required to support Service?	If yes, go to SD 2.3.3 to negotiate with the Service Provider and conduct a contract review.  If no, go to SD 2.3.6 to create the draft OLA.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.3.3	Negotiate and conduct a contract review.	Contact the Service Provider(s) to discuss how the SLRs will be supported. If contracts are already in place it may not be possible to renegotiate, and this will need to be taken into account when the SLA is drafted.  Go to SD 2.3.4 to create the draft UC.	Supplier Manager
SD 2.3.4	Create draft UC	The UC is drafted following the contract review and negotiation.  Go to SD 2.3.5 to determine whether the UCs support the SLA.	Supplier Manager
SD 2.3.5	Do the UCs support the SLA?	If the UCs do support the SLRs, go to SD 2.3.6 to create the draft OLA.  If no, go to SD 2.3.3 to continue negotiation and contract review.	Supplier Manager
SD 2.3.6	Create draft OLA	Review the SLRs, the SDD and the Service Catalog to determine the requirements for the OLA with the Service Owner or Supplier Manager. Determine the OLA intensive care start date and duration.  Go to SD 2.3.7 to setup the OLA/UC in Service Manager.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.3.7	Setup OLA / UC in Service Manager	The Service Level Manager will ensure that the OLA / UC is set up in Service Manager by liaising with the Service Manager Admin team.  Go to SD 2.3.8 to initiate the draft OLA / UC intensive care period.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.3.8	Initiate draft OLA / UC intensive care period	Monitor the performance of the OLA / UC against the draft OLA / UC metrics using data from other processes, such as Incident and Problem Management.  Go to SD 2.3.9 to review the draft OLA / UC metrics.	Service Level Manager

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SD 2.3.9	Review draft OLA / UC metrics	<p>Once the OLA intensive care period is complete, conduct a Service Review meeting to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>determine if achievement meets expectations of the draft OLA / UC</li> <li>determine whether the SLTs in the SLRs are achievable</li> <li>determine whether the draft OLA / UC are 'fit for purpose'</li> <li>identify amendments to the draft OLAs / UCs prior to converting these into formal OLA / UC metrics</li> <li>identify gaps between the required Service and current Service capabilities and consider additional resource requirements to bridge them</li> </ul> <p>Go to SD 2.3.10 to review the draft OLA / UC.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.3.10	Review draft OLA / UC	<p>The drafts OLAs / UCs are reviewed to ensure they meet the requirements and support the new SLRs.</p> <p>Go to SD 2.3.11 to determine whether updates are required.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.3.11	Updates required?	<p>If updates are required to the draft agreements based on the review, go to SD 2.3.2 to repeat the process</p> <p>If no, go to SD 2.3.12 to determine whether the SLTs in the SLRs are achievable.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.3.12	Are the SLTs achievable?	<p>If yes, go SD 2.4.1 to circulate the draft agreements.</p> <p>If no, go to SD 2.2.3 to feedback to the customer.</p>	Service Level Manager






## Finalize SLRs/OLAs/UCs (SD 2.4)

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SD 2.4.1	Circulate SLRs / OLAs / UCs	The SLRs, OLAs and UCs are circulated to the relevant audience as pre-reading before the final confirmation and sign off meeting.  Go to SD 2.4.2 to determine whether the Service will be supported by a Service Provider.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.4.2	Service supported by an external Service Provider?	If yes, go to SD 2.4.3 finalize and sign off the UC.  If no, go to SD 2.4.4 to finalize the SLR and OLA, and sign off.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.4.3	Finalize UC and sign off	The UCs are finalized to ensure they can support the SLR and are signed off by the Supplier Manager and the Service	Supplier Manager

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
		<p>Provider.</p> <p>Go to SD 2.4.4 to finalize and sign-off the SLR and OLA.</p>	
SD 2.4.4	Finalize SLR & OLA and sign-off	<p>The Service Level Manager finalizes and signs off the SLR and OLA with the Customer, Service Owner and Supplier Manager. As part of the SLR discussion a launch date for the Service is agreed which takes into account how much time is needed to update the Service and the Service Manager.</p> <p>Go to Change Assessment and Planning (ST 2.3.8) to plan and schedule the SLA and OLA Change.</p> <p>The Finalize SLRs / OLAs / UCs process is temporarily stopped pending implementation of the Change.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.4.5	Validate monitoring systems	<p>Once the Change has been confirmed as successful, the monitoring systems are re-validated to ensure they accurately monitor and manage the service level targets.</p> <p>Go to SD 2.4.6 to launch communications.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.4.6	Launch communications	<p>Initiate communications to the end-user community and the delivery teams, including the Service Desk.</p> <p>Go to SD 2.4.7 to raise a request to update the CMS.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.4.7	Raise a request to update the CMS	<p>Raise a request to update the CMS for the new or amended Service.</p> <p>Go to SD 2.4.8 to launch the Service.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.4.8	Launch Service	<p>The Service is launched and the following elements become "Live":</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agreed Service Level parameters automated in the Service Management system</li> <li>• Monitoring of Service delivery through the Service Management system</li> </ul> <p>The Finalize SLAs/OLAs/UCs process ends.</p>	Service Level Manager




## Monitor Service Levels (SD 2.5)

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SD 2.5.1	Monitor for SLA alerts	The majority of day-to-day issues will be handled by the Service Desk and those Service Providers involved in delivering the Service/s. The SLM team will be notified of significant breaches of Service Level targets, that is, urgent P1 and major Incidents.  Go to SD 2.5.2 to validate SLA alerts.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.5.2	Validate SLA alerts	Once an automated SLA alert is received its validity / relevance is assessed with agreed escalation points contained within the SLA.  Go to SD 2.5.3 to determine whether the SLA alert is valid.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.5.3	Is the SLA alert valid?	If yes, go to SD 2.5.5 to review the incoming SLA alert. If no, go to SD 2.5.4 to record the SLA alert.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.5.4	Record SLA alert	The Service Level Manager records the invalid SLA Alert for future review of alert criteria.  The Monitor Service Levels process ends.	Service Level Manager


Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SD 2.5.5	Review incoming SLA alert	<p>The SLA alert is reviewed to determine:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• associated Service and SLA</li> <li>• importance level of escalation</li> <li>• Incident/Problem/Major Incident record number</li> <li>• Support groups</li> </ul> <p>Go to SD 2.5.6 to determine whether SLA action is required.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.5.6	Is SLM action required?	<p>If yes, go to SD 2.5.7 to identify the current SLA alert status.</p> <p>If no, go to SD 2.5.4 to record the SLA alert.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.5.7	Identify current status	<p>Gather additional information about the current status of the Incident generating the alert.</p> <p>Go to SD 2.5.8 to participate in resolution decisions.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.5.8	Participate in resolution decisions	<p>The Service Level Manager participates in decisions regarding the ongoing management of the Incident and possible resolution activities. This ensures that the Customer is kept informed of progress against the SLA and that the Service Owners and Service Providers are kept aware of the ongoing impact to the business.</p> <p>Go to SD 2.5.9 to monitor the Incident.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.5.9	Monitor	<p>Periodically review the Incident to ensure that progress is being made and that agreed actions have been completed.</p> <p>Go to SD 2.5.10 to determine whether the SLA issue(s) is resolved.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.5.10	SLA Issue(s) resolved?	<p>If the reason for the SLA alert has been resolved, go to SD 2.5.11 to confirm with the Customer (where appropriate).</p> <p>If no, go to SD 2.5.12 to determine whether escalation is required.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.5.11	Confirm to Customer (where appropriate)	<p>Confirm the resolution with the Customer.</p> <p>The Monitor Service Levels process ends.</p>	Service Level Manager

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SD 2.5.12	Escalation required?	If yes, go to SD 2.5.13 to perform Incident escalation. If no, go to SD 2.5.9 to monitor the Incident.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.5.13	Escalation	If the performance is nearing its target, the Incident should be escalated via Incident Management.  Go to Incident Escalation (SO 2.6.1) to determine how to resolve the Incident.	Service Level Manager



## Service Level Reporting (SD 2.6)

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SD 2.6.1	Identify reporting type and produce report	<p>Reporting requirements are identified and reports produced based on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Service metrics</li> <li>• SLAs</li> <li>• Service Catalog</li> <li>• Service Improvement updates</li> </ul> <p>Go to SD 2.6.2 to review</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.6.2	Review performance	<p>Review metrics available for Service Performance, including Incident resolution and response times. The metrics are validated for accuracy.</p> <p>Go to SD 2.6.3 to evaluate divergence from agreed targets.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.6.3	Evaluate divergence from agreed targets	<p>The metrics are compared to the service targets for each live SLA.</p> <p>Go to SD 2.6.4 in order for the Service Level Analyst to produce and collate the Service Reports pack.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.6.4	Produce and collate Service Reports pack	<p>Record findings and document within the Service Reports pack according to the structure agreed within the SLA.</p> <p>Go to SD 2.6.5 to distribute the Service Reports pack.</p>	Service Level Analyst
SD 2.6.5	Distribute Service Reports pack	<p>The completed Service Report packs are distributed to the Service Level Managers and Customers.</p> <p>Go to SD 2.7.1 in order for the Service Level Manager to determine the meeting type for the Service Review.</p>	Service Level Analyst




## Perform Service Reviews (SD 2.7)

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SD 2.7.1	Determine meeting type	Determine the appropriate meeting type from the agreed meeting schedule. Go to SD 2.7.2 to collate the Service review pack.	Service Level Manager
SD	Collate the	Collate the Service review pack, including:	Service

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
2.7.2	Service review pack	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meeting agenda</li> <li>• Previous minutes and outstanding actions</li> <li>• Service metrics</li> <li>• SIP progress</li> <li>• Informal and ad-hoc Customer feedback</li> </ul> <p>Key inputs should also be obtained from other Service Management processes and related documents.</p> <p>Go to SD 2.7.3 to plan the appropriate meeting.</p>	Level Analyst
SD 2.7.3	Plan appropriate meeting	<p>Determine whether the Service review is a monthly, quarterly, annual or ad-hoc meeting. Set the date and location of meeting and circulate the agenda to the appropriate audience.</p> <p>Go to one of the appropriate steps:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SD 2.7.4 to conduct a monthly Service Review meeting</li> <li>• SD 2.7.5 to conduct a quarterly Service Review meeting</li> <li>• SD 2.7.6 to conduct an annual Service Review meeting</li> <li>• SD 2.7.7 to conduct an ad-hoc Service Review meeting</li> </ul>	Service Level Analyst
SD 2.7.4	Conduct a monthly Service review meeting	<p>The purpose of this meeting is to understand and manage operational issues. The Customer and Service Level Manager will discuss:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• requests for new and amended Services</li> <li>• updates to existing Service provision</li> <li>• progress on ongoing SIPs</li> <li>• Changing business requirements which may impact the current IT Services provided</li> </ul> <p>Go to SD 2.7.8 to document the meeting and initiate actions.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.7.5	Conduct a quarterly	<p>This meeting follows the same agenda as the monthly Service Review meeting but with an additional item to cover more</p>	Service Level



Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
	Service review meeting	strategic issues.  Go to SD 2.7.8 to document the meeting and initiate actions.	Manager
SD 2.7.6	Conduct an annual Service review meeting	This meeting is specifically designed to review the overall operation of the IT Service Management process in conjunction with the Customer. This will be an opportunity to review the SLAs that are included in the Service review pack allowing all parties to re-confirm that the IT Services are meeting the Customer's requirements and to discuss any future SLRs.  Go to SD 2.7.8 to document the meeting and initiate actions.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.7.7	Conduct an adhoc Service review meeting	Both the Service Level Manager and Customer are entitled to call an ad-hoc Service Review meeting without having to wait for the next scheduled Service Review, in order to cover specific issues that may have arisen.  Go to SD 2.7.8 to document the meeting and initiate actions.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.7.8	Document meeting & initiate actions	Document and issue minutes. There are 2 parallel activities from this activity.  Go to SD 2.7.9 to determine whether a Service Improvement Plan (SIP) is required.  Go to SD 2.7.10 to determine whether a Service Catalog review is required.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.7.9	Is an SIP/SQP required?	If yes, go to SD 2.8.1 to identify Service improvement requirements.  If no, the Perform Service Reviews process ends.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.7.10	Service Catalog review required?	If yes, go to SD 2.1.1 to evaluate the request to review the Service Catalog.  If no, the Perform Service Reviews process ends.	Service Level Manager




## Service Improvement Plan (SD 2.8)

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SD 2.8.1	Identify Service improvement requirements	Various inputs are used to establish the underlying requirement, such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Service Review data</li> <li>• Process Review data</li> <li>• Service and process reports</li> <li>• SLA monitoring</li> <li>• Problem Management</li> </ul>	Service Level Manager

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Customer Satisfaction</li> </ul> <p>The Service Level Manager will create a team to assist with requirement identification which includes Service Level Management, Problem Management, Customer satisfaction results and any relevant technical or process personnel.</p> <p>The Complaints and Compliments, Problem and Known Error database is checked to ensure the issues are not being dealt with already.</p> <p>Go to SD 2.8.2 to determine whether a Problem record exists.</p>	
SD 2.8.2	Does a Problem record exist?	<p>If yes, go to Problem Detection, Logging and Categorization (SO 4.1.14) to determine the related outstanding Problem.</p> <p>If no, go to SD 2.8.3 to develop an SIP/SQP plan.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.8.3	Create / Update an SIP/SQP	<p>The creation of an SIP/SQP must include CSFs and measurement KPIs to assess whether targets are being met.</p> <p>The following people should be involved in discussion and agreement of the SIP/SQP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Customer</li> <li>Service Owner</li> <li>Service Level Manager</li> <li>Relevant support groups</li> </ul> <p>An SIP/SQP Program Board may be created and includes the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Service Owner</li> <li>Service Level Management</li> <li>Delivery team senior management</li> </ul> <p>The Program Board agrees with the team and appoints an SIP/SQP Program Manager.</p> <p>Go to SD 2.8.4 to create an RFC.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.8.4	Create an RFC	There are 2 parallel activities following this process step.	Service Level

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
		<p>An RFC should be submitted to support the new SIP.</p> <p>Go to Change Logging (ST 2.1.8) to create a new Change and go to SD 2.8.5 to deliver the SIP and monitor progress.</p>	Manager
SD 2.8.5	Deliver SIP/SQP & monitor	<p>SIP/SQP Delivery is the responsibility of the SIP Program Manager and follows Change Management and project management processes. While Service Level Management is a key stakeholder in the SIP/SQP process, the team is not responsible for actual delivery.</p> <p>The SIP/SQP Program Board is responsible for monitoring the progress of the SIP/SQP through regular progress reports. Service Level Management monitors all ongoing SIPs/SQPs ensuring they remain focused on business objectives and to provide input to Service Review meetings.</p> <p>Go to SD 2.8.6 to review the SIP/SQP.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.8.6	Review SIP/SQP	<p>Change Management feeds into this activity advising that the Change record has been closed. When the SIP/SQP is nearing completion, the SIP/SQP Program Board assesses the likely success against the CSFs and accompanying KPIs.</p> <p>A short evaluation report is produced which provides recommendations for closure or further actions</p> <p>Go to SD 2.8.7 to determine whether to close the SIP/SQP.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.8.7	Close SIP/SQP?	<p>If yes, go to SD 2.8.8 to monitor SIP/SQP requirements.</p> <p>If no, go to SD 2.8.1 to identify Service improvement requirements.</p>	Service Level Manager
SD 2.8.8	Close & Monitor SIP/SQP	<p>Once the SIP/SQP is closed, monitor for a period of time to allow the benefits of the project to take effect. The SIP/SQP Program Board retains responsibility for the monitoring period and will determine when it can end.</p> <p>The Service Improvement Program process ends.</p>	Service Level Manager




## Review and Revise SLAs/OLAs/UCs (SD 2.9)

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SD 2.9.1	Identify SLAs /OLAs/UCs to be reviewed / revised	Conduct an investigation of SLAs /OLAs/UCs to identify all agreements that require review.  Go to SD 2.9.2 to determine whether to decommission the Service.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.9.2	Decommission the Service?	If yes, go to SD 2.9.3 to contact the Service Owner or Service Manager.	Service Level Manager

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
		If no, go to SD 2.9.7 to review the Service performance.	
SD 2.9.3	Contact the Service Owner / Service Manager	The Service Level Manager works with the Service Owners and Service Managers to review the SLAs/OLAs/UCs.  Go to SD 2.9.4 to update the SLA /OLA/UC.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.9.4	Update SLA /OLA/UC	The Service Level Manager updates the SLA with the Customer to reflect the decommissioning of the Service.  The Service Level Manager updates the OLA/UC with the Service Owner and Supplier Manager to reflect the decommissioning of the Service.  Go to SD 2.9.5 to sign-off the SLA /OLA/UC.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.9.5	Sign off SLA /OLA/UC	The SLAs /OLAs/UCs are signed off following the review.  Go to SD 2.9.6 to determine whether an RFC exists.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.9.6	Does an RFC exist to sign off the SLA /OLA/UC?	If yes, go to Maintain the Service Catalog (SD 2.1.7) to update the Service Catalog.  If no, go to Change Logging (ST 2.1.9) to create a new Change.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.9.7	Review Service performance	Obtain data from other Service management processes to assess the performance of actual results against agreed targets.  Go to SD 2.9.8 to conduct an SLA gap analysis.	Service Level Analyst
SD 2.9.8	Conduct SLA Gap Analysis	Prepare an SLA Gap Analysis to identify the shortfalls in SLA results against the business requirements and document in a report. Shortfalls will need to be addressed within a SIP.  Go to SD 2.9.9 to determine whether the agreed SLAs are being met.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.9.9	Are SLAs met?	If yes, go to SD 2.9.10 to determine whether an OLA/UC Gap Analysis is required.  If no, go to SD 2.9.11 to conduct an OLA/UC Gap Analysis.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.9.10	OLA/UC Gap Analysis required?	If yes, go to SD 2.9.11 to conduct an OLA/UC Gap Analysis.  If no, go to SD 2.9.12 to determine whether to sign-off the SLA /OLA/UC.	Service Level Manager

Process ID	Procedure or Decision	Description	Role
SD 2.9.11	Conduct OLA/UC Gap Analysis	Review the Service delivery chain and identify all OLAs/UCs which support delivery of the Service. Conduct an appropriate Gap Analysis to identify shortfalls against agreed targets and document.  Go to SD 2.9.12 to determine whether to sign-off the SLA /OLA/UC.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.9.12	Sign off SLA /OLA/UC?	If yes, go to SD 2.9.5 to sign-off the SLA /OLA/UC.  If no, go to SD 2.9.13 to conduct further investigation as appropriate.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.9.13	Conduct further investigation as appropriate	Conduct further investigation to ensure issues are removed and the SLA / OLA / UC can be signed off.  Go to SD 2.9.14 to agree corrective actions-	Service Level Manager
SD 2.9.14	Agree corrective actions	Identify and agree corrective actions with the Service Owner and Supplier Manager and document them in the SIP/SQP.  Go to SD 2.9.15 to implement corrective actions.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.9.15	Implement corrective actions	Implement corrective actions.  Go to SD 2.9.16 to determine whether to update the SLA/OLA/UC.	Service Level Manager
SD 2.9.16	Update SLA/OLA/ UC?	If yes, go to Create or Amend Services (SD 2.2.1) to define requirements.  If no, go to Monitor Service Levels (SD 2.5.1) to monitor for SLA alerts.	Service Level Manager





## Chapter 27: Service Level Management Details

HP Service Manager uses the Service Level Management module to enable the Service Level Management process. The main function of Service Level Management is to improve the quality of services that you provide to customers. Service Level Managers, Supplier Managers and customers work together to ensure the various agreements are correctly documented and monitored.

This section describes selected Service Level Management details in the out-of-box Service Manager system.

- An SLA is:

A service-level agreement (SLA) is an agreement between two or more parties, where one is the customer (business) and the others are service providers. This can be a legally binding formal or an informal "contract".

Service level agreements are also defined at different levels:

- Customer-based SLA:

An agreement with an individual customer group, covering all the services they use.

- Service-based SLA:

An agreement for all customers using the services being delivered by the service provider.


- An OLA is:

An operational-level agreement (OLA) defines the interdependent relationships among the internal support groups of an IT organization working to support a service-level agreement (SLA). The agreement describes the responsibilities of each internal support group toward other support groups, including the process and timeframe for delivery of their services. The objective of the OLA is to present a clear, concise and measurable description of the service provider's internal support relationships.

- A UC is:

An Underpinning Contract (UC) is a legally binding contract between IT service provider and supplier or third party to deliver agreed level of service quality or goods at specified time.

The relation between these three elements is defined in the graphic below.



Topics in this section include:

["The Workflow for Agreements, Underpinning contracts" below](#)

["Creating a new SLA, OLA or UC" on page 456](#)

["The Draft phase" on page 457](#)

["The Review phase" on page 457](#)

["The Agreed phase" on page 458](#)


["The Expired phase" on page 460](#)

["Service Reviews and usages of SIPs/SQPs" on page 460](#)

["Service Level Management form details" on page 465](#)


## The Workflow for Agreements, Underpinning contracts

The full Service Level Management module was moved towards Process Designer. As part of this step, the following workflow was introduced to support the several activities in the Service Level Management process.



Phase	Description
Draft	Initialize a new SLR, OLA or UC in draft phase to document all required details
Review	Review with the various stakeholders the documented details prior activating the SLA, OLA or UC
Agreed	The SLA, OLA and UC are agreed and active.
Expired	The SLA, OLA and UC are expired and inactive.
Abandoned	The SLA, OLA and UC have been abandoned.

The different activities in the workflow phases are summarized as follow.



## Creating a new SLA, OLA or UC

To create a new SLA, OLA or UC, click **Service Level Management > Agreements > New Agreement**, and then select the agreement category.

- To start a new SLA, select **Service Level Requirement**, since every SLA starts with documented SLRs. Once an SLR is agreed it becomes an SLA.
- To create a new OLA or UC, select the correct category.

To Do Queue: My To Do List			Agreement: 173	Select Agreement Category
<input type="button" value="Cancel"/> <input type="button" value="More"/>				
Description	Name	Active		
<a href="#">Operational Level Agreement</a>	Operational Level Agreement	true		
<a href="#">Service Level Requirement</a>	Service Level Requirement	true		
<a href="#">Underpinning Contract</a>	Underpinning Contract	true		

# The Draft phase

Every record type in Service Level Management module starts from draft phase. In draft phase, you can document some general requirements and the requested Service Level Targets. Process Targets represent response targets, whereas Service Targets measure the availability.

Ensure that you document all required fields. Press the **Review** button to move the record into the next phase.

To Do Queue: My To Do ListNew Agreement

CancelSave & ExitSaveFindFillReview

Service Level Requirement

Agreement ID:173

Category:Service Level Requirement

Phase:Draft

Type:Service

Customer:advantage

Service Contract:General Support

Service Hours:24x7

Title:GOLD SLA for Email Service

Description:GOLD SLA for Email Service

Effective From:11/06/14 22:46:37

Expiration Date:02/06/15 00:00:00

Next Agreement Review Date:11/10/14 00:00:00

Service Review Frequency:Quarterly

Next Service Review Date:11/11/14 00:00:00

Owner Group:E-mail / Webmail (Africa)

Owner:

Agreed By

DetailsAttachments - (0)WorkflowProcess TargetsService TargetsActivitiesUnderpinning Agreements

# The Review phase

In Review phase, all stakeholders have the chance to review the agreed details and adjust in case requirements have changed or cannot be met. The review phase is the last prior to activating the agreement or contract.

The review phase should be used to double-check whether all underpinning OLAs and contracts meet the agreed targets of the SLA. By looking at the **Underpinning Agreement** tab the Service Level Manager can easily verify the associated OLAs/UCs.

To Do Queue: My To Do ListAgreement: 173Agreement: 178

CancelSave & ExitSaveFindFillAgreeAbandon

Service Level Requirement

Agreement ID:178

Category:Service Level Requirement

Phase:Review

Type:Service

Customer:advantage

Service Contract:

Service Hours:24x7

Title:GOLD SLA for Email Service

Description:GOLD SLA for Email Service

Effective From:09/27/14 00:00:00

Expiration Date:09/27/14 00:00:00

Review Date:09/30/14 00:00:00

Next Review Date:10/10/14 00:00:00

Service Review Frequency:Quarterly

Owner Group:E-mail / Webmail (Africa)

Owner:

Agreed ByDetailsAttachments - (0)WorkflowProcess TargetsService TargetsActivitiesUnderpinning AgreementsSubscriptionHistoryDocuments

Underpinning Agreements

Underpinned By OLA

Link Existing Record

Link New Record

ID	Category	Phase	Type	Title
174	Operational Level Agreement	Agreed	Underpinned By OLA	MS Active Directory OLA - Service Desk - Server Support

In accordance to ITIL guidance, all OLAs/UCs should support the defined SLAs within the business. Where specific clauses and targets are required for an individual service, the conditions in the SLTs of the OLA/UC should be leveraged. By using the conditioned SLTs of the OLA/UC, the Service Level Manager can ensure that the agreed targets of the SLA are correctly covered.

## The Agreed phase

In the Agreed phase, the SLM records are active and used. In case modifications are required, the SLA, OLA, or UC is directly updated in this phase. Service Reviews help to keep the records up to date. All changes have to go through Change Management and shall be tracked in the activity log.

The system allows to document SIPs and SQP directly from the agreement. This helps to validate the actions directly in each service review. To document service reviews activities, you can use the activity log.

Processes and Best Practices Guide (Classic Mode)

Chapter 27: Service Level Management Details

To Do Queue: My To Do ListAgreement: 173Agreement: 178

CancelSave & ExitSaveFindFill

Service Level Agreement

Agreement ID:178

Category:Service Level Agreement

Phase:Agreed

Type:Service

Customer:advantage

Service Contract:

Service Hours:24x7

Title:GOLD SLA for Email Service

Description:GOLD SLA for Email Service

Effective From:09/27/14 00:00:00

Expiration Date:09/27/14 00:00:00

Review Date:09/30/14 00:00:00

Next Review Date:10/10/14 00:00:00

Service Review Frequency:Quarterly

Owner Group:E-mail / Webmail (Africa)

Owner:

Agreed ByDetailsAttachments - (0)WorkflowProcess TargetsService TargetsActivitiesUnderpinning AgreementsSubscriptionHistoryImprovement Plans

Update Type:Service Review Outcome

Update:Service Review Outcome

Journal Updates:

To document SIP/ SQP, you can use the Improvement Plan feature.

To Do Queue: My To Do ListAgreement: 173Agreement: 178

CancelSave & ExitSaveFindFill

Service Level Agreement

Agreement ID:178

Category:Service Level Agreement

Phase:Agreed

Type:Service

Customer:advantage

Service Contract:

Service Hours:24x7

Title:GOLD SLA for Email Service

Description:GOLD SLA for Email Service

Effective From:09/27/14 00:00:00

Expiration Date:09/27/14 00:00:00

Review Date:09/30/14 00:00:00

Next Review Date:10/10/14 00:00:00

Service Review Frequency:Quarterly

Owner Group:E-mail / Webmail (Africa)

Owner:

Agreed ByDetailsAttachments - (0)WorkflowProcess TargetsService TargetsActivitiesUnderpinning AgreementsSubscriptionHistoryImprovement Plans

Create Improvement Plan

ID	Type	Phase	Status	Title
----	------	-------	--------	-------

# The Expired phase

The system automatically moves the record into the expired phase once the expiration date has been reached. In expired phase, the records are inactive and read-only. In case the record needs to be re-activate, click the **Review** button to move it back to Review phase.

To Do Queue: My To Do List

Agreement: 168

schedule: 6021691

Cancel

Previous

Next

Save & Exit

Save

Find

Fill

Review

Service Level Agreement

Agreement ID:

168

Category:

Service Level Agreement

Phase:

Expired

Type:

Customer

Customer:

advantage

Service Contract:

Service Hours:

Effective From:

12/31/07 03:00:00

Expiration Date:

09/02/14 14:58:52

Review Date:

08/27/14 00:00:00

Next Review Date:

09/24/14 00:00:00

Service Review Frequency:

Owner Group:

Owner:

Title:

Base Monitoring SLA for IT services

Description:

Agreed By

Details

Attachments - (0)

Workflow

Process Targets

Service Targets

Activities

Underpinning Agreements

History

Documents

ADAMS, IRENE

# Service Reviews and usages of SIPs/SQPs

Service Reviews meetings should be conducted frequently. The outcome of these meetings are documented in either Service Improvement or Service Quality Plans. Service Manager allows to document the details of the service reviews by leveraging the knowledge management module.

To create an SIP or SQP, click the **Create Improvement Plan** button.



To Do Queue: My To Do ListAgreement: 173New Knowledge Document

CancelSaveDeletePreviewSubmitPublish InternalPublish ExternalMore

Contribute Knowledge

TitleImprovement Plan for EMAIL Gold Service

SummaryImprovement Plan for EMAIL Gold Service

Expiration date09/24/15 12:34:17

Tags

Document IDKM0580

Document typeReference

Document subtypeService Improvement Plan

StatusDraft

LocaleEnglish

ReferenceCategorization / FlagsAttachments - 0 file(s) attachedWorkflowRelated Records

Source

Improvement Plan for EMAIL Gold Service:  
Service Review outcome from 23/09/2014  
- availability improvements required  
- response times to be improved  
- re-negotiation with external suppliers

All SIPs/SQPs are listed directly in the Service Level Management record.

To Do Queue: My To Do ListAgreement: 173Agreement: 178

CancelSave & ExitSaveFindFill

Service Hours24x7

Owner GroupE-mail / Webmail (Africa)

Owner

TitleGOLD SLA for Email Service

DescriptionGOLD SLA for Email Service

Agreed ByDetailsAttachments - (0)WorkflowProcess TargetsService TargetsActivitiesUnderpinning AgreementsSubscriptionHistoryImprovement Plans

Create Improvement Plan

ID	Type	Phase	Status	Title
KM0580	Related Document	draft	draft	Improvement Plan for EMAIL Gold Service

# Service Level Management forms

The following forms are Service Level Management forms.

## Service Level Agreement

Service Level Agreement

Agreement ID:	168	Effective From:	* 14/10/14 11:41:20
Category:	* Service Level Agreement	Expiration Date:	* 21/12/31 11:00:00
Phase:	Agreed	Next Agreement Review Date:	* 14/10/15 14:00:00
Type:	* Customer	Service Review Frequency:	* Weekly
Customer:	* advantage	Next Service Review Date:	* 14/10/21 14:00:00
Service Contract:		Owner Group:	
Service Hours:		Owner:	

Title:

\* Base Monitoring SLA for IT services

Description:

Operational Level Agreement

Operational Level Agreement

Agreement ID:		Effective From:	
Category:	* Operational Level Agreement	Expiration Date:	
Phase:	Draft	Next Agreement Review Date:	
		Service Review Frequency:	
		Next Service Review Date:	
Service Contract:		Owner Group:	
Service Hours:		Owner:	

Title:

\*

Description:

Underpinning Contract

Underpinning Contract

Agreement ID:	<input type="text"/>	Effective From:	<input type="text"/>
Category:	<div><div>*</div><div>Underpinning Contract</div></div>	Expiration Date:	<input type="text"/>
Phase:	<div>Draft</div>	Next Agreement Review Date:	<input type="text"/>
		Service Review Frequency:	<div><div></div><div></div></div>
		Next Service Review Date:	<input type="text"/>
Service Contract:	<div><input type="text"/><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div>	Owner Group:	<input type="text"/>
Service Hours:	<div><input type="text"/><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div>	Owner:	<input type="text"/>
Title:	<div><div>*</div><div></div></div>		
Description:	<div></div>		

Service Level Target - Process Target

SLA Overview

Process Target: 179

OK

Cancel

Save

Delete

Find

Fill

More

abc

Service Level Target

Service Level Target ID:

179

Agreement ID:

168

Agreement Title:

Base Monitoring SLA for IT services

Name:

\* All Levels - Incident - Fix - All Priorities

Condition:

true

Owner:

Service Level Category:

Operational Level Agreement

Process Criteria

Description

History

Service Area:

\* Incidents

Initial State:

\* Open

Final State:

\* Resolved

Duration Type:

Interval

Duration:

\* 20:00:00

Schedule Information

Schedule:

Mon to Fri from 8am to 4pm

Using this time zone:

☒ System Server (default)

☐ Technician

☐ Customer

☐ CI Location

☐ Specific Value:

Escalations

Alerts:

Suspend processing

for these states:

Service Level Target - Service Target

SLA Overview

Service Target: 225

OK

Cancel

Save

Delete

Find

Fill

More

abc

★

Service Level Target

Service Level Target ID:

225

Agreement ID:

175

Agreement Title:

Contact Service SLA1413277169

Name:

Incident Service ST - EmailServer1

Owner:

Service Level Category:

Service Level Agreement

Service Criteria

Description

History

Upcoming Alerts

Current Outage Events

Affected CI:

EmailServer1

Cost Center:

Serial No.:

2014062701

Model:

Description:

Critical CI

Pending Change

Monthly Service- Metric Type

Required Uptime (%)

80

Max Outage Duration

Service Window Information

Schedule:

Time Zone:

Escalations

Alerts:

## Service Level Management form details

The following table identifies and describes some of the features of Service Level Management forms.

### SLA/OLA/UC field descriptions

Label	Description
MAIN	
Agreement ID	The unique ID of the Agreement. HP Service Manager populates this field when the Agreement is first created.  Service Manager uses this number to track relationships between Agreements and their supporting data.
Category	The category of agreement that best describes the Agreement.
Phase	The current phase of the record.

Label	Description
Type	The type field identifies an Agreement as a Service or Customer Agreement. This field has two possible values: Service or Customer.
Customer	The name of the customer who is directly impacted by the service levels measured by the Agreement.
Service Contract	The ID of the contract (entitlement) that covers the service specified in the Agreement.  The contracts available in the drop-down list are dependent on the selected customer for the Agreement.
Service Hours	The schedule that customers are entitled to the service guaranteed by the Service Level Agreement (SLA).  When you register Interactions or open Incidents, HP Service Manager checks the Service Hours schedule, to determine if the customer submitting the Interaction or Incident is entitled to the service.
Title	A brief descriptive title for the Agreement.
Description	A description of the service levels covered by the Agreement.
Effective From	The date and time when the Agreement coverage begins.
Expiration Date	The date and time when the Agreement coverage expires.
Next Agreement Review Date	The date when the agreement is supposed to be reviewed next time.
Service Review Frequency	This field identifies how often the agreement is to be reviewed.
Next Service Review Date	The date when the relevant service in the agreement is supposed to be reviewed next time.
Owner Group	The group who is responsible for the agreement.
Owner	The operator who is responsible for the agreement.
Agreed By	
The individuals in the contacts table who reach an agreement on the Agreement.	
Details	
Notes, verbal agreements, or comments that are relevant to the Agreement. For example, an	

Label	Description
	additional agreement that was not part of the original agreement.
Attachments	
	You can use the Attachments section to attach documents to the Agreement.
Workflow	
	Displays a figure of request task workflow. It indicates the current phase which the request task is in, and traces the phase transition history.
Process Targets	
	Displays the Service Level Targets which define the Process Target details.
Service Targets	
	Displays the Service Level Targets which define the Service Target details.
Activities	
	Records information that the operator enters during the lifecycle of the Agreement. Every time you update the agreement, you can fill in an update on the Activities section. A log of all the updates is stored on the Journal Updates and activities list.
Underpinning Agreements	
	Displays a list of Underpinning Agreements related to this Agreement.
Subscriptions	
	Displays a list of subscription information related to this Agreement.
History	
	Displays the monthly overall performance metrics of this Agreement, as well as the specific performance metrics of its different types of service level targets (process targets and service targets).
Improvement Plans	
	Displays a list of Improvement Plans related to this Agreement.
Assignment Groups	
	The group in the assignment table associated with agreement for Operational Level Agreement.
Related SLA	

Label	Description
	Displays a list of SLAs related to this Operational Level Agreement.
External Assignment Groups	
	The external group in the assignment table associated with agreement for Underpinning Contract.

**Service Level Target field descriptions**

Label	Description
MAIN	
Service Level Target ID	<p>The unique ID of the Process or Service Target.</p> <p>HP Service Manager creates this field automatically when adding the SLT. This field is read-only unless you are searching for specific SLTs.</p>
Agreement ID	<p>The unique ID of the Agreement associated to the Service Target.</p> <p>HP Service Manager populates this field when you create a new SLT from the Agreement screen using the wizard.</p> <p>Service Manager uses this number to track relationships between Agreements and their supporting data.</p>
Name	The name of the Process or Service Target.
Condition	<p>Determines whether to process the process Target.</p> <p>You can enter the condition manually, or HP Service Manager can create it automatically based on field parameters that you select when you add the SLT by using the wizard.</p>
Owner	The owner of the process for the Process or Service Target.
Agreement Title	The title of the Agreement associated to the Service Target.
Service Level Category	The service level category of agreement that best describes the Service Target.
Process Criteria	
Service Area	<p>The application area in HP Service Manager that the Process Target applies to.</p> <p>The value displayed in the drop-down list reflect the HP Service Manager areas or modules that are available for use in Service Level Agreement.</p>
Initial State	A state when the Process Target (SLT) should start measuring the process time.



Label	Description
Final State	A state when the Process Target (SLT) should stop measuring the process time.
Duration Type	The type of response time to measure when processing the Process Target.
Duration	The maximum interval of time allowed between the Initial State and the Final State.
Schedule Information - Schedule	The schedule used to determine the valid times for processing the Process Target.
Schedule Information - Using this time zone	The time zone to use when processing the Process Target.
Escalation - Alerts	The alert(s) to generate when processing the Process Target.
Escalation - Suspend processing for these states	States when processing for the Service Level Target (SLT) should be suspended.
Description	
Displays a list of subscription information related to this Service Level Target.	
History	
Displays the timestamps and operators that the Service Level Target is opened or updated by.	
Service Criteria	
Affected CI	<p>The unique ID of the affected configuration item (CI) measured by the Service Target.</p> <p>The CI is required to have a valid identifier and must have a corresponding entry in the device table.</p>
Cost Center:	<p>The cost center information for this configuration item (CI).</p> <p>HP Service Manager populates this field when you create a new SLT from the Agreement screen using the wizard.</p>
Serial No.	<p>The serial number for this configuration item (CI).</p> <p>HP Service Manager populates this field when you create a new SLT from the Agreement screen using the wizard.</p>
Description	The manufacturer's model identification for this configuration item (CI).

Label	Description
	HP Service Manager populates this field when you create a new SLT from the Agreement screen using the wizard.
Make	The manufacturer of this configuration item (CI). HP Service Manager populates this field when you create a new SLT from the Agreement screen using the wizard.
Model	The manufacturer's model identification for this configuration item (CI). HP Service Manager populates this field when you create a new SLT from the Agreement screen using the wizard.
Monthly Service-Metric Type - Required Uptime	The percentage of time that the affected configuration item (CI) should be available per month.
Monthly Service-Metric Type - Max Outage Duration	The maximum amount of time that the affected configuration item (CI) may be unavailable for a single outage.
Service Window Information - Schedule	The schedule used to determine the valid times for processing the Service Target.
Service Window Information - Time Zone	The time zone to use when processing the Service Target.
Escalation - Alerts	The alert(s) to generate when processing the Service Target.
Upcoming Alerts	
Displays a list of Upcoming Alerts related to this Service Level Target.	
Current Outage Events	
Displays a list of Current Outage Events related to this Service Level Target.	

# Appendix A: Compliance with Industry Standards

## Service Manager's compliance with ISO 20000

ISO 20000-2 (that is, Part 2) is a "Code of Practice" that describes the recommendations for service management within the scope of ISO 20000-1. The following table shows the Service Manager best practice coverage of the items in the Code of Practice.

### Service Manager coverage of the ISO 20000 Code of Practice

ISO 20000 Code of Practice	Service Manager Best Practices Coverage
<b>Resolution processes</b>	
<b>7.2 Business Relationship Management</b>	
7.2.1 Service Complaints	Incident Management > Complaint Handling (SO 2.9)
<b>8.1 Background</b>	
8.1.1 Setting Priorities	Interaction Management > Interaction Handling (SO 0.2) Priority is based on impact and urgency. Target date is set according to SLAs
8.1.2 Workarounds	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Problem Management &gt; Problem Detection, Logging, and Categorization (SO 4.1)</li><li>• Problem Management &gt; Problem Investigation and Diagnosis (SO 4.3)</li><li>• Problem Management &gt; Known Error Logging and Categorization (SO 4.4)</li><li>• Problem Management &gt; Known Error Investigation (SO 4.5)</li></ul> Logging and maintenance of workarounds is performed in all of the procedures above
<b>8.2 Incident Management</b>	

**Service Manager coverage of the ISO 20000 Code of Practice, continued**

ISO 20000 Code of Practice	Service Manager Best Practices Coverage
8.2.1 General	
Proactive and reactive process, responding to incidents that affect, or potentially could affect the service	Incident Management > Incident Logging (SO 2.1) Incidents can be created based on user interactions as well as based on events.
Concerned with the restoration of the customers' service, not with determining the cause of incidents.	Incident Management > Incident Resolution and Recovery (SO 2.4) Incidents are preferably solved by means of a workaround leaving the structural solution to the Problem Management process.
The Incident Management process should include the following:	
a) call reception, recording, priority assignment, classification	Interaction Management > Interaction Handling (SO 0.2)
b) first line resolution or referral	Interaction Management > Interaction Handling (SO 0.2)
c) consideration of security issues	Interaction Management > Interaction Handling (SO 0.2) Security is one of the areas that you can select when registering an interaction.
d) Incident tracking and lifecycle management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Incident Management &gt; Monitor SLA (SO 2.7)</li> <li>Incident Management &gt; OLA and UC Monitoring (SO 2.8)</li> </ul>
e) Incident verification and closure	Interaction Management > Interaction Closure (SO 0.3)
f) first line customer liaison	Interaction Management > Interaction Handling (SO 0.2)
g) escalation	Incident Management > Incident Escalation (SO 2.6)
Incidents may be reported by telephone calls, voice mails, visits, letters, faxes or email, or may be recorded directly by Users with access to the incident management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interaction Management &gt; Self-Service by User (SO 0.1)</li> <li>Interaction Management &gt; Interaction Handling (SO 0.2)</li> </ul>

**Service Manager coverage of the ISO 20000 Code of Practice, continued**

ISO 20000 Code of Practice	Service Manager Best Practices Coverage
system, or by automatic monitoring software.	
Progress (or lack of it) in resolving incidents should be communicated to those actually or potentially affected.	Incident Management > Incident Escalation (SO 2.6)
Final closure of an incident should only take place when the initiating User has been given the opportunity to confirm that the incident is now resolved and service restored.	Interaction Management > Interaction Closure (SO 0.3)
<b>8.2.2 Major Incidents</b>	
There should be a clear definition of what constitutes a major incident and who is empowered to invoke Changes to the normal operation of the incident/Problem process.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Incident Management &gt; Monitor SLA (SO 2.7)</li> <li>Incident Management &gt; OLA and UC Monitoring (SO 2.8)</li> </ul> <p>Escalation triggers are clearly defined, including the process roles responsible for triggering the escalation.</p>
All major incidents should have a clearly defined responsible manager at all times	<p>Incident Management &gt; Incident Escalation (SO 2.6)</p> <p>The responsible process roles for this procedure are clearly defined.</p>
<b>8.3 Problem management</b>	
8.3.1 Scope of Problem Management	Problem Management (SO 4)
<b>8.3.2 Initiation of Problem Management</b>	
Incidents should be classified to help determine the causes of Problems. Classification may reference existing Problems and Changes.	<p>Incident Management &gt; Incident Closure (SO 2.5).</p> <p>Upon closure the incident classification should be reviewed and adjusted if needed.</p>
8.3.3 Known Errors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Problem Management &gt; Known Error Logging and Categorization (SO 4.4)</li> <li>Problem Management &gt; Known Error</li> </ul>

**Service Manager coverage of the ISO 20000 Code of Practice, continued**

ISO 20000 Code of Practice	Service Manager Best Practices Coverage
	<p>Investigation (SO 4.5)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Problem Management &gt; Known Error Solution Acceptance (SO 4.6)</li> <li>• Problem Management &gt; Known Error Resolution (SO 4.7)</li> </ul>
8.3.4 Problem Resolution	<p>Problem Management &gt; Known Error Solution Acceptance (SO 4.6).</p> <p>The implementation of the solution is requested to the Change Management process</p>
8.3.5 Communication	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interaction Management &gt; Interaction Handling (SO 0.2) Matching with published Known errors takes place.</li> <li>• Incident Management &gt; Incident Investigation and Diagnosis (SO 2.3) Matching with published known errors takes place.</li> <li>• Problem Management (SO 4) Known error information is logged and maintained throughout the whole Problem Management process.</li> </ul>
8.3.6 Tracking and Escalation	Problem Management > Problem and Known Error Monitoring (SO 4.9)
8.3.7 Incident and Problem Closure	Problem Management > Problem Closure and Review (SO 4.8)
8.3.8 Problem Reviews	Problem Management > Problem Closure and Review (SO 4.8)
8.3.9 Topics for Reviews	Problem Management > Problem Closure and Review (SO 4.8)
8.3.10 Problem Prevention	Problem Management > Problem Detection, Logging, and Categorization (SO 4.1)
<b>Control Processes</b>	

**Service Manager coverage of the ISO 20000 Code of Practice, continued**

ISO 20000 Code of Practice	Service Manager Best Practices Coverage
<b>9.1 Configuration Management</b>	
9.1.1 Configuration Management Planning and implementation	Configuration Management > Configuration Management Planning (ST 3.1)
9.1.2 Configuration Identification	Configuration Management > Configuration Identification (ST 3.2)
9.1.3 Configuration Control	Configuration Management > Configuration Control (ST 3.3)
9.1.4 Configuration Status Accounting and Reporting	Configuration Management > Configuration Status Accounting and Reporting (ST 3.4)
9.1.5 Configuration Verification and Audit	Configuration Management > Configuration Verification and Audit (ST 3.5)
<b>9.2 Change Management</b>	
9.2.1 Planning and Implementation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change Management &gt; Change Assessment and Planning (ST 2.3)</li> <li>• Change Management &gt; Change Approval (ST 2.4)</li> <li>• Change Management &gt; Coordinate Change Implementation (ST 2.5)</li> </ul>
9.2.2 Closing and Reviewing the Change request	Change Management > Change Evaluation and Closure (ST 2.6)
9.2.3 Emergency Changes	Change Management > Emergency Change Handling (ST 2.7)
9.2.4 Change Management Reporting, Analysis and Actions	Change Management > Change Evaluation and Closure (ST 2.6)

## Service Manager's compliance with COBIT 4.1

The following table shows the mapping between the applicable COBIT 4.1 controls and the coverage of these controls in the Service Manager best practices. The control objectives are identified by a two-character domain reference (PO, AI, DS and ME). plus a process number and a control objective number. For more information about the COBIT 4.1 controls, see the official COBIT 4.1 documentation.

**Service Manager's coverage of COBIT 4.1 Controls**

<b>COBIT Control</b>	<b>Service Manager best practices coverage</b>
<b>P04 Plan and Organize</b>	
P04.1 IT Process Framework	Level 0 > Processes
P04.6 Establishment of Roles and Responsibilities	Level 0 > Organizational Model
P04.11 Segregation of Duties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Level 0 &gt; Organizational Model &gt; Process Roles</li> <li>• Change Management &gt; Emergency Change Handling (ST 2.7) Releasing a new application in case of an Emergency Change Handling situation is performed by the Release Packaging and Build Manager (another Change Analyst).</li> <li>• Configuration Management &gt; Configuration Management Planning (ST 3.1) Maintenance of CI types is performed by another role than the role adding or modifying the Configuration</li> </ul>
<b>A16 Manage Changes</b>	
A16.1 Change Standards and Procedures	Change Management (ST 2)
A16.2 Impact Assessment, Prioritization and Authorized	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change Management &gt; Change Approval (ST 2.4)</li> <li>• Change Management &gt; Change Assessment and Planning (ST 2.3)</li> </ul>
A16.3 Emergency Changes	Change Management > Emergency Change Handling (ST 2.7)
A16.4 Change Status Tracking and Reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change Management &gt; Change Logging (ST 2.1) Enables the logging of Changes in the Change Management service management tool</li> <li>• Change Management &gt; Change Assessment and Planning (ST 2.3) Planning is created which' after approval' leads the Change implementation.</li> </ul>
A16.5 Change Closure and Documentation	Change Management > Change Evaluation and Closure (ST 2.6)



**Service Manager's coverage of COBIT 4.1 Controls, continued**

<b>COBIT Control</b>	<b>Service Manager best practices coverage</b>
<b>DS1 Define and Manage Service Levels</b>	
DS1.2 Definition of Services	Configuration Management (ST 3) Business Services are stored in the Configuration Management System and related to the CI's supporting the Service.
DS1.3 Service Level Agreements	Incident Management > Monitor SLA (SO 2.7) The main aspect of Service Manager best practices and the configuration of Service Manager is that it is service-oriented. Target response times for all interactions and related records are set according to SLAs with the user's representatives.
DS1.4 Operating Level Agreements	Incident Management > OLA and UC Monitoring (SO 2.8) Service Manager is configured to enable measurement of OLAs
<b>DS2 Manage Third-party Services</b>	
DS2.4 Supplier Performance Monitoring	Incident Management > OLA and UC Monitoring (SO 2.8) Service Manager is configured to enable measurement of UCs.
<b>DS8 Manage Service Desk and Incidents</b>	
DS8.1 Service Desk	Interaction Management > Interaction Handling (SO 0.2)
DS8.2 Registration of Customer Queries	Interaction Management > Interaction Handling (SO 0.2)
DS8.3 Incident Escalation	Incident Management > Incident Escalation (SO 2.6)
DS8.4 Incident Closure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Incident Management &gt; Incident Closure (SO 2.5)</li> <li>Interaction Management &gt; Interaction Closure (SO 0.3)</li> </ul>
<b>DS9 Manage the Configuration</b>	
DS9.1 Configuration Repository and Baseline	Configuration Management (ST 3)
DS9.2 Identification and Maintenance of Configuration Items	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Configuration Management &gt; Configuration Identification (ST 3.2)</li> <li>Configuration Management &gt; Configuration Control (ST 3.3)</li> <li>Configuration Management &gt; Master data management (ST 3.6)</li> </ul>

**Service Manager's coverage of COBIT 4.1 Controls, continued**

<b>COBIT Control</b>	<b>Service Manager best practices coverage</b>
DS9.3 Configuration Integrity Review	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Configuration Management &gt; Configuration Status Accounting and Reporting (ST 3.4)</li> <li>• Configuration Management &gt; Configuration Verification and Audit (ST 3.5)</li> </ul>
<b>DS10 Manage Problems</b>	
DS10.1 Identification and Classification of Problems	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Problem Management &gt; Problem Detection, Logging, and Categorization (SO 4.1)</li> <li>• Problem Management &gt; Problem Prioritization and Planning (SO 4.2)</li> <li>• Problem Management &gt; Known Error Logging and Categorization (SO 4.4)</li> </ul>
DS10.2 Problem Tracking and Resolution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Problem Management &gt; Problem Investigation and Diagnosis (SO 4.3)</li> <li>• Problem Management &gt; Known Error Investigation (SO 4.5)</li> <li>• Problem Management &gt; Known Error Solution Acceptance (SO 4.6)</li> <li>• Problem Management &gt; Problem and Known Error Monitoring (SO 4.9).</li> </ul>
DS10.3 Problem Closure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Problem Management &gt; Known Error Resolution (SO 4.7)</li> <li>• Problem Management &gt; Problem Closure and Review (SO 4.8)</li> </ul>
DS10.4 Integration of Configuration, Incident and Problem Management	<p>Problem Management &gt; Problem Detection, Logging, and Categorization (SO 4.1)</p> <p>Problems are identified based on incidents.</p>

## Appendix B: Service Manager tables

### Service Desk application tables and fields

Most fields important for the Service Desk application are located in the `incidents` table. The label on the form may not always match the field name in the table. This table associates the label and the field name in the `incidents` table.

#### Important fields in the `incidents` table

Label	Field Name
Interaction ID	<code>incident.id</code>
Contact	<code>callback.contact</code>
Notify By	<code>callback.type</code>
Service Recipient	<code>contact.name</code>
Affected Service	<code>affected.item</code>
Affected CI	<code>logical.name</code>
Title	<code>title</code>
Description	<code>description</code>
Category	<code>category</code>
Area	<code>subcategory</code>
Subarea	<code>product.type</code>
Impact	<code>initial.impact</code>
Urgency	<code>severity</code>
Priority	<code>priority.code</code>
Knowledge Source	<code>kpf.id</code>
Closure Code	<code>resolution.code</code>
Solution	<code>resolution</code>

**Important fields in the incidents table, continued**

Label	Field Name
Status	open
Approval Status	approval.status

## Incident Management application tables and fields

Most fields important for the Incident Management application are located in the `probsummary` table. The label on the form may not always match the field name in the table. This table associates the label and the field name in the `probsummary` table.

**Important fields in the `probsummary` table**

Label	Field Name
Incident ID	number
Status	problem.status
Assignment Group	assignment
Assignee	assignee.name
Vendor	vendor
Vendor Ticket	reference.no
Affected Service	affected.item
Affected CI	logical.name
CI is operational (no outage)	operational.device
Outage Start	downtime.start
Outage End	downtime.end
Location	location.full.name
Title	brief.description
Description	action
Category	category
Area	subcategory

**Important fields in the probsummary table, continued**

Label	Field Name
Subarea	product.type
Impact	initial.impact
Urgency	severity
Priority	priority.code
Service Contract	contract.id
SLA target Date	next.breach
Problem Management Candidate	prob.mgmt.candidat
Knowledge Candidate	solution.candidate
Closure Code	resolution.code
Solution	resolution
Affected Services	affected.services

## Request Management application tables and fields

Request Management evolved from an application named Order and Catalog Management (OCM). Hence, many of the table names used within the Request Management application begins with “ocm.” The tables where the Request Management application stores data are documented as below.

- ["Request \(Quote\)"](#)
- ["Order"](#)
- ["Line Item"](#)

### Request (Quote)

In the Request Management workflows, request records (also known as quote records) are the “tickets” that trace the workflow of a request from the user perspective, data entry and line item addition. They are stored in the `ocmq` table.

**Important fields in the ocmq table**

Label	Field Name
Quote ID	number
Current Phase	current.phase
Status	status
Approval Status	approval.status
Brief Desc	brief.description
Requested For	requested.for
Requested Date	requested.date
Requested By	requestor.name
Assigned Dept	assigned.dept
Assigned To	assigned.to
Coordinator	coordinator
Work Manager	work.manager
Total Cost	total.cost
Company	company
Bill To Location	bill.to.code
Bill To Department	bill.to.dept
Project ID	project.id
Ship To	ship.to.code
Reason	reason
Priority	priority
Description	description

## Order

Order records are the “tickets” that trace the workflow of an actual order of a line item or several line items from the ordering and receiving perspective. They may fulfill line items from one or more quotes.

They are stored in the `ocmo` table.

**Important fields in the `ocmo` table**

Label	Field Name
Order ID	number
Current Phase	current.phase
Status	status
Approval Status	approval.status
Vendor	vendor
Carrier	shipping.carrier
Coordinator	coordinator
FOB	freight.on.board
On Alert	alert
Description	description

## Line Item

Line item records are generated with and associated to new quotes or new orders. They are stored in the `ocml` table.

**Important fields in the `ocml` table**

Label	Field Name
Number	number
Status	status
Project ID	project.id
Category	category
Parent Quote/Order	parent.quote
Parent LI	parent.line.item
Group Parent	group.parent
Vendor	vendor

**Important fields in the ocml table, continued**

<b>Label</b>	<b>Field Name</b>
Trans. Type	trans.type
Vendor Contract No	vendor.contract.no
Company	company
Coordinator	coordinator
Assigned Dept	assigned.dept
Assigned To	assigned.to
Requested For	contact.name
Bill To Dept	bill.to.dept
Part No	part.no
Part Desc	part.desc
Manufacturer	manufacturer
Model	model
Total Cost	total
Original Quantity	quantity
Quantity Received	quantity.received
From Stock	from.stock
Balance	quantity.balance
Dates Description > Target Completion	target.completion
Dates Description > Target Order	target.order
Dates Description > Lead Time	normal.lead.time/target.lead.time
Dates Description > Work Schedule	duty.table
Dates Description > Time Zone	vendor.time.zone
Dates Description > Description	description



## Problem Management application tables and fields

The Problem Management application divides the problem management process into two stages. Problem Control, which identifies and tracks problems, and Error Control, which controls the process of finding solutions.

The Problem Management application stores the data for problem and Error Control in separate tables, as documented below.

- ["Problem Control" below](#)
- ["Error Control " on page 487](#)

### Problem Control

Many important fields for the Problem Management application are located in the `rootcause` table. The label on the form may not always match the field name in the table. This table associates the label and the field name in the `rootcause` table.

#### Important fields in the `rootcause` table

Label	Field Name
Problem ID	id
Phase	current.phase
Status	rcStatus
Assignment > Assignment Group	assignment
Assignment > Problem Coordinator	assignee.name
Affected Items > Services	affected.item
Affected Items > Primary CI	logical.name

**Important fields in the rootcause table, continued**

Label	Field Name
Title	brief.description
Description	description
Root Cause Description	root.cause
Problem Detail > Category	incident.category  <b>Note:</b> The problem category is not displayed on the problem forms. The category displayed on the problem forms is the Incident category.
Problem Detail > Area	subcategory
Problem Detail > Sub-area	product.type
Problem Detail > Impact	initial.impact
Problem Detail > Urgency	severity
Problem Detail > Priority	priority.code
Problem Detail > SLA Target Date	next.breach
Problem Detail > Root Cause Target Date	rootcauseDate
Problem Detail > Solution Target Date (Solution Identification Date)	solutionDate
Problem Detail > Resolution Target Date	expected.resolution.time

**Important fields in the rootcause table, continued**

Label	Field Name
(Problem Resolution Date)	
Problem Detail > Related Incident Count	incident.count
Incident Detail > Closure Code	closure.code
Problem Detail > Suggested Workaround	workaround
Assessment > Estimated # of Mandays	estimatedMandays
Assessment > Estimated Costs	estimatedCost
Assessment > Affected CI's table	affected.ci

## Error Control

Another important table in the Problem Management application is the `known_error` table. The `known_error` forms use the fields from the `known_error` table. The label on the form may not always match the field name in the table. This table associates the label and the field name in the `known_error` table.

**Important fields in the `known_error` table**

Label	Field Name
Known Error ID	id
Phase	current.phase
Status	rcStatus
Assignment > Assignment Group	assignment

**Important fields in the known error table, continued**

<b>Label</b>	<b>Field Name</b>
Assignment > Problem Coordinator	assignee.name
Affected Items > Services	affected.item
Affected Items > Primary CI	logical.name
Affected Items > Matching CI Count	matching.ci.count
Title	brief.description
Description	description
Root Cause Description	root.cause
Known Error Detail > Category	incident.category
Known Error Detail > Area	subcategory
Known Error Detail > Sub-area	product.type
Known Error Detail > Impact	initial.impact
Known Error Detail > Urgency	severity
Known Error Detail > Priority	priority.code
Known Error Detail > Solution Identified Date	solutionDate
Known Error Detail > Known Error Resolution Date	expected.resolution.time
Known Error Detail > Related Interaction Count	interaction.count
Known Error Detail > Closure Code	closure.code

**Important fields in the known error table, continued**

Label	Field Name
Known Error Detail > Workaround	workaround
Known Error Detail > Solution	resolution
Assessment > Estimated # of Mandays	estimatedMandays
Assessment > Estimated Costs	estimatedCost
Assessment > Matching configuration Item List	matching.ci

## Change Management application tables and fields

Most fields important for the Change Management application are located in the `cm3r` table. The label on the form may not always match the field name in the table. This table associates the label and the field name in the `cm3r` table.

**Important fields in the `cm3r` table**

Label	Field Name
Change ID	number
Phase	current.phase
Status	status
Approval Status	approval.status
Initiated by	requested.by
Full Name	full.name
Telephone	contact.phone
Email	email
Assignment Group	assign.dept
Change Coordinator	coordinator

**Important fields in the cm3r table, continued**

Label	Field Name
Service	affected.item
Affected CI	assets
Location	location.full.name
Title	brief.description
Description	description
Category	category
Emergency Change	emergency
Release Management	releaseCandidate
Impact	initial.impact

## Configuration Management application tables and fields

Most fields important for the Configuration Management application are located in the `device` table. The label on the form may not always match the field name in the table. This table associates the label and the field name in the `device` table.

**Important fields in the device table**

Label	Field Name
CI Identifier	id
CI Name	logical.name
Asset Tag	asset.tag
Status	istatus
Assignments > Owner	owner
Assignments > Config admin group	assignment
Assignments > Support Groups	support.groups
Assignments > Support Remarks	support.remarks

**Important fields in the device table, continued**

<b>Label</b>	<b>Field Name</b>
Assignments > Part Number	part.no
Model > Manufacturer	manufacturer
Model > Model	model
Model > Version	version
Model > Serial Number	serial.no
Model > Title	title
Model > Description	comments
Classification > CI Type	type
Classification > CI Subtype	subtype
Classification > Environment	environment
Classification > Security classification	securityClassification
Classification > SOX classification	soxClassification
Classification > Export control classification	expcClassification
Classification > Critical CI	device.severity
Classification > Priority	problem.priority
Classification > Default Impact	default.impact
Classification > User Base	useBase
Classification > System Down	is.down
Classification > Pending Change	pending.change
Classification > Allow Subscribe	allow.subscription
Baseline > Baseline	baseline
Baseline > Baseline Version	baseline.version
Audit > Audit policy	auditPolicy
Audit -> Audit status	auditStatus
Audit > Audit discrepancy	auditDiscrepancy

**Important fields in the device table, continued**

Label	Field Name
Audit > Last audit date	auditDate
Audit > next scheduled audit	scheduledAudit
Audit > Last audited by	auditBy



# Index

## A

- alerts, Problem Management 206
- applications
  - Change Management 268, 330
    - relationship with other applications 27
  - Configuration Management 357, 419
    - relationship with other applications 28
  - Incident Management 71, 128
    - relationship with other applications 26
  - Problem Management 204, 267
    - relationship with other applications 27
  - Request Management
    - relationship with other applications 27
  - Service Desk 29, 70
    - relationship with other applications 26

## C

- categories 270
- change approval
  - process table 304, 351
  - workflow diagram 303, 347
- change approver
  - Change Management user role 305
- change assessment and planning
  - process table 300
  - workflow diagram 299
- change coordinator
  - Change Management user role 288, 301
  - Problem Management user role 241, 245
- change evaluation and closure
  - process table 314
  - workflow diagram 313, 350
- change logging
  - process table 291
  - workflow diagram 289
- Change Management 268, 330
  - application 269
  - categories 270
  - forms
    - form details 323, 330
    - new change request 323

- input 282
- ITIL function 268
- KPIs
  - COBIT 284
  - ITIL 283
  - Service Manager 282, 339
- output 282
- process diagram 271
- process tables
  - change approval 304, 351
  - change assessment and planning 300
  - change evaluation and closure 314
  - change logging 291
  - change review 296, 334, 344
  - coordinate change implementation 308
  - emergency change handling 319
- processes 268, 330
  - change approval 302, 305
  - change assessment and planning 297, 302
  - change evaluation and closure 311, 315
  - change logging 288, 293
  - change review 294, 297
  - coordinate change implementation 306, 311
  - emergency change handling 315, 321
  - overview 270
- RACI matrix 285
- relationship with other applications 27
- service transition 268
- user roles 280
  - change analyst 280
  - change approver 280, 305
  - change coordinator 281, 288, 301
  - change manager 281, 304, 321
  - e-cab 315, 319
  - problem manager 288, 293
  - release manager 288, 293
  - release packaging and build manager 316
  - service desk agent 288, 291
- workflow diagrams
  - change approval 303, 347
  - change assessment and planning 299
  - change evaluation and closure 313, 350
  - change logging 289

- change review 295, 343
  - coordinate change implementation 307
  - emergency change handling 317
- change manager, Change Management user role 304, 321
- change review
  - process table 296, 334, 344
  - workflow diagram 295, 343
- cms/tools administrator, Configuration Management user role 367, 377, 379
- COBIT 16
  - Change Management KPIs 284
  - Configuration Management KPIs 370
  - Incident Management KPIs 78
  - Problem Management KPIs 214
  - User Interaction Management KPIs 36
- complaint handling
  - process table 116
  - workflow diagram 115
- configuration administrator, Configuration Management user role 378, 405
- configuration auditor, Configuration Management user role 367, 393, 400
- configuration control
  - process table 388
  - workflow diagram 386
- configuration identification
  - process table 382
  - workflow diagram 381
- Configuration Management 357, 419
  - application 358
  - forms
    - configuration item 406
    - form details 407, 420
  - input 368
  - ITIL function 357
  - KPIs
    - COBIT 370
    - ITIL 369
    - Service Manager 368
  - output 368
  - process diagram 366
  - process tables
    - configuration control 388
    - configuration identification 382
    - configuration management planning 377
  - configuration status accounting and reporting 393
  - configuration verification and audit 399
  - master data management 403
- processes 357, 419
  - configuration control 385, 389
  - configuration identification 379, 384
  - configuration management planning 373, 379
  - configuration status accounting and reporting 389, 395
  - configuration verification and audit 395, 400
  - master data management 401, 405
  - overview 364
- RACI matrix 371
- relationship with other applications 28
- service transition 357
- user roles 367
  - cms/tools administrator 367, 377, 379
  - configuration administrator 367, 378, 405
  - configuration auditor 367, 393, 400
  - configuration manager 367, 377-378
  - system administrator 403, 405
- workflow diagrams
  - configuration control 386
  - configuration identification 381
  - configuration management planning 375
  - configuration status accounting and reporting 391
  - configuration verification and audit 398
  - master data management 402
- configuration management planning
  - process table 377
  - workflow diagram 375
- configuration manager
  - Configuration Management user role 367, 377-378
- configuration status accounting and reporting
  - process table 393
  - workflow diagram 391
- configuration verification and audit
  - process table 399
  - workflow diagram 398
- control objectives and IT process framework
  - see COBIT 16

coordinate change implementation

process table 308

workflow diagram 307

## E

e-cab, Change Management user role 315, 319

emergency change handling

process table 319

workflow diagram 317

## F

form details

Change Management 323, 330

Configuration Management 407, 420

Incident Management 121, 128

Problem Management 257, 262

Service Desk 58, 65

forms

Change Management, new change  
request 323

Configuration Management, configuration  
item 406

Incident Management

new incident 119

updated incident 120

Problem Management

new known error 263

new problem 256

User Interaction Management

escalated interaction 58

new interaction 57

## I

incident analyst, Incident Management user

role 75, 86, 100

incident assignment

process table 89

workflow diagram 87

incident closure

process table 100

workflow diagram 99

incident coordinator, Incident Management user

role 75, 86, 114

incident escalation

process table 104

workflow diagram 102

incident investigation and diagnosis

process table 93

workflow diagram 92

incident logging

process table 84

workflow diagram 82

Incident Management 71, 128

application 72

forms

form details 121, 128

new incident 119

updated incident 120

implementation notes 72

input 76

ITIL function 71

KPIs

COBIT 78

ITIL 77

Service Manager 76

one-step close 72

output 76

process diagram 73

process tables

incident assignment 89

incident closure 100

incident escalation 104

incident investigation and diagnosis 93

incident logging 84

incident resolution and recovery 97

OLA and UC monitoring 113

SLA monitoring 109

processes 71, 128

complaint handling 114, 117

incident assignment 86, 89

incident closure 98, 100

incident escalation 101, 106

incident investigation and diagnosis 90,  
94

incident logging 81, 86

incident resolution and recovery 94, 97

OLA and UC monitoring 110, 114

overview 73

SLA monitoring 106, 109

RACI matrix 79

relationship with other applications 26

- service operation 71
- two-step close 73
- user roles 74
  - incident analyst 75, 86, 100
  - incident coordinator 75, 86, 114
  - incident manager 75, 104, 110
  - operator 74, 81, 86
  - service desk agent 81, 109
  - service desk manager 84, 117
- workflow diagrams
  - incident assignment 87
  - incident closure 99
  - incident escalation 102
  - incident investigation and diagnosis 92
  - incident logging 82
  - incident resolution and recovery 96
  - OLA and UC monitoring 111
  - SLA monitoring 107
- incident manager, Incident Management user role 75, 104, 110
- incident resolution and recovery
  - process table 97
  - workflow diagram 96
- industry standards
  - COBIT 4.1 18
  - ISO 20000 17
  - ITIL V3 16
- Information Technology Infrastructure Library
  - see ITIL 13
- Information Technology Service Management
  - see ITSM 13
- input
  - Change Management 282
  - Configuration Management 368
  - Incident Management 76
  - Problem Management 212
  - User Interaction Management 34
- interaction closure
  - process table 50, 54
  - workflow diagrams 49, 52
- interaction handling
  - process table 46
  - workflow diagram 44
- International Organization for Standardization
  - see ISO 16
- ISO 16

- ITIL 13
  - Change Management
    - function 268
  - Change Management KPIs 283
  - Configuration Management
    - function 357
    - KPIs 369
  - Incident Management
    - function 71
    - KPIs 77
  - Problem Management
    - function 204
    - KPIs 214
  - service desk, function 29
  - User Interaction Management, KPIs 35
- ITSM 13

## K

- Key Performance Indicators
  - see KPIs 35, 76, 213, 282, 339, 368
- known error investigation
  - process table 236
  - workflow diagram 235
- known error logging and categorization
  - process table 233
  - workflow diagram 232
- known error resolution
  - process table 244
  - workflow diagram 242
- known error solution acceptance
  - process table 240
  - workflow diagram 239
- KPIs
  - COBIT
    - Change Management 284
    - Configuration Management 370
    - Incident Management 78
    - Problem Management 214
    - User Interaction Management 36
  - ITIL
    - Change Management 283
    - Configuration Management 369
    - Incident Management 77
    - Problem Management 214
    - User Interaction Management 35

## Service Manager

- Change Management 282, 339
- Configuration Management 368
- Incident Management 76
- Problem Management 213
- User Interaction Management 35

**M**

## master data management

- process table 403
- workflow diagram 402
- modules see applications 15

**N**

notifications, Problem Management 206

**O**

## OLA and UC monitoring

- process table 113
- workflow diagram 111

one-step close, incident 72

operator, Incident Management user role 81, 86

## output

- Change Management 282
- Configuration Management 368
- Incident Management 76
- Problem Management 212
- User Interaction Management 34

**P**

phases, Change Management 270

proactive Problem Management 205

problem analyst, Problem Management user  
role 220, 244

## problem and known error monitoring

- process table 252
- workflow diagram 250

## problem closure and review

- process table 248
- workflow diagram 247

problem coordinator, Problem Management user  
role 217, 222

## problem detection, logging, and categorization

- process table 220
- workflow diagram 218

## problem investigation and diagnosis

- process table 228
- workflow diagram 227

## Problem Management 204, 267

- alerts 206
- application 205, 332
- forms
  - form details 257, 262
  - new known error 263
  - new problem 256

input 212

ITIL function 204

## KPIs

- COBIT 214
- ITIL 214
- Service Manager 213

notifications 206

output 212

proactive 205

process diagram 208

## process tables

- known error investigation 236
- known error logging and  
categorization 233
- known error resolution 244
- known error solution acceptance 240
- problem and known error monitoring 252
- problem closure and review 248
- problem detection, logging, and  
categorization 220

problem investigation and diagnosis 228

problem prioritization and planning 225

## processes 204, 267

- known error investigation 234
- known error logging and  
categorization 231, 234
- known error resolution 241, 245
- known error solution acceptance 238, 240
- overview 206
- problem and known error monitoring 249,  
254
- problem closure and review 245, 249
- problem detection, logging, and  
categorization 217, 222
- problem investigation and diagnosis 226,  
229

- problem prioritization and planning 223, 226
  - RACI matrix 215
  - reactive 205
  - relationship with other applications 27
  - service operation 204
  - user roles 210
    - change coordinator 241, 245
    - problem analyst 220, 244
    - problem coordinator 211, 217, 222
    - problem manager 210, 225, 254
  - workflow diagrams
    - known error investigation 235
    - known error logging and categorization 232
    - known error resolution 242
    - known error solution acceptance 239
    - problem and known error monitoring 250
    - problem closure and review 247
    - problem detection, logging, and categorization 218
    - problem investigation and diagnosis 227
    - problem prioritization and planning 224
  - problem manager
    - Change Management user role 288, 293
    - Problem Management user role 225, 254
  - problem prioritization and planning
    - process table 225
    - workflow diagram 224
  - process diagrams
    - Change Management 271
    - Configuration Management 366
    - Incident Management 73
    - Problem Management 208
    - User Interaction Management 32, 336
  - process tables
    - Change Management
      - change approval 304, 351
      - change assessment and planning 300
      - change evaluation and closure 314
      - change logging 291
      - change review 296, 334, 344
      - coordinate change implementation 308
      - emergency change handling 319
    - Configuration Management
      - configuration control 388
    - configuration identification 382
    - configuration management planning 377
    - configuration status accounting and reporting 393
    - master data management 403
    - verification and audit 399
  - Incident Management
    - complaint handling 116
    - incident assignment 89
    - incident closure 100
    - incident escalation 104
    - incident investigation and diagnosis 93
    - incident logging 84
    - incident resolution and recovery 97
    - OLA and UC monitoring 113
    - SLA monitoring 109
  - Problem Management
    - known error investigation 236
    - known error logging and categorization 233
    - known error resolution 244
    - known error solution acceptance 240
    - problem and known error monitoring 252
    - problem closure and review 248
    - problem detection, logging, and categorization 220
    - problem investigation and diagnosis 228
    - problem prioritization and planning 225
  - Service Desk
    - see process tables, User Interaction Management 50, 54
  - User Interaction Management
    - interaction closure 50, 54
    - interaction handling 46
    - self-service by user 41
  - processes
    - Change Management 268, 330
    - Configuration Management 357, 419
    - Incident Management 71, 128
    - Problem Management 204, 267
    - User Interaction Management 29, 70
- R**
- RACI matrix
    - Change Management 285
    - Configuration Management 371

- Incident Management 79
- Problem Management 215
- User Interaction Management 36
- reactive Problem Management 205
- release manager, Change Management user
  - role 288, 293
- release packaging and build manager, Change
  - Management user role 316
- Request Management
  - relationship with other applications 27
- Responsible, Accountable, Consulted, and
  - Informed
    - see RACI matrix 36
- RTE 14
- Run-Time Environment
  - see RTE 14

## S

- self-service by user
  - process table 41
  - workflow diagram 39
- service desk
  - ITIL function 29
  - responsibilities of 30
  - service operation 30
- Service Desk 29, 70
  - form details 58, 65
  - process tables
    - see User Interaction Management,
      - process tables 39, 50, 54
  - processes
    - see User Interaction Management,
      - processes 38
  - relationship with other applications 26
  - workflow diagrams
    - see User Interaction Management,
      - workflow diagrams 39, 49, 52
- service desk agent
  - Change Management user role 288, 291
  - Incident Management user role 81, 109
  - User Interaction Management user role 34,
    - 46, 55
- service desk manager, Incident Management
  - user role 84, 117

- Service Manager
  - applications 15
  - architecture 14
  - clients 14
  - overview 13
  - processes 21
  - RTE 14
  - server 15
  - web client 15
  - web tier 15
  - Windows client 15
- service operation
  - Incident Management 71
  - Problem Management 204
  - service desk 30
- service transition
  - Change Management 268
  - Configuration Management 357
- SLA monitoring
  - process table 109
  - workflow diagram 107
- system administrator, Configuration
  - Management user role 403, 405

## T

- two-step close, incident ticket 73

## U

- UC and OLA monitoring
  - process table 113
  - workflow diagram 111
- User Interaction Management 29, 70
  - area 67
  - category 67
  - forms
    - escalated interaction 58
    - new interaction 57
  - input 34
  - KPIs
    - COBIT 36
    - ITIL 35
    - Service Manager 35
  - output 34
  - process diagram 32, 336

- process tables
    - interaction closure 50, 54
    - interaction handling 46
    - self-service by user 41
  - processes 29, 70
    - interaction closure 48, 51, 55
    - interaction handling 43, 48
    - self-service by user 38
  - RACI matrix 36
  - sub-area 67
  - user roles 34
    - service desk agent 34, 46, 55
    - user 34, 41
  - workflow diagrams
    - interaction closure 49, 52
    - interaction handling 44
    - self-service by user 39
  - user roles
    - Change Management 280
      - change analyst 280
      - change approver 280, 305
      - change coordinator 281, 288, 301
      - change manager 281, 304, 321
      - e-cab 281, 315, 319
      - problem manager 288, 293
      - release manager 288, 293
      - release packaging and build manager 316
      - release packing and build manager 281
      - service desk agent 288, 291
    - Configuration Management 367
      - cms/tools administrator 367, 377, 379
      - configuration administrator 367, 378, 405
      - configuration auditor 367, 393, 400
      - configuration manager 367, 377-378
      - system administrator 403, 405
    - Incident Management 74
      - incident analyst 75, 86, 100
      - incident coordinator 75, 86, 114
      - incident manager 75, 104, 110
      - operator 74, 81, 86
      - service desk agent 81, 109
      - service desk manager 84, 117
    - Problem Management 210
      - change coordinator 241, 245
      - problem analyst 211, 220, 244
      - problem coordinator 211, 217, 222
      - problem manager 210, 225, 254
      - User Interaction Management 34
        - service desk agent 34, 46, 55
        - user 34, 41
  - user, User Interaction Management user role 34, 41
- W**
- wizards
    - escalate interaction-incident 69
    - escalate interaction-RFC 70
    - escalate interaction-RFI 69
  - workflow diagrams
    - Change Management
      - change approval 303, 347
      - change assessment and planning 299
      - change evaluation and closure 313, 350
      - change logging 289
      - change review 295, 343
      - coordinate change implementation 307
      - emergency change handling 317
    - Configuration Management
      - configuration control 386
      - configuration identification 381
      - configuration management planning 375
      - configuration status accounting and reporting 391
      - configuration verification and audit 398
      - master data management 402
    - Incident Management
      - complaint handling 115
      - incident assignment 87
      - incident closure 99
      - incident escalation 102
      - incident investigation and diagnosis 92
      - incident logging 82
      - incident resolution and recovery 96
      - OLA and UC monitoring 111
      - SLA monitoring 107
    - Problem Management
      - known error investigation 235
      - known error logging and categorization 232
      - known error resolution 242
      - known error solution acceptance 239
      - problem and known error monitoring 250



- problem closure and review 247
- problem detection, logging, and categorization 218
- problem investigation and diagnosis 227
- problem prioritization and planning 224
- Service Desk
  - see workflow diagrams, User Interaction Management 49, 52
- User Interaction Management
  - interaction closure 49, 52
  - interaction handling 44
  - self-service by user 39

# Send Documentation Feedback

If you have comments about this document, you can [contact the documentation team](#) by email. If an email client is configured on this system, click the link above and an email window opens with the following information in the subject line:

**Feedback on Processes and Best Practices Guide (Classic Mode) (Service Manager 9.41)**

Just add your feedback to the email and click send.

If no email client is available, copy the information above to a new message in a web mail client, and send your feedback to [ovdoc-itsm@hp.com](mailto:ovdoc-itsm@hp.com).

We appreciate your feedback!

